arXiv:1411.3703v2 [math.DG] 8 Jul 2015

NONCOMMUTATIVE GEOMETRY AND CONFORMAL GEOMETRY, II. CONNES-CHERN CHARACTER AND THE LOCAL EQUIVARIANT INDEX THEOREM.

RAPHAËL PONGE AND HANG WANG

Abstract. This paper is the second part of a series of papers on noncommutative geometry and conformal geometry. In this paper, we compute explicitly the Connes-Chern character of an equivariant Dirac spectral triple. The formula that we obtain for which was used in the first paper of the series. The computation has two main steps. The first step is the justification that the CM cocycle represents the Connes-Chern character. The second step is the computation of the CM cocycle as a byproduct of a new proof of the local equivariant index theorem of Donnelly-Patodi, Gilkey and Kawasaki. The proof combines the rescaling method of Getzler with an equivariant version of the Greiner-Hadamard approach to the heat kernel asymptotics. Finally, as a further application of this approach, we compute the short-time limit of the JLO cocycle of an equivariant Dirac spectral triple.

1. Introduction

The paper is the second part of a series of papers whose aim is to use tools of noncommutative geometry to study conformal geometry and noncommutative versions of conformal geometry. In the prequel [PW1] (referred throughout this paper as Part I) we derived a local index formula in conformal-diffeomorphism invariant geometry and exhibited a new class of conformal invariants taking into account the action by a group of conformal-diffeomorphisms (i.e., the conformal gauge group). These results make use of the conformal Dirac spectral triple of Connes-Moscovici [CM4] and two key features of its Connes-Chern character, namely, its conformal invariance and its explicit computation in terms of equivariant characteristic forms. The former feature is established in Part I. The latter is one of the main goal of this paper.

It should be stressed out that the conformal Dirac spectral triple is not an ordinary spectral triple, but a *twisted* spectral triple in the sense of [CM4]. As shown by Connes-Moscovici [CM3], under suitable conditions, the Connes-Chern is represented by a cocycle, called CM cocycle, which is given by formulas that are "local" in the sense of noncommutative geometry. Except for a small class of twisted spectral triples, which don't include the conformal Dirac spectral triple, there is no analogue of the CM cocycle for twisted spectral triples (see [Mo] and the related discussion in Part I). However, in the case of the conformal Dirac spectral triple, the conformal invariance of the Connes-Chern character allows us to choose *any* metric in the given conformal class. In particular, when the conformal structure is non-flat, thanks to the Ferrand-Obata theorem we may choose a metric invariant under the whole conformal-diffeomorphism group. Moreover, in this case the conformal Dirac spectral triple becomes an ordinary spectral triple, namely, an equivariant Dirac spectral triple $(C^\infty(M)\rtimes G, L^2_g(M,\not{S}),\not{D}_g)$. We are thus reduced to computing the Connes-Chern character of such a Dirac spectral triple.

A main goal of this paper is the explicit computation of the Connes-Chern character of an equivariant Dirac spectral triple $(C^\infty(M)\rtimes G, L^2_g(M,\not{S}),\not{D}_g)$, where (M^n,g) is a compact Riemannian oriented spin manifold of even dimension, $\not{D}_g$ is the Dirac operator acting on the spinor bundle $\not{S}$, and $C^\infty(M)\rtimes G$ is the (discrete) crossed product of the algebra $C^\infty(M)$ with a group

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 58B34. Secondary: 58J20, 58J35.

Key words and phrases. Noncommutative geometry, equivariant index theory, heat kernel techniques.

R.P. was partially supported by Research Resettlement Fund and Foreign Faculty Research Fund of Seoul National University and Basic Research Grant 2013R1A1A2008802 of National Research Foundation of Korea (South Korea).

G of orientation-preserving isometries preserving the spin structure. As it turns out, the construction in Part I of the conformal invariants actually used the representation of the Connes-Chern character in the periodic cyclic cohomology of *continuous* cochains on the locally convex algebra $C^\infty(M) \rtimes G$, rather than the usual representation in the periodic cyclic cohomology of arbitrary cochains. Therefore, we actually aim at computing the Connes-Chern character of $(C^\infty(M) \rtimes G, L^2_g(M, \not{S}), \not{D}_g)$ in the cyclic cohomology $\mathbf{HP}^0\left(C^\infty(M) \rtimes G\right)$ of *continuous* cochains. We refer to Theorem 7.8 for the explicit formula for this Connes-Chern character. The computation has two main steps. The first step is the justification that the CM cocycle makes sense and represents the Connes-Chern character in $\mathbf{HP}^0\left(C^\infty(M) \rtimes G\right)$ (and not just in the ordinary periodic cyclic cohomology $\mathrm{HP}^0\left(C^\infty(M) \rtimes G\right)$. The second step is the actual computation of the CM cocycle.

In the original paper of Connes-Moscovi [CM3], the existence of the CM cocycle and the representation of the Connes-Chern character by this cocycle was proved under several assumptions, which were subsequently relaxed (see, e.g., [Hi, CPRS]). In Part I, we introduced a natural class of spectral triples over locally convex algebras, called smooth spectral triples. Given a smooth spectral triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$, it was shown that the Connes-Chern character descends to a class $\mathbf{Ch}(D)$ in $\mathbf{HP}^0(\mathcal{A})$. It is natural to ask for further conditions ensuring us that the CM cocycle represents the Connes-Chern character in $\mathbf{HP}^0(\mathcal{A})$. Such conditions can be figured out by a careful look at the construction of the CM cocycle in [CM3] and [Hi] (see [Po3] and Section 5). For our purpose, it is useful to re-express these conditions in terms of heat-trace asymptotics (see Proposition 6.7). Using the differentiable equivariant heat kernel asymptotics that we establish in Section 3, it is fairly straightforward to check these conditions. Therefore, the Connes-Chern character of $(C^\infty(M) \rtimes G, L^2_g(M, \not{S}), \not{D}_g)$ is represented in $\mathbf{HP}^0\left(C^\infty(M) \rtimes G\right)$ by the CM cocycle (Proposition 7.5).

We then are left with computing the CM cocycle of the equivariant Dirac spectral triple $(C^\infty(M) \rtimes G, L^2_g(M, \not{S}), \not{D}_g)$. As it turns out, Azmi [Az1] computed the CM cocycle when G is a finite group. However, she did not check the assumptions of [CM3] hold in her setting, and so she did not show that the CM cocycle represents the Connes-Chern character. Likewise, Chern-Hu [CH] computed the CM cocycle defined as an equivariant periodic cyclic cochain, but they didn't verify the conditions of [CM3]. Note also that in these papers the computations are carried out by elaborating on the approach of Lafferty-Yu-Zhang [LYZ] to the local equivariant index theorem, but some additional work is required to derive the heat-kernel asymptotics that are needed in the computation of the CM cocycle.

Another goal of this paper is to give a new proof of the local equivariant index theorem of Donelly-Patodi [DP], Gilkey [Gi], and Kawasaki [Ka] (see also [Bi1, BV1, LYZ, LM]). More precisely, we produce a proof which, as an immediate byproduct, yields a *differentiable* version of the local equivariant index theorem. Once it is recasted in terms of heat kernel asymptotics (see Proposition 6.7), the computation of the CM cocycle then becomes a simple corollary of this differentiable version of the local equivariant index theorem. Thus, hardly any additional work is required to compute the CM cocycle.

Recall that the local equivariant index theorem provides us with a heat kernel proof of the equivariant index theorem of Atiyah-Segal-Singer [AS, ASi2], which is a fundamental extension of both the index theorem of Atiyah-Singer [ASi1, ASi2] and the fixed-point formula of Atiyah-Bott [AB1, AB2]. Given a G-equivariant Hermitian vector bundle E, any $\phi \in G$ gives rise to a unitary operator U_ϕ of $L^2(M, \not{S} \otimes E)$. If we let $\not{D}_{\nabla^E}$ be the Dirac operator associated to a G-equivariant connection ∇^E on E, then the local equivariant index theorem for Dirac operators states that, for all $\phi \in G$, we have

$$\lim_{t \to 0^+} \mathrm{Str}\left[e^{-t\not{D}^2_{\nabla^E}} U_\phi\right] = (-i)^{\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq a \leq n \\ a \text{ even}}} (2\pi)^{-\frac{a}{2}} \int_{M^\phi_a} \hat{A}(R^{TM^\phi}) \wedge \nu_\phi\left(R^{\mathcal{N}^\phi}\right) \wedge \mathrm{Ch}_\phi\left(F^E\right), \tag{1.1}$$

where M^ϕ_a is the a-th dimensional submanifold component of the fixed-point set M^ϕ of ϕ, and $\hat{A}(R^{TM^\phi})$, $\nu_\phi\left(R^{\mathcal{N}^\phi}\right)$, $\mathrm{Ch}_\phi\left(F^E\right)$ are polynomials in ϕ' and the respective curvatures R^{TM^ϕ}, $R^{\mathcal{N}^\phi}$,

F^E of the tangent space TM^ϕ, its normal bundle $\mathcal{N}^\phi$ and the bundle E (see Section 4 for their precise definitions). The differentiable version of the local index theorem gives a similar asymptotic for $\mathrm{Str}\left[Pe^{-t\not{D}^2_{\nabla^E}}U_\phi\right]$ as $t\to 0^+$, where P is a differential operator acting on the sections of $\not{S}\otimes E$ (see Theorem 4.24 for the precise statement).

Our approach to the proof of the local equivariant index theorem is an equivariant version of the approach of [Po1] to the proof of the local index theorem. Namely, it combines the rescaling method of Getzler [Ge2] with an equivariant version of the Greiner-Hadamard approach to the heat kernel asymptotics ([Gr]; see also [BGS]). Given a positive elliptic differential operator L, the Greiner-Hadamard approach derives the short-time asymptotics for the heat kernel of L by exploiting the well known fact that the heat semi-group enables us to invert the heat operator $L+\partial_t$ (see Section 2). As it turns out, the inverse $(L+\partial_t)^{-1}$ lies in a natural class of ΨDOs, called Volterra ΨDOs (see [Gr, Pi]). These operators have the Volterra property, in the sense that they are causal and time-translation invariant. The short-time asymptotics for the heat kernel then follows from the short-time asymptotics for kernels of Volterra ΨDOs. Observing that, given any differential operator P, the operator $P(L+\partial_t)^{-1}$ is a Volterra ΨDO, the Greiner-Hadamard approach yields *for free* a short-time asymptotic for the kernel of Pe^{-tL}. That is, it provides us for free with *differentiable* heat kernel asymptotics. It should also be mentioned that the Volterra pseudodifferential calculus reduces the derivation of the the short-time asymptotics for kernels of Volterra ΨDOs to a mere application of Taylor's formula.

There is no difficulty to extend the Greiner-Hadamard approach to the equivariant setting by working in tubular coordinates (see Proposition 3.7). Like in the non-equivariant setting, the equivariant asymptotics for kernels of Volterra ΨDOs follow from an application of Taylor's formula (*cf.* Lemma 3.3). Once these equivariant asymptotics are established, we can extend the approach of [Po1] to prove the local equivariant index theorem. More precisely, as observed in [Po1], the rescaling of Getzler [Ge2] defines a natural filtration on Volterra ΨDOs. Incidentally, this gives rise to a finer notion of order for Volterra ΨDOs (called Getzler order) and a notion of model operator (see Section 4). The existence of a limit in (1.1) and its computation by means of the inverse kernel of the model heat operator then follow from elementary considerations on Getzler orders and model operators of Volterra ΨDOs (see Lemmas 4.17 and 4.16). The proof of the local equivariant index theorem is then completed by the computation of this inverse kernel. This is done by using Melher's formula for the heat kernel of an harmonic oscillator (see [LM] and Section 4).

As it is based on considerations on Volterra ΨDOs, this approach to the proof of the local equivariant index theorem actually yields a version of the local equivariant index theorem for Volterra ΨDOs (Theorem 4.22). In particular, specializing this theorem to operators of the form $P(\not{D}^2_E+\partial_t)^{-1}$ then provides us with the differentiable version of the local equivariant index theorem (Theorem 4.24) which we need in the computation of the CM cocycle. This enables us to complete the computation of the Connes-Chern character of the equivariant Dirac spectral triple $(C^\infty(M)\rtimes G, L^2_g(M,\not{S}),\ \not{D}_g)$.

As mentioned the existence of the CM cocycle, as defined in [CM3], requires various assumptions. One of these assumptions is the regularity condition which can be regarded as some kind of operator theoretic version of the scalarness of the principal symbol of the square of the Dirac operator (*cf.* Definition 5.10). However, there are natural geometric examples of spectral triples associated to hypoelliptic operators on contact or more generally Carnot manifolds which are not regular. Nevertheless, for these spectral triples the Connes-Chern character is represented by the short-time *partie finie* (finite part) of the JLO cocycle. In the case of the spectral triple is regular the short-time *partie finie* of the JLO cocycle agrees with that of the CM cocycle.

In the case of a Dirac spectral triple the JLO cocycle actually has a short-time limit, and all previous computations of this limit implicitly use the regularity of the Dirac spectral triple as they involve commuting the heat semi-group with Clifford elements. As a further application of our version of the local equivariant index theorem alluded to above we give a computation of the short-time limit of the JLO cocycle of an equivariant Dirac spectral triple that does not assume the regularity of this spectral triple (Theorem 8.1). To our knowledge this seems to be the first

computation of the short-time limit of the JLO cocycle of a Dirac spectral triple that does not use the regularity of this spectral triple. In some sense, this paves the way for the computation of the Connes-Chern character of spectral triples associated to hypoelliptic operators on contact or Carnot manifolds.

It is believed that the approach of this paper to the proof of the local equivariant index theorem and computation of the Connes-Chern character is fairly general and can be applied to numerous geometric situations. In particular, it can be extended to spin manifolds with boundary equipped with b-metric (*cf.* Remark 8.8; see also [Me]) and to various (non-equivariant or equivariant) family settings or even bivariant settings (*cf.* Remarks 4.26 and 8.6; see also [Wa2, Wa3]).

In this paper, the computation of the Connes-Chern character and short-time limit of the JLO cocycle is carried out in even dimension only. We refer to [Po4] for an extension of these results to odd dimension (see also Remark 4.25 and 7.10). Note also that [Po4] contains applications to the construction of the eta cochain for a Dirac spectral triple (see also Remark 8.7 on this point).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review the Volterra pseudodifferential calculus and its relationship with the heat kernel. In Section 3, we use the Volterra pseudodifferential calculus to derive equivariant heat kernel asymptotics. In Section 4, we present our proof of the local equivariant index theorem, which leads us to a version of the local equivariant index theorem for Volterra ΨDOs. In Section 5, we review the construction of the Connes-Chern character and CM cocycle in the framework of smooth spectral triples. In Section 6, we re-interpret the CM cocycle in terms of heat kernel asymptotics. In Section 7, we determine the Connes-Chern character of an equivariant Dirac spectral triple by computing its CM cocycle. In Section 8, we use the version of the local equivariant index theorem for Volterra ΨDOs to compute the short-time limit of the JLO cocycle of an equivariant Dirac spectral triple.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Xiaonan Ma and Bai-Ling Wang for useful discussions related to the subject matter of this paper. They also would like to thank the following institutions for their hospitality during the preparation of this manuscript: Mathematical Sciences Center of Tsinghua University, Research Institute of Mathematical Sciences of Kyoto University, University of California at Berkeley, and University Paris 6 (RP), Seoul National University (HW), Australian National University, Chern Institute of Mathematics of Nankai University, and Fudan University (RP+HW).

2. Volterra Pseudodifferential Calculus and Heat Kernels

In this section, we recall the main definitions and properties of the Volterra pseudodifferential calculus and its relationship with the heat kernel of an elliptic operator. The pseudodifferential representation of the heat kernel appeared in [Gr], but some of the ideas can be traced back to Hadamard [Ha]. The presentation here follows closely that of [BGS].

Let (M^n, g) be a compact Riemannian manifold and E a Hermitian vector bundle over M. The metrics of M and E naturally define a continuous inner product on the space $L^2(M, E)$ of the L^2-sections of E. In addition, we let $L : C^\infty(M, E) \to C^\infty(M, E)$ be a selfadjoint 2nd order differential operator whose principal symbol is positive-definite. In particular, L is elliptic. The operator L then generates a smooth semigroup $(0, \infty) \ni t \to e^{-tL} \in \mathcal{L}(L^2(M, E))$ (called heat semigroup) such that, for all $u \in L^2(M, E)$, we have

$$\lim_{t\to 0^+} e^{-tL}u = u \qquad \text{and} \qquad \frac{d}{dt}e^{-tL}u = -Le^{-tL}u \quad \forall t > 0. \tag{2.1}$$

In what follows, we shall make some abuse of notation by denoting by E the vector bundle over $M \times \mathbb{R}$ obtained as the pullback of E by the projection the projection $(x, t) \to x$ (so that the fiber at (x, t) is E_x). The heat operator $L + \partial_t$ then acts on the sections of this vector bundle. As is well known, the heat semigroup enables us to invert this operator. More precisely, let us denote by $C^0_+\left(\mathbb{R}, L^2(M, E)\right)$ the space of continuous functions $u : \mathbb{R} \to L^2(M, E)$ that are supported on some interval $I_c = [c, \infty)$, $c \in \mathbb{R}$. We also denote by $C^\infty_+(M \times \mathbb{R}, E)$ the subspace of $C^\infty(M \times \mathbb{R}, E)$ consisting of sections supported on $M \times I_c$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$. We

shall regard $C^\infty_+(M\times\mathbb{R},E)$ as a subspace of $C^0_+\big(\mathbb{R},L^2(M,E)\big)$. We then define a linear operator $(L+\partial_t)^{-1}: C^0_+\big(\mathbb{R},L^2(M,E)\big)\to C^0_+\big(\mathbb{R},L^2(M,E)\big)$ by

$$(L+\partial_t)^{-1}u(s):=\int_0^\infty e^{-tL}u(s-t)dt \qquad \forall u\in C^0_+(M\times\mathbb{R},E). \tag{2.2}$$

The following result shows that $(L+\partial_t)^{-1}$ is really an inverse for the heat operator.

Proposition 2.1 ([Gr, BGS]). *For all $u\in C^\infty_+(M\times\mathbb{R},E)$, we have*

$$(L+\partial_t)^{-1}(L+\partial_t)u=(L+\partial_t)(L+\partial_t)^{-1}u=u. \tag{2.3}$$

Remark 2.2. The space $C^0_+\big(\mathbb{R},L^2(M,E)\big)$ carries a natural locally convex topology defined as follows. For $I_c=[c,\infty)$, $c\in\mathbb{R}$, denote by $C^0_{I_c}\big(\mathbb{R},L^2(M,E)\big)$ the subspace of $C^0\big(\mathbb{R},L^2(M,E)\big)$ consisting of functions supported on I_c. We equip $C^0_{I_c}\big(\mathbb{R},L^2(M,E)\big)$ with the induced topology. The topology of $C^0_+\big(\mathbb{R},L^2(M,E)\big)$ then is the coarsest locally convex topology that makes continuous the inclusion of $C^0_{I_c}\big(\mathbb{R},L^2(M,E)\big)$ into $C^0_+\big(\mathbb{R},L^2(M,E)\big)$ for all $c\in\mathbb{R}$. We observe that with respect to this topology the inclusion of $C^\infty_+(M\times\mathbb{R},E)$ into $C^0_+\big(\mathbb{R},L^2(M,E)\big)$ is continuous.

Remark 2.3. Let $c\in\mathbb{R}$ and $u\in C^0_{I_c}\big(\mathbb{R},L^2(M,E)\big)$. Then, for all $s\in\mathbb{R}$,

$$(L+\partial_t)^{-1}u(s)=\int_{\{0\le t\le s-c\}}e^{-tL}u(s-t)dt.$$

Therefore, we see that $(L+\partial_t)^{-1}u\in C^0_{I_c}\big(\mathbb{R},L^2(M,E)\big)$ and, for $s\ge c$, we have

$$\|(L+\partial_t)^{-1}u(s)\|\le\int_0^{s-c}\|e^{-tL}u(s-t)\|dt\le(s-c)\sup\{\|u(s')\|;\ c\le s'\le s\}.$$

We then deduce that $(L+\partial_t)^{-1}$ induces a continuous endomorphism of $C^0_{I_c}\big(\mathbb{R},L^2(M,E)\big)$ for all $c\in\mathbb{R}$, and hence is a continuous operator from $C^0_+\big(\mathbb{R},L^2(M,E)\big)$ to itself.

Remark 2.4. The space $C^\infty_+(M\times\mathbb{R},E)$ carries a natural locally convex topology defined in a similar fashion as the topology of $C^0_+\big(\mathbb{R},L^2(M,E)\big)$ described in Remark 2.2. Furthermore, by using the ellipticity of L it can be further shown that with respect to this topology the operator $(L+\partial_t)^{-1}$ induces a continuous endomorphism of $C^\infty_+(M\times\mathbb{R},E)$ (see also Remark 2.22 below on this point).

Let us denote by $E\boxtimes E^*$ the vector bundle over $M\times M\times\mathbb{R}$ whose fiber at $(x,y,t)\in M\times M\times\mathbb{R}$ is $\mathrm{Hom}(E_y,E_x)$. We define the *heat kernel* $k_t(x,y)$, $t>0$, as the smooth section of $E\boxtimes E^*$ over $M\times M\times(0,\infty)$ such that

$$e^{-tL}u(x)=\int_M k_t(x,y)u(y)|dy| \qquad \forall u\in L^2(M,E), \tag{2.4}$$

where $|dy|$ is the Riemannian density defined by g on M. That is, $k_t(x,y)|dy|$ is the Schwartz kernel of e^{-tL}. In addition, as $(L+\partial_t)^{-1}$ is a continuous linear operator from $C^0_+\big(\mathbb{R},L^2(M,E)\big)$ to itself, we may regard it as a continuous linear operator from $C^\infty_c(M\times\mathbb{R},E)$ to $C^0(M\times\mathbb{R},E)$. As such it has a kernel $k_{(L+\partial_t)^{-1}}(x,s,y,t)\in C^0(M_x\times\mathbb{R}_s,E)\hat\otimes\mathcal{D}'(M_y\times\mathbb{R}_t,E)$ such that

$$(L+\partial_t)^{-1}u(x,s)=\langle k_{(L+\partial_t)^{-1}}(x,s,y,t),u(y,t)\rangle \qquad \forall u\in C^\infty_c(M\times\mathbb{R},E).$$

We then observe that, at the level of Schwartz kernels, the definition (2.3) means that

$$k_{(L+\partial_t)^{-1}}(x,s,y,t)=\begin{cases}k_{s-t}(x,y) & \text{for } s-t>0,\\ 0 & \text{for } s-t<0.\end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

It then follows that $(L+\partial_t)^{-1}$ has the Volterra property in the sense of the following definition.

Definition 2.5 ([Pi]). *A linear operator $Q: C^\infty_c(M\times\mathbb{R},E)\to C^0(M\times\mathbb{R},E)$ has the Volterra property when it satisfies the following properties:*

(i) Time-Translation Invariance. *For all* $u \in C_c^\infty(M \times \mathbb{R}, E)$ *and* $c \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$Qu_c(x,t) = (Qu)(x,t+c) \qquad \text{for all } (x,t) \in M \times \mathbb{R},$$

where $u_c(x,t) = u(x,t+c)$.

(ii) Causality Principle. *For all* $u \in C_c^\infty(M \times \mathbb{R}, E)$ *and* $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$u = 0 \text{ on } M \times (-\infty, t_0] \implies Qu(x,t_0) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in M.$$

Remark 2.6. If we further assume that Q is a continuous linear operator from $C_c^\infty(M \times \mathbb{R}, E)$ to $C^\infty(M \times \mathbb{R}, E)$, then the Volterra property implies that the Schwartz kernel of Q takes the form,

$$k_Q(x,s,y,t) = K_Q(x,y,s-t),$$

for some $K_Q(x,y,t)$ in $C^\infty(M,E)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{D}'(M \times \mathbb{R}, E)$ such that $K_Q(x,y,t) = 0$ for $t < 0$. The distribution $K_Q(x,y,t)$ is then called the *Volterra kernel* of Q. We also observe that in this case Q uniquely extends to a continuous linear operator from $C_+^\infty(M \times \mathbb{R}, E)$ to itself.

The Volterra ΨDO calculus aims at constructing a class of ΨDOs which is a natural receptacle for the inverse of the heat operator. The idea is to modify the classical ΨDO calculus in order to take into account the following properties:

(i) The aforementioned Volterra property.

(ii) The parabolic homogeneity of the heat operator $L + \partial_t$, i.e., the homogeneity with respect to the dilations,

$$\lambda.(\xi,\tau) := (\lambda\xi, \lambda^2\tau) \qquad \forall (\xi,\tau) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}\ \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*.$$

In what follows, for $G \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ and $\lambda \neq 0$, we denote by G_λ the distribution in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ defined by

$$\langle G_\lambda(\xi,\tau), u(\xi,\tau)\rangle := |\lambda|^{-(n+2)}\langle G(\xi,\tau), u(\lambda^{-1}\xi, \lambda^{-2}\tau)\rangle \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}). \tag{2.6}$$

In addition, we denote by $\mathbb{C}_-$ the complex halfplane $\{\Im\tau < 0\}$ with closure $\overline{\mathbb{C}}_-$.

Definition 2.7. *A distribution* $G \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ *is (parabolic) homogeneous of degree* m, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, *when*

$$G_\lambda = \lambda^m G \qquad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus 0. \tag{2.7}$$

We mention the following version of Paley-Wiener-Schwartz Theorem.

Lemma 2.8 ([BGS, Prop. 1.9])**.** *Let* $q(\xi,\tau) \in C^\infty((\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}) \setminus 0)$ *be a parabolic homogeneous function of degree* m, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, *such that*

(i) $q(\xi,\tau)$ *extends to a continuous function on* $(\mathbb{R}^n \times \overline{\mathbb{C}}_-) \setminus 0$ *in such way to be holomorphic with respect to the variable* τ *on* $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{C}_-$.

Then there is a unique $G \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ *agreeing with* q *on* $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus 0$ *and such that*

(ii) G *is homogeneous of degree* m.

(iii) *The inverse Fourier transform* $\check{G}(x,t)$ *vanishes for* $t < 0$.

Remark 2.9 (See [BGS]). The homogeneity of G implies that

$$\check{G}_\lambda = |\lambda|^{-(n+2)}\lambda^{-m}\check{G} \qquad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*.$$

In particular, we see that $\check{G}$ is *positively* homogeneous of degree $-(m+n+2)$.

Let U be an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$. We define Volterra symbols and Volterra ΨDOs on $U \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus 0$ as follows.

Definition 2.10. $S_{\mathrm{v}}^m(U \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1})$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, *consists of smooth functions* $q(x,\xi,\tau)$ *on* $U \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ *with an asymptotic expansion* $q(x,\xi,\tau) \sim \sum_{j\geq 0} q_{m-j}(x,\xi,\tau)$, *where*

- $q_l(x,\xi,\tau) \in C^\infty(U \times [(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}) \setminus 0])$ *is a homogeneous Volterra symbol of degree* l, *i.e.,* q_l *is parabolic homogeneous of degree* l *and satisfies the property (i) in Lemma 2.8 with respect to the variables* ξ *and* τ.

- *The asymptotic expansion is meant in the sense that, for all compact sets $K \subset U$, integers N and k and multi-orders α and β, there is a constant $C_{NK\alpha\beta k} > 0$ such that, for all $(x,\xi,\tau) \in K \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ with $|\xi| + |\tau|^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq 1$, we have*

$$\left| \partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \partial_\tau^k \left(q - \sum_{j<N} q_{m-j} \right)(x,\xi,\tau) \right| \leq C_{NK\alpha\beta k} (|\xi| + |\tau|^{1/2})^{m-N-|\beta|-2k}. \tag{2.8}$$

In what follows, for a symbol $q(x,\xi,\tau) \in S^m_{\mathrm{v}}(U \times \mathbb{R})$ we shall denote by $q(x, D_x, D_t)$ the operator from $C_c^\infty(U \times \mathbb{R})$ to $C^\infty(U \times \mathbb{R})$ defined by

$$q(x, D_x, D_t)u(x,t) = (2\pi)^{-(n+1)} \iint e^{i(x\cdot\xi + t\tau)} q(x,\xi,\tau) \hat{u}(\xi,\tau) d\xi d\tau \quad \forall u \in C_c^\infty(U \times \mathbb{R}).$$

Definition 2.11. *$\Psi^m_{\mathrm{v}}(U \times \mathbb{R})$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, consists of continuous linear operators Q from $C_c^\infty(U_x \times \mathbb{R}_t)$ to $C^\infty(U_x \times \mathbb{R}_t)$ such that*

(i) *Q has the Volterra property in the sense of Definition 2.5.*
(ii) *Q can be put in the form,*

$$Q = q(x, D_x, D_t) + R, \tag{2.9}$$

for some symbol $q(x,\xi,\tau) \in S^m_{\mathrm{v}}(U \times \mathbb{R})$ and some smoothing operator R.

Remark 2.12. For any operator $Q \in \Psi^m_{\mathrm{v}}(U \times \mathbb{R})$ there is a unique Volterra kernel $K_Q(x,y,t)$ in $C^\infty(U, \mathcal{D}'(U \times \mathbb{R}))$ such that $K_Q(x,y,t) = 0$ for $t < 0$ and

$$Qu(x,s) = \langle K_Q(x,y,s-t), u(y,t) \rangle \qquad \forall u \in C_+^\infty(U \times \mathbb{R}).$$

In fact, if we put Q in the form (2.9) and we denote by $k_R(x,s,y,t)$ the Schwartz kernel of the smoothing operator R as defined in (2.4), then

$$K_Q(x,y,t) = \check{q}(x, x-y, t) + k_R(x, 0, y, -t).$$

Example 2.13. Let P be a differential operator of order 2 on U with principal symbol $p_2(x,\xi)$. Then the operator $P + \partial_t$ is a Volterra ΨDO of order 2 with principal symbol $p_2(x,\xi) + i\tau$. In particular, if $p_2(x,\xi) > 0$ for all $(x,\xi) \in U \times (\mathbb{R}^n \backslash 0)$, then $p_2(x,\xi) + i\tau \neq 0$ for all $(x,\xi,\tau) \in U \times [(\mathbb{R}^n \times \overline{\mathbb{C}_-} \backslash 0)]$.

The following definition provides us with further examples of Volterra ΨDOs.

Definition 2.14. *Let $q_m(x,\xi,\tau) \in C^\infty(U \times (\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus 0))$ be a homogeneous Volterra symbol of order m and let $G_m(x,\xi,\tau) \in C^\infty\left(U, \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})\right)$ denote its unique homogeneous extension given by Lemma 2.8. Then*

- *$\check{q}_m(x,y,t)$ is the inverse Fourier transform of $G_m(x,\xi,\tau)$ w.r.t. the last $n+1$ variables.*
- *The operator $q_m(x, D_x, D_t) : C_c^\infty(U \times \mathbb{R}) \to C^\infty(U \times \mathbb{R})$ is defined by*

$$q_m(x, D_x, D_t)u(x,s) := \langle \check{q}_m(x, x-y, s-t), u(y,t) \rangle \qquad \forall u \in C_+^\infty(U \times \mathbb{R}). \tag{2.10}$$

Remark 2.15. It follows from the proof of [BGS, Prop. 1.9] that the homogeneous extension $G_m(x,\xi,\tau)$ depends smoothly on x, i.e., it belongs to $C^\infty\left(U, \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})\right)$.

Lemma 2.16. *The operator $q_m(x, D_x, D_t)$ is a Volterra ΨDO of order m with symbol $q \sim q_m$.*

Sketch of Proof. Set $Q = q_m(x, D_x, D_t)$. Since $\check{q}_m(x,y,t)$ belongs to $C^\infty\left(U, \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})\right)$, it follows from (2.10) that the operator $q_m(x, D_x, D_t)$ is continuous and satisfies the Volterra property. Denote by $G_m(x,\xi,\tau)$ the unique homogeneous extension of $q_m(x,\xi,\tau)$ given by Lemma 2.8. In addition, let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ be such that $\varphi(\xi,\tau) = 1$ near $(\xi,\tau) = (0,0)$. Then the symbol $\tilde{q}_m(x,\xi,\tau) := (1 - \varphi(\xi,\tau))\, q_m(x,\xi,\tau)$ lies in $S^m_{\mathrm{v}}(U \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ and we have

$$K_Q(x,y,t) = (\tilde{q}_m)^\vee(x,y,t) + (\varphi G_m)^\vee(x,y,t),$$

Observe that $(\varphi G_m)^\vee(x,y,t)$ is smooth since this is the inverse Fourier transform of a compactly supported function. Thus Q agrees with $\tilde{q}_m(x, D_x, D_t)$ up to a smoothing operator, and hence is a Volterra ΨDO of order m. Furthermore, it has symbol $\tilde{q}_m \sim q_m$. The proof is complete. □

We gather the main properties of Volterra ΨDOs in the following statement.

Proposition 2.17 ([Gr, Pi, BGS]). *The following properties hold.*

(1) Pseudolocality. *For any $Q \in \Psi_{\mathrm{v}}^m(U \times \mathbb{R})$, the Volterra kernel $K_Q(x,y,t)$ is smooth on the open subset $\{(x,y,t) \in M \times M \times \mathbb{R};\ x \neq y \text{ or } t \neq 0\}$.*

(2) Proper Support. *For any $Q \in \Psi_{\mathrm{v}}^m(U \times \mathbb{R})$ there exists $Q' \in \Psi_{\mathrm{v}}^m(U \times \mathbb{R})$ such that Q' is properly supported and $Q - Q'$ is a smoothing operator.*

(3) Composition. *Let $Q_j \in \Psi_{\mathrm{v}}^{m_j}(U \times \mathbb{R})$, $j = 1, 2$, have symbol q_j and assume that Q_1 or Q_2 is properly supported. Then $Q_1 Q_2$ lies in $\Psi_{\mathrm{v}}^{m_1+m_2}(U \times \mathbb{R})$ and has symbol $q_1 \# q_2 \sim \sum \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial_\xi^\alpha q_1 D_\xi^\alpha q_2$.*

(4) Parametrices. *Any $Q \in \Psi_{\mathrm{v}}^m(U \times \mathbb{R})$ admits a parametrix in $\Psi_{\mathrm{v}}^{-m}(U \times \mathbb{R})$ if and only if its principal symbol is nowhere vanishing on $U \times [(\mathbb{R}^n \times \overline{\mathbb{C}_-}) \setminus 0]$.*

(5) Diffeomorphism Invariance. *Let ϕ be a diffeomorphism from U onto an open subset V of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then for any $Q \in \Psi_{\mathrm{v}}^m(U \times \mathbb{R})$ the operator $(\phi \oplus \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}})_* Q$ is contained in $\Psi_{\mathrm{v}}^m(V \times \mathbb{R})$.*

Remark 2.18. Most properties of Volterra ΨDOs can be proved in the same way as with classical ΨDOs or by observing that Volterra ΨDOs are ΨDOs of type $(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ in the sense of [Hö]. One important exception is the asymptotic completeness, i.e., given homogeneous Volterra symbols q_{m-j} of degree $m-j$, $j = 0, 1, \ldots$, there is a Volterra ΨDO with symbol $q \sim \sum q_{m-j}$. This property is a crucial ingredient in the parametrix construction in Proposition 2.17 (see [Po2] for a discussion on this point).

As usual with ΨDOs, the asymptotic expansion (2.8) for the symbol of a given ΨDO can be translated in terms of an asymptotic expansion for the Schwartz kernel in terms of distributions that are smoother and smoother. For Volterra ΨDOs we obtain the following result.

Proposition 2.19 ([Gr, Pi, BGS]). *Let $Q \in \Psi_{\mathrm{v}}^m(U \times \mathbb{R})$ have symbol $q \sim \sum_{j \geq 0} q_{m-j}$. Then, for all $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$, there is $J \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$K_Q(x,y,t) = \sum_{j \leq J} \check{q}_{m-j}(x, x-y, t) \mod C^N(U \times U \times \mathbb{R}). \tag{2.11}$$

Sketch of Proof. As Volterra ΨDOs are ΨDOs of type $(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$, the kernel of a Volterra ΨDO of order $\leq -(n+2+2N)$ is C^N (see [Hö]). Let us choose J so that $m - J \leq -(n+1+2N)$, then $Q - \sum_{j \leq J} q_{m-j}(x, D_x, D_t)$ is a Volterra ΨDOs with symbol $q^J \sim \sum_{j \geq J+1} q_{m-j}$, and hence it has order $m - J - 1 \leq -(n+2+2N)$. Therefore, its kernel is C^N. This proves the result. □

The invariance property in Proposition 2.17 enables us to define Volterra ΨDOs on products of manifolds with $\mathbb{R}$ and acting on sections of vector bundles. In particular, we can define Volterra ΨDOs on the manifold $M \times \mathbb{R}$ and acting on the sections of our vector bundle E (seen as a vector bundle over $M \times \mathbb{R}$). All the aforementioned properties of Volterra ΨDOs hold *verbatim* in this context. We shall denote by $\Psi_{\mathrm{v}}^m(M \times \mathbb{R}, E)$ the space of Volterra ΨDOs of order m on $M \times \mathbb{R}$ acting on sections of E.

Remark 2.20. By Remark 2.6 Volterra ΨDOs on $M \times \mathbb{R}$ uniquely extend to continuous operators $C_+^\infty(M \times \mathbb{R}, E)$ to itself. Therefore, the composition of such Volterra ΨDOs always make sense as a continuous operator from $C_+^\infty(M \times \mathbb{R}, E)$ to itself. This enables us to drop the proper support assumption in part (3) of Proposition 2.17.

If $Q \in \Psi_{\mathrm{v}}^m(M \times \mathbb{R}, E)$, then there is a unique $K_Q(x,y,t) \in C^\infty(M \times \mathbb{R}) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{D}'(M, E)$ such that $K_Q(x,y,t) = 0$ for $t < 0$ and

$$Qu(x,s) = \langle K_Q(x,y,s-t), u(y,t) \rangle \qquad \forall u \in C_+^\infty(M \times \mathbb{R}, E).$$

We shall refer to $K_Q(x,y,t)$ as the *Volterra kernel* of Q. Proposition 2.17 ensures us that $K_Q(x,y,t)$ is smooth for $t \neq 0$. Therefore, on $M \times M \times \mathbb{R}^*$ we may regard $K_Q(x,y,t)$ as a smooth section of $E \boxtimes E^*$ over $M \times M \times \mathbb{R}^*$ such that

$$\langle K_Q(x,y,t), u(y,t) \rangle = \int_{M \times \mathbb{R}} K_Q(x,y,t) u(y,t) |dy| dt \qquad \forall u \in C_+^\infty(M \times \mathbb{R}^*, E),$$

where in the l.h.s. $K_Q(x,y,t)$ is an element of $C^\infty(M\times\mathbb{R})\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{D}'(M,E)$ and in the r.h.s. it is a smooth section of $E\boxtimes E^*$.

It follows from Example 2.13 and Proposition 2.17 that the heat operator $L+\partial_t$ admits a parametrix in $\Psi_{\mathrm{v}}^{-2}(M\times\mathbb{R},E)$. Comparing such a parametrix with the inverse $(L+\partial_t)^{-1}$ defined by (2.2) and using (2.5) we arrive at the following result.

Proposition 2.21 ([Gr, Pi], [BGS, pp. 363-362])**.** *The operator $(L+\partial_t)^{-1}$ defined by (2.2) is a Volterra ΨDO of order -2. Moreover, we have*

$$k_t(x,y)=K_{(L+\partial_t)^{-1}}(x,y,t)\qquad\forall t>0. \tag{2.12}$$

Remark 2.22. The fact that $(L+\partial_t)^{-1}$ is Volterra ΨDO implies that it induces a continuous linear operator from $C_+^\infty(M\times\mathbb{R},E)$ to itself (*cf.* Remark 2.4).

Proposition 2.21 provides us with a representation of the heat kernel as the (Volterra) kernel of a Volterra ΨDO. Combining it with (2.11) enables us to describe the asymptotic behaviour of $k_t(x,x)$ as $t\to 0^+$ (see [Gr, BGS] and next section). More generally, we have the following result.

Proposition 2.23. *Let $P:C^\infty(M,E)\to C^\infty(M,E)$ be a differential operator of order m. For $t>0$ denote by $h_t(x,y)$ the kernel of Pe^{-tL} defined as in (2.4). Then, $P(L+\partial_t)^{-1}$ is a Volterra ΨDO of order $m-2$, and we have*

$$h_t(x,y)=K_{P(L+\partial_t)^{-1}}(x,y,t)\qquad\forall t>0.$$

Proof. As the order of P as a Volterra ΨDO is m, it follows from Proposition 2.17 that $P(L+\partial_t)^{-1}$ is a Volterra ΨDO of order $m-2$. Moreover, we have

$$h_t(x,y)=P_xk_t(x,y)=P_xK_{(L+\partial_s)^{-1}}(x,y,t)=K_{P(L+\partial_s)^{-1}}(x,y,t).$$

The proof is complete. □

3. Equivariant Heat Kernel Asymptotics

In this section, we explain how to use the representation of the heat kernel given in the previous section to derive equivariant heat kernel asymptotics. We shall keep using the notation of the previous section. In particular, we let (M^n,g) be a Riemannian manifold. In addition, we let G be a group of isometric diffeomorphisms and let E be a G-equivariant Hermitian vector bundle over M. Given $\phi\in G$, we denote by ϕ^E the unitary vector bundle isomorphism from E onto ϕ^*E induced by the action of E. This defines a unitary operator $U_\phi:L^2(M,E)\to L^2(M,E)$ by

$$U_\phi u(x)=\phi^E\left(\phi^{-1}(x)\right)u\left(\phi^{-1}(x)\right)\qquad\forall u\in L^2(M,E). \tag{3.1}$$

The goal of this section is to derive a short-time asymptotics equivariant traces $\mathrm{Tr}\left[Pe^{-tL}U_\phi\right]$, where ϕ ranges over G and P ranges over differential operator acting on the sections of E.

Let $\phi\in G$. For $t>0$ let $h_t(x,y)$ be the kernel of Pe^{-tL} as defined in (2.4). We observe that the Schwartz kernel of $Pe^{-tL}U_\phi$ is $h_t(x,\phi(y))\phi^E(x)$, and so we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}\left[Pe^{-tL}U_\phi\right]=\int_M\mathrm{tr}_E\left[h_t(x,\phi(x))\phi^E(x)\right]|dx|=\int_M\mathrm{tr}_E\left[\phi^E(x)h_t(x,\phi(x))\right]|dx|. \tag{3.2}$$

We are thus led to understand the short-time behavior of $h_t(x,\phi(x))$. Since by Proposition 2.23 we can represent $h_t(x,y)$ as the kernel of a Volterra ΨDO, we shall more generally study the short-time behavior of $K_Q(x,\phi(x),t)$, where $Q\in\Psi_{\mathrm{v}}^m(M\times\mathbb{R},E)$, $m\in\mathbb{Z}$.

In what follows, we denote by M^ϕ the fixed-point set of ϕ, and for $a=0,\ldots,n$, we let M_a^ϕ be the subset of M^ϕ consisting of fixed-points x at which $\phi'(x)-1$ has rank $n-a$, i.e., the eigenvalue 1 of $\phi'(x)$ has multiplicity a. Therefore, we have the disjoint-sum decomposition,

$$M^\phi=\bigsqcup_{0\leq a\leq n}M_a^\phi.$$

In addition, we pick some $\epsilon_0\in(0,\rho_0)$, where ρ_0 is the injectivity radius of (M,g).

Let x_0 be a point in some component M_a^ϕ. Denote by $B_{x_0}(\epsilon_0)$ the ball of radius ϵ_0 around the origin in $T_{x_0}M$. Then $\exp_{x_0}$ induces a diffeomorphism from $B_{x_0}(\epsilon_0)$ onto an open neighborhood U_{ϵ_0} of x_0 in M. Moreover, as ϕ is an isometry, for all $X \in B_{x_0}(\epsilon_0)$, we have

$$\phi\left(\exp_{x_0}(X)\right) = \exp_{\phi(x_0)}(\phi'(x_0)X) = \exp_{x_0}(\phi'(x_0)X). \tag{3.3}$$

Thus under $\exp_{x_0|B_{x_0}(\epsilon_0)}$ the diffeomorphism ϕ corresponds to $\phi'(x_0)$, and hence $M^\phi \cap U_{\epsilon_0}$ is identified with $B^\phi_{x_0}(\epsilon_0) := B_{x_0}(\epsilon_0) \cap \ker(\phi'(x_0) - 1)$. Incidentally, the tangent bundle $TM^\phi_{|M^\phi \cap U_{\epsilon_0}}$ is identified with $B^\phi_{x_0}(\epsilon_0) \times \ker(\phi'(x_0) - 1)$ and the normal bundle $\left(TM^\phi\right)^\perp_{|M^\phi \cap U_{\epsilon_0}}$ is identified with $B^\phi_{x_0}(\epsilon_0) \times \ker(\phi'(x_0) - 1)^\perp$. Note also that when $a = 0$ this shows that x_0 is an isolated fixed-point. It follows from this that each component M_a^ϕ is a (closed) submanifold of dimension a of M and over M_a^ϕ the set $\mathcal{N}^\phi := \sqcup_{x \in M^\phi} \ker(\phi'(x) - 1)^\perp$ can be organized as a smooth vector bundle. We denote by $\pi : \mathcal{N}^\phi \to M^\phi$ the corresponding canonical map. We shall refer to $\mathcal{N}^\phi$ as the normal bundle of M^ϕ. Note that ϕ' induces (over each component M_a^ϕ) an isometric vector bundle isomorphism of $\mathcal{N}^\phi$ onto itself.

As is well known, using the normal bundle $\mathcal{N}^\phi$ we can construct a tubular neighborhood of M^ϕ as follows. Let $\mathcal{N}^\phi(\epsilon_0)$ be the ball bundle of $\mathcal{N}^\phi$ of radius ϵ_0 around the zero-section. Then the map $\mathcal{N}^\phi(\epsilon_0) \ni X \to \exp_{\pi(x)}(X)$ is a homeomorphism from $\mathcal{N}^\phi(\epsilon_0)$ onto an open tubular neighborhood V_{ϵ_0} of M^ϕ in M. Moreover, over each submanifold M_a^ϕ, $a = 0, 1, \dots, n$, this maps induces a diffeomorphism from $\mathcal{N}^\phi(\epsilon_0)_{|M_a^\phi}$ onto its image. Let us fix some $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0)$ and let $(x, t) \in M^\phi \times (0, \infty)$. Observe that, in view of (3.3), for all $v \in N_x^\phi(\epsilon)$, we have

$$K_Q\left(\exp_x v, \exp_x(\phi'(x)v), t\right) = K_Q\left(\exp_x v, \phi(\exp_x v), t\right).$$

For $x \in M^\phi$ and $t > 0$ set

$$I_Q(x,t) := \phi^E(x)^{-1} \int_{N_x^\phi(\epsilon)} \phi^E\left(\exp_x v\right) K_Q\left(\exp_x v, \exp_x(\phi'(x)v), t\right) |dv|. \tag{3.4}$$

This defines a smooth section of $\operatorname{End} E$ over $M^\phi \times (0, \infty)$, since $\phi^E(x) \in \operatorname{End} E_x$ for all $x \in M^\phi$.

In what follows, we shall say that a function $f(t)$ is $\mathrm{O}(t^\infty)$ as $t \to 0^+$ when $f(t)$ is $\mathrm{O}(t^N)$ for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 3.1. *As $t \to 0^+$, we have*

$$\int_M \operatorname{tr}_E\left[\phi^E(x) K_Q(x, \phi(x), t)\right] |dx| = \int_{M^\phi} \operatorname{tr}_E\left[\phi^E(x) I_Q(x,t)\right] |dx| + \mathrm{O}(t^\infty).$$

Proof. If we regard $K_Q(x, y, t)$ as a distributional section of $E \boxtimes E^*$ over $M \times M \times \mathbb{R}$, then Proposition 2.17 tells us that $K_Q(x, y, t)$ is smooth on $\{(x, y, t) \in M \times M \times \mathbb{R};\ x \neq y\}$. Incidentally, $K_Q\left(x, \phi(x), t\right)$ is smooth on $(M \setminus M^\phi) \times \mathbb{R}$. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $K_Q(x, y, t) = 0$ for $t < 0$, we see that $\partial_t^N K_Q(x, \phi(x), 0) = 0$ for all $x \in M \setminus M^\phi$. The Taylor formula at $t = 0$ then implies that, uniformly on compact subsets of $M \setminus M^\phi$, we have

$$K_Q\left(x, \phi(x), t\right) = \mathrm{O}(t^N) \qquad \text{as } t \to 0^+.$$

As M is compact and V_ϵ is an open neighborhood of M^ϕ, the complement $M \setminus V_\epsilon$ is a compact subset of $M \setminus M^\phi$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_M \operatorname{tr}_E\left[\phi^E(x) K_Q(x, \phi(x), t)\right] |dx| &= \int_{V_\epsilon} \operatorname{tr}_E\left[\phi^E(x) K_Q(x, \phi(x), t)\right] |dx| + \mathrm{O}(t^N) \\
&= \int_{M^\phi} \left(\int_{\mathcal{N}_x^\phi(\epsilon)} \operatorname{tr}_E\left[\phi^E(\exp_x(v)) K_Q\left(\exp_x(v), \phi(\exp_x(v)), t\right)\right] |dv| \right) |dx| + \mathrm{O}(t^N) \\
&= \int_{M^\phi} \operatorname{tr}_E\left[\phi^E(x) I_Q(x,t)\right] |dx| + \mathrm{O}(t^N).
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma. □

Thanks to Lemma 3.1 we are reduced to study the short-time behavior of $I_Q(x,t)$. Note this is a purely local issue and $I_Q(x,t)$ depends on ϵ only up to $\mathrm{O}(t^\infty)$ near $t=0$. Therefore, upon choosing ϵ_0 small enough so that there is a local trivialization of E over the tubular neighborhood V_{ϵ_0}, we may assume that E is a trivial vector bundle.

Given a fixed-point x_0 in a submanifold component M_a^ϕ, consider some local coordinates $x=(x^1,\ldots,x^a)$ around x_0. Setting $b=n-a$, we may further assume that over the range of the domain of the local coordinates there is an orthonormal frame $e_1(x),\ldots,e_b(x)$ of $\mathcal{N}^\phi$. This defines fiber coordinates $v=(v^1,\ldots,v^b)$. Composing with the map $\mathcal{N}^\phi(\epsilon_0)\ni(x,v)\to\exp_x v$ we then get local coordinates $x^1,\ldots,x^a,v^1,\ldots,v^b$ for M near the fixed-point x_0. We shall refer to this type of coordinates as *tubular coordinates*. Let $q(x,v;\xi,\nu;\tau)\sim\sum_{j\geq 0}q_{m-j}(x,v;\xi,\nu;\tau)$ be the symbol Q in these tubular coordinates. The asymptotic expansion $\sim$ here follows from Definition 2.10. We denote by $K_Q(x,v;y,w;t)$ the kernel of Q in these coordinates. In the local coordinates $x^1,\ldots,x^a$ we have

$$I_Q(x,t)=\int_{|v|<\epsilon}\phi^E(x,0)^{-1}\phi^E(x,v)K_Q(x,v;x,\phi'(x)v;t)dv, \tag{3.5}$$

where $\phi^E(x,v)$ is ϕ^E in the tubular coordinates (x,v).

In what follows, we let U be the open subset of $\mathbb{R}^a$ over which the coordinates $x=(x^1,\ldots,x^a)$ range. Moreover, we denote by $B(\epsilon_0)$ (resp., $B(\epsilon)$) the open ball about the origin in $\mathbb{R}^b$ with radius ϵ_0 (resp., ϵ). Note that the range of $v=(v^1,\ldots,v^b)$ is $B(\epsilon_0)$. In addition, for $j=0,1,\ldots$ we set

$$q^E_{m-j}(x,v;\xi,\nu;\tau):=\phi^E(x,0)^{-1}\phi^E(x,v)q_{m-j}(x,v;\xi,\nu;\tau). \tag{3.6}$$

Lemma 3.2. *As $t\to 0^+$ and uniformly on compact subsets of U, we have*

$$I_Q(x,t)\sim\sum_{j\geq 0}\int_{|v|<\epsilon}(q^E_{m-j})^\vee(x,v;0,(1-\phi'(x))v;t)\,dv.$$

Proof. Let $N\in\mathbb{N}_0$. By Proposition 2.19 there is $J\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $K_Q-\sum_{j\leq J}\check{q}_{m-j}$ is C^N. Set

$$R_N(x,v,t):=K_Q\left(x,v;x,\phi'(x)v;t\right)-\sum_{j\leq J}\check{q}_{m-j}\left(x,v;0,(1-\phi'(x))v;t\right).$$

Then $R_N(x,v,t)$ is C^N on $U\times B(\epsilon_0)\times\mathbb{R}$. Moreover $R_N(x,v,t)=0$ for $t<0$, since $K_Q(x,v;y,w;t)$ and all the $\check{q}_{m-j}(x,v;y,w;t)$ vanish for $t<0$. This implies that $\partial^j R_N(x,v,0)=0$ for all $j\leq N$. Applying Taylor's formula at $t=0$ to $R_N(x,v,t)$ then shows that, as $t\to 0^+$ and uniformly on compact subsets of $U\times B(\epsilon_0)$, the function $R_N(x,v,t)$ is $\mathrm{O}(t^N)$, that is,

$$K_Q(x,v;x,\phi'(x)v;t)=\sum_{j\leq J}\check{q}_{m-j}\left(x,v;0,(1-\phi'(x))v;t\right)+\mathrm{O}(t^N).$$

Therefore, uniformly on compact subsets of U,

$$I_Q(x,t)=\sum_{j\leq J}\int_{|v|<\epsilon}(q^E_{m-j})^\vee(x,v;0,(1-\phi'(x))v;t)\,dv+\mathrm{O}(t^N).$$

This proves the lemma. □

Lemma 3.3. *As $t\to 0^+$ and uniformly on compact subsets of U, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{|v|<\epsilon}(q^E_{m-j})^\vee(x,v;0,(1-\phi'(x))v;t)\,dv&\\ \sim\sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+j+m+n\\ \text{even}}}t^{\frac{j-(m+a+2)+|\alpha|}{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^b}\frac{v^\alpha}{\alpha!}\left(\partial_v^\alpha q^E_{m-j}\right)^\vee(x,0;0,(1-\phi'(x))v;1)\,dv.&\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Proof. Let $h(x,v,w,t)$ be the function on $U\times B(\epsilon_0)\times\left[(\mathbb{R}^b\times\mathbb{R})\setminus 0\right]$ defined by

$$h(x,v,w,t):=(q^E_{m-j})^\vee(x,v;0,(1-\phi'(x))w;t)\,dv.$$

We observe that $h(x,v,w,t)$ is smooth on $U\times B(\epsilon_0)\times(\mathbb{R}^b\setminus 0)\times\mathbb{R}$ and vanishes for $t<0$. Moreover, the homogeneity of $\breve{q}_{m-j}$ in the sense of (2.7) implies that

$$h(x,v,\lambda w,\lambda^2 t)=|\lambda|^{-(n+2)}\lambda^{j-m}h(x,v,w,t)\qquad\forall\lambda\in\mathbb{R}^*. \tag{3.8}$$

Setting $k=j-(m+n+2)$, we see that, for all $t>0$, we have

$$\int_{|v|<\epsilon}h(x,v,v,t)dv=t^{\frac{b}{2}}\int_{B\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{t}}\right)}h(x,\sqrt{t}v,\sqrt{t}v,t)dv=t^{\frac{k+b}{2}}\int_{B\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{t}}\right)}h(x,\sqrt{t}v,v,1)dv. \tag{3.9}$$

Let $N\in\mathbb{N}$. By Taylor's formula,

$$h(x,\sqrt{t}v,v,1)=\sum_{|\alpha|<N}\frac{t^{\frac{|\alpha|}{2}}}{\alpha!}\frac{v^\alpha}{\alpha!}\partial_v^\alpha h(x,0,v,1)+t^{\frac{N}{2}}R_N(x,\sqrt{t}v,v), \tag{3.10}$$

where $R_N(x,v,w)$ is the function on $U\times B(\epsilon_0)\times\mathbb{R}^b$ given by

$$R_N(x,v,w)=\sum_{|\alpha|=N}\int_0^1(1-s)^{N-1}w^\alpha\partial_v^\alpha h(x,sv,w,1)ds.$$

Let K be a compact subset of U. As $w^\alpha\partial_v^\alpha h(x,v,w,t)$ is smooth on $U\times B(\epsilon_0)\times(\mathbb{R}^b\setminus 0)\times\mathbb{R}$ and vanishes for $t<0$, we see that $w^\alpha\partial_t^l\partial_v^\alpha h(x,v,w,0)=0$ for all $l\in\mathbb{N}_0$. Therefore, using once more Taylor's formula at $t=0$ shows that, for all $l\in\mathbb{N}_0$, there is a constant $C_{K\alpha l}>0$ such that

$$|w^\alpha\partial_v^\alpha h(x,v,w,t)|\leq C_{kl\alpha}|t|^l\qquad\forall(x,v,w,t)\in K\times B(\epsilon)\times S^{b-1}\times(0,1).$$

In addition, the homogeneity of $h(x,v,w,t)$ implies that, when $w\neq 0$, we have

$$w^\alpha\partial_v h(x,v,w,1)=w^\alpha|w|^k\partial_v h(x,v,|w|^{-1}w,|w|^{-2}).$$

Thus,

$$|w^\alpha\partial_v^\alpha h(x,v,w,1)|\leq C_{kl\alpha}|w|^{k+|\alpha|-2l}\quad\forall(x,v,w)\in K\times B(\epsilon)\times(\mathbb{R}^b\setminus 0).$$

The above estimate shows that $w^\alpha\partial_v h(x,v,w,1)$ has rapid decay in w uniformly with respect to x and v, as x ranges over K and v ranges over $B(\epsilon)$. Incidentally, both $w^\alpha\partial_v h(x,v,w,1)$ and $R_N(x,v,w)$ are uniformly bounded on $K\times B(\epsilon)\times\mathbb{R}^b$. It then follows that there is a constant $C_{KN}>0$ such that

$$|R_N(x,\sqrt{t}v,v)|\leq C_{KN}\qquad\forall(x,v)\in K\times B(\epsilon).$$

Therefore, integrating both sides of (3.10) with respect to v over $B\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{t}}\right)$ we see that, as $t\to 0^+$ and uniformly on K, we have

$$\int_{B\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{t}}\right)}h(x,\sqrt{t}v,v,1)dv=\sum_{|\alpha|<N}t^{\frac{|\alpha|}{2}}\int_{B\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{t}}\right)}\frac{v^\alpha}{\alpha!}\partial_v^\alpha h(x,0,v,1)dv+\mathrm{O}\left(t^{\frac{N-b}{2}}\right).$$

Together with (3.9) this proves that, as $t\to 0^+$ and uniformly on K, we have

$$\int_{|v|<\epsilon}h(x,v,v,t)dv\sim\sum t^{\frac{k+b+|\alpha|}{2}}\int_{B\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{t}}\right)}\frac{v^\alpha}{\alpha!}\partial_v^\alpha h(x,0,v,1)dv. \tag{3.11}$$

We observe that $k+b=j-(m+a+2)$. Moreover, as mentioned above, the function $w^\alpha\partial_v^\alpha h(x,0,w,1)$ has rapid decay uniformly with respect to x, as x ranges over K. Therefore, as $t\to 0^+$ and uniformly on K, we have

$$\int_{B\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{t}}\right)}v^\alpha\partial_v^\alpha h(x,0,v,1)dv=\int_{\mathbb{R}^b}v^\alpha\partial_v^\alpha h(x,0,v,1)dv+\mathrm{O}(t^\infty). \tag{3.12}$$

In addition, the homogeneity property (3.8) for $\lambda=-1$ gives

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^b}v^\alpha\partial_v^\alpha h(x,0,v,1)dv=\int_{\mathbb{R}^b}(-v)^\alpha\partial_v^\alpha h(x,0,-v,(-1)^2 1)dv=(-1)^{|\alpha|+j-m}\int_{\mathbb{R}^b}v^\alpha\partial_v^\alpha h(x,0,v,1)dv.$$

Thus $\int_{\mathbb{R}^b} v^\alpha \partial_v^\alpha h(x,0,v,1)dv = 0$ whenever $|\alpha| + j - m$ is odd. Combining this with (3.11) and (3.12) shows that, as $t \to 0^+$ and uniformly on K, we have

$$\int_{|v|<\epsilon} h(x,v,v,t)dv \sim \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+j-m \\ \text{even}}} t^{\frac{j-(m+a+2)+|\alpha|}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^b} \frac{v^\alpha}{\alpha!} \partial_v^\alpha h(x,0,v,1)dv.$$

This proves the lemma. □

Combining Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 we see that, as $t \to 0^+$ and uniformly on compact subsets of U, we have

$$I_Q(x,t) \sim \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+j-m \\ \text{even}}} t^{\frac{j-(m+a+2)+|\alpha|}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^b} \frac{v^\alpha}{\alpha!} \left(\partial_v^\alpha q_{m-j}^E\right)^\vee (x,0;0,(1-\phi'(x))v;1)\, dv. \tag{3.13}$$

If $|\alpha| + j - m$ is even, then $\frac{-(m+a+2)+j+|\alpha|}{2}$ is an integer and is greater than $-\frac{m+a}{2} - 1$, i.e., it is greater than or equal to $-\left[\frac{m+a}{2}\right] - 1$. We actually have an equality when $j = |\alpha| = 0$ and m is even and when $|\alpha| + j = 1$ and m is odd. Thus, grouping together all the terms with same powers of t, we can rewrite the above asymptotic in the form,

$$I_Q(x,t) \sim \sum_{j\geq 0} t^{-\left(\frac{a}{2}+\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]+1\right)+j} I_Q^{(j)}(x), \tag{3.14}$$

where we have set

$$I_Q^{(j)}(x) := \sum_{|\alpha|\leq m-2\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]+2j} \int \frac{v^\alpha}{\alpha!} \left(\partial_v^\alpha q^E_{2\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]-2j+|\alpha|}\right)^\vee (x,0;0,(1-\phi'(x))v;1)\, dv. \tag{3.15}$$

Therefore, we arrive at the following result.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $Q \in \Psi_{\mathrm{v}}^m(M \times \mathbb{R}, E)$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Uniformly on each fixed-point submanifold M_a^ϕ, $a = 0, 2, ..., n$, we have*

$$I_Q(x,t) \sim \sum_{j\geq 0} t^{-\left(\frac{a}{2}+\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]+1\right)+j} I_Q^{(j)}(x) \qquad \text{as } t \to 0^+, \tag{3.16}$$

where $I_Q^{(j)}(x)$ is the section of $\operatorname{End} E$ *over M^ϕ defined by (3.15) in terms of the symbol of Q in local tubular coordinates over which E is trivialized.*

Remark 3.5. On M_a^ϕ the leading term in (3.16) is $t^{-\left(\frac{m+a}{2}+1\right)} I_Q^{(0)}(x)$, where $I_Q^{(0)}(x)$ depends only on the principal symbol of Q. Namely,

$$I_Q^{(0)}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^b} (q_m^E)^\vee (x,0;0,(1-\phi'(x))v;1)dv = |1-\phi'(x)|^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^b} \check{q}_m(x,0;0,v;1)dv.$$

Remark 3.6. The asymptotic (3.16) is expressed in terms of the symbol of Q in tubular coordinates. However, we usually start with a symbol in some local coordinates before passing to tubular coordinates. We determine the symbol in the tubular coordinates by using the change of variable formula for symbols (as given, e.g., in [Hö]) in the case of the change of variables $\psi(x,v) = \exp_x(v)$.

We are now in a position to state and prove the main result of this section.

Proposition 3.7. *Let $P : C^\infty(M,E) \to C^\infty(M,E)$ be a differential operator of order m.*

(1) *Uniformly on each fixed-point submanifold M_a^ϕ, $a = 0, 2, ..., n$, we have*

$$I_{P(L+\partial_t)^{-1}}(x,t) \sim \sum_{j\geq 0} t^{-\left(\frac{a}{2}+\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]\right)+j} I^{(j)}_{P(L+\partial_t)^{-1}}(x) \qquad \text{as } t \to 0^+, \tag{3.17}$$

where $I^{(j)}_{P(L+\partial_t)^{-1}}(x)$ is the section of $\operatorname{End} E$ *over M^ϕ defined by (3.15) in terms of the symbol of $P(L+\partial_t)^{-1}$ in any tubular coordinates over which E is trivial.*

(2) *As $t \to 0^+$, we have*

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left[Pe^{-tL}U_\phi\right] = \int_{M^\phi} \operatorname{tr}_E\left[\phi^E(x) I_{P(L+\partial_t)^{-1}}(x,t)\right]|dx| + \mathrm{O}(t^\infty) \tag{3.18}$$

$$\sim \sum_{0\le a\le n}\sum_{j\ge 0} t^{-\left(\frac{a}{2}+\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]\right)+j} \int_{M_a^\phi} \operatorname{tr}_E\left[\phi^E(x) I^{(j)}_{P(L+\partial_t)^{-1}}(x)\right]|dx|. \tag{3.19}$$

Proof. The first part is an immediate consequence of Proposition 3.4, since $P(L+\partial_t)^{-1}$ is a Volterra ΨDO of order $m-2$. Combining Proposition 2.23 and (3.2) shows that

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left[Pe^{-tL}U_\phi\right] = \int_M \operatorname{tr}_E\left[\phi^E(x) K_{P(L+\partial_t)^{-1}}(x,\phi(x),t)\right].$$

The 2nd part then follows from Lemma 3.1 and the first part. The proof is complete. □

Remark 3.8. When $P=1$, the asymptotic (3.19) was originally established by Gilkey [Gi] and Shih-Chi Lee [Lee].

Remark 3.9. The formula (3.15) expresses the coefficients $I^{(j)}_{P(L+\partial_t)^{-1}}(x)$ in terms of the homogeneous components of the symbol of $P(L+\partial_t)^{-1}$. Therefore, in order to compute them at a given point $x_0 \in M^\phi$, we may replace $P(L+\partial_t)^{-1}$ by PQ, where Q is Volterra ΨDO parametrix of $L+\partial_t$ defined near x_0. In particular, we have

$$I_{P(L+\partial_t)^{-1}}(x_0,t) = I_{PQ}(x_0,t) + \mathrm{O}(t^\infty).$$

4. The Local Equivariant Index Theorem

In this section, we shall use the Volterra pseudodifferential calculus and the equivariant heat kernel asymptotics from the previous section to give a new proof of the local equivariant index theorem for Dirac operators.

Let (M^n, g) be an even dimensional compact spin oriented Riemannian manifold with the spinor bundle $\not{S} = \not{S}^+ \oplus \not{S}^-$. We let G be a subgroup of the connected component of the group of smooth orientation-preserving isometries of (M,g) preserving the spin structure. Then any $\phi \in G$ uniquely lifts to a unitary vector bundle isomorphism $\phi^{\not{S}} : \not{S} \to \phi_*\not{S}$ (see [BG]). In addition, we let E be a G-equivariant Hermitian vector bundle over M of rank p equipped with a G-equivariant Hermitian connection ∇^E. We also form the G-equivariant $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded Hermitian vector bundle $\not{S}\otimes E = (\not{S}^+\otimes E)\oplus(\not{S}^-\otimes E)$, and let $\not{D}_{\nabla^E} : C^\infty(M, \not{S}\otimes E) \to C^\infty(M,\not{S}\otimes E)$ be the Dirac operator associated to these data. Namely,

$$\not{D}_{\nabla^E} = \not{D}_g \otimes 1_E + (c\otimes 1_E)\circ \nabla^E,$$

where $\not{D}_g : C^\infty(M,\not{S}) \to C^\infty(M,\not{S})$ is the Dirac operator of M and $c : T^*M \times \not{S} \to \not{S}$ is the Clifford action of T^*M on $\not{S}$. Note that with respect to the splitting $\not{S}\otimes E = (\not{S}^+\otimes E)\oplus(\not{S}^-\otimes E)$ the Dirac operator $\not{D}_{\nabla^E}$ takes the form,

$$\not{D}_{\nabla^E} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \not{D}^-_{\nabla^E} \\ \not{D}^+_{\nabla^E} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \not{D}^\pm_{\nabla^E} : C^\infty(M,\not{S}^\pm\otimes E) \to C^\infty(M,\not{S}^\mp\otimes E).$$

Given $\phi \in G$, as in the previous section, we let ϕ^E be the unitary vector bundle isomorphism from E onto ϕ_*E induced by the action of ϕ on E. Then $\phi^{\not{S}}\otimes\phi^E$ is a lift of ϕ to a unitary vector bundle isomorphism from $\not{S}\otimes E$ onto $\phi_*\not{S}\otimes\phi_*E = \phi_*(\not{S}\otimes E)$. As in the previous section, this defines a unitary operator $U_\phi : L^2(M,\not{S}\otimes E) \to L^2(M,\not{S}\otimes E)$. Note that the G-equivariant setup implies that U_ϕ commutes with the Dirac operator $\not{D}_{\nabla^E}$. The equivariant index $\not{D}_{\nabla^E} : G \to \mathbb{Z}$ is defined by

$$\operatorname{ind}\not{D}_{\nabla^E}(\phi) = \operatorname{Tr}\left[U_{\phi|\ker\not{D}^+_{\nabla^E}}\right] - \operatorname{Tr}\left[U_{\phi|\ker\not{D}^-_{\nabla^E}}\right] \qquad \forall \phi \in G.$$

When $\phi = \mathrm{id}_M$ we recover the Fredholm index of $\not{D}_{\nabla^E}$. When ϕ has only isolated fixed-points, the equivariant index of ϕ agrees with the Lefschetz number associated to the spin complex with coefficient in E (see [AB1]). The equivariant index theorem of Atiyah-Segal-Singer [AS, ASi2] expresses the equivariant index in terms of universal curvature polynomials defined as follows.

Let $\phi \in G$. As in the previous section, we shall denote by M^ϕ the fixed-point set of ϕ and by $\mathcal{N}^\phi$ the normal bundle of M^ϕ. We observe that, as ϕ preserves the orientation, the fixed-point submanifolds M_a^ϕ have even dimensions. Moreover, we shall orient each fixed-point submanifold M_a^ϕ, $a = 0, 2, \ldots, n$, as in [BGV, Prop. 6.14], so that $\phi^{\not S}$ gives rise to a section of $\Lambda^{n-a}(\mathcal{N}^\phi)^*_{|M_a^\phi}$ which is positive with respect to the orientation of $\mathcal{N}^\phi$ defined by the orientations of M and M_a^ϕ.

Let R^{TM} be the curvature of (M, g), regarded as a section of $\Lambda^2 T^*M \otimes \mathrm{End}(TM)$. The G-equivariance of Levi-Civita connection ∇^{TM} implies that, its restriction to each fixed-point submanifold M_a^ϕ, $a = 0, 2, \ldots, n$, preserves the splitting $TM_{|M_a^\phi} = TM_a^\phi \oplus \mathcal{N}^\phi$ over M_a^ϕ. Therefore, it induces connections ∇^{TM^ϕ} and $\nabla^{\mathcal{N}^\phi}$ on TM_a^ϕ and $\mathcal{N}^\phi$, respectively, so that we have

$$\nabla^{TM}_{|TM_a^\phi} = \nabla^{TM^\phi} \oplus \nabla^{\mathcal{N}^\phi} \qquad \text{on } M_a^\phi.$$

Note that ∇^{TM^ϕ} is the Levi-Civita connection of TM_a^ϕ. Let R^{TM^ϕ} and $R^{\mathcal{N}^\phi}$ be the respective curvatures of ∇^{TM^ϕ} and $\nabla^{\mathcal{N}^\phi}$. We observe that

$$R_{|\Lambda^2 TM_a^\phi} = R^{TM^\phi} \oplus R^{\mathcal{N}^\phi}. \tag{4.1}$$

Define

$$\hat{A}(R^{TM^\phi}) = \det{}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{R^{TM^\phi}/2}{\sinh(R^{TM^\phi}/2)}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_\phi\left(R^{\mathcal{N}^\phi}\right) = \det{}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(1 - \phi^{\mathcal{N}} e^{-R^{\mathcal{N}^\phi}}\right), \tag{4.2}$$

where $\det^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(1 - \phi^{\mathcal{N}} e^{-R^{\mathcal{N}^\phi}}\right)$ is defined in the same way as in [BGV, Section 6.3].

In addition, let F^E be the curvature of the G-equivariant connection ∇^E and denote by F_0^E its restriction to M_a^ϕ. Note that F_0^E is a smooth section of $\left(\Lambda^2 T^*M_a^\phi\right) \otimes \mathrm{End}(E)$. We then define

$$\mathrm{Ch}_\phi\left(F^E\right) := \mathrm{Tr}\left[\phi^E \exp\left(-F_0^E\right)\right] \in C^\infty\left(M_a^\phi, \Lambda^{2\bullet} T^*M_a^\phi\right).$$

We can now state the equivariant index theorem in the following form.

Theorem 4.1 (Equivariant Index Theorem [AS, ASi2])**.** *For all $\phi \in G$, we have*

$$\mathrm{ind}\not{D}_{\nabla^E}(\phi) = (-i)^{\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{\substack{0 \le a \le n \\ a \text{ even}}} (2\pi)^{-\frac{a}{2}} \int_{M_a^\phi} \hat{A}(R^{TM^\phi}) \wedge \nu_\phi\left(R^{\mathcal{N}^\phi}\right) \wedge \mathrm{Ch}_\phi\left(F^E\right). \tag{4.3}$$

Remark 4.2. When $\phi = \mathrm{id}_M$ we recover the index theorem of Atiyah-Singer [ASi1, ASi2] for Dirac operators. In case ϕ has only isolated fixed-points, the formula (4.3) reduces to the fixed-point formula of Atiyah-Bott [AB1, AB2].

Let us recall how the equivariant index theorem can be proved by heat kernel techniques. In what follows we let $\mathrm{str}_{\not S \otimes E} = \mathrm{tr}_{\not S^+ \otimes E} - \mathrm{tr}_{\not S^- \otimes E}$ be the supertrace on the fibers of the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded bundle $\not S \otimes E = (\not S^+ \otimes E) \oplus (\not S^- \otimes E)$. We also denote by Str the corresponding supertrace on $\mathcal{L}^1\left(L^2(M, \not S \otimes E)\right)$. By the equivariant McKean-Singer formula (see, e.g., [BGV, Prop. 6.3]), for any given $\phi \in G$, we have

$$\mathrm{ind}\not{D}_{\nabla^E}(\phi) = \mathrm{Str}\left[e^{-t\not{D}^2_{\nabla^E}} U_\phi\right] \qquad \text{for all } t > 0.$$

Therefore, the equivariant index theorem is a consequence of the following result.

Theorem 4.3 (Local Equivariant Index Theorem [DP, Gi, Ka])**.** *For all $\phi \in G$, we have*

$$\lim_{t \to 0^+} \mathrm{Str}\left[e^{-t\not{D}^2_{\nabla^E}} U_\phi\right] = (-i)^{\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{\substack{0 \le a \le n \\ a \text{ even}}} (2\pi)^{-\frac{a}{2}} \int_{M_a^\phi} \hat{A}(R^{TM^\phi}) \wedge \nu_\phi\left(R^{\mathcal{N}^\phi}\right) \wedge \mathrm{Ch}_\phi\left(F^E\right). \tag{4.4}$$

Remark 4.4. The local equivariant index theorem for the Dirac complex with coefficients in a vector bundle is originally due to Gilkey [Gi], who also obtained versions of this theorem for several other elliptic complexes. Versions for the signature complex were also given by Donnelly-Patodi [DP] and Kawasaki [Ka] around the same time. All these proofs partly rely on Riemanian invariant theory. Purely analytical proofs were subsequently given by Bismut [Bi1], Berline-Vergne [BV1, BGV]

and Lafferty-Yu-Zhang [LYZ]. In addition, Liu-Ma [LM] proved a version of the local equivariant index theorem for families of Dirac operators.

In what follows, given a differential form ω on M (resp., M_a^ϕ) we shall denote by $|\omega|^{(n)}$ (resp., $|\omega|^{(a,0)}$) its Berezin integral, i.e., its inner product with the volume form of M (resp., the induced volume form of M_a^ϕ). We note that if ω is a differential form on M_a^ϕ, then

$$\int_{M_a^\phi} |\omega(x)|^{(a,0)}|dx| = \int_{M_a^\phi} \omega. \tag{4.5}$$

Bearing this in mind, let $\phi \in G$. Applying the 2nd part of Proposition 3.7 to $L = \not{D}^2_{\nabla^E}$ and $P = \gamma$, where $\gamma = \mathrm{id}_{\not{S}^+\otimes E} - \mathrm{id}_{\not{S}^-\otimes E}$ is the grading operator, we see that, as $t \to 0^+$, we have

$$\mathrm{Str}\left[e^{-t\not{D}^2_{\nabla^E}}U_\phi\right] = \int_{M^\phi} \mathrm{str}_{\not{S}\otimes E}\left[\phi^{\not{S}\otimes E}(x) I_{(\not{D}^2_{\nabla^E}+\partial_t)^{-1}}(x,t)\right]|dx| + \mathrm{O}(t^\infty).$$

Therefore, we see that the local equivariant index theorem is a consequence of the following result.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $\phi \in G$. Then, as $t \to 0^+$ and uniformly on each fixed-point submanifold M_a^ϕ, we have*

$$\mathrm{str}_{\not{S}\otimes E}\left[\phi^{\not{S}\otimes E}(x_0) I_{(\not{D}^2_{\nabla^E}+\partial_t)^{-1}}(x_0,t)\right] = (-i)^{\frac{n}{2}}(2\pi)^{-\frac{a}{2}}\left|\hat{A}(R^{TM^\phi})\wedge \nu_\phi\left(R^{\mathcal{N}^\phi}\right)\wedge \mathrm{Ch}_\phi\left(F^E\right)(x_0)\right|^{(a,0)} + \mathrm{O}(t). \tag{4.6}$$

We shall now prove Theorem 4.5. By the 1st part Proposition 3.7, as $t \to 0^+$ and uniformly on each fixed-point submanifold M_a^ϕ, we have

$$I_{(\not{D}^2_{\nabla^E}+\partial_t)^{-1}}(x,t) \sim \sum_{j\geq 0} t^{-\frac{a}{2}+j} I^{(j)}_{(\not{D}^2_{\nabla^E}+\partial_t)^{-1}}(x). \tag{4.7}$$

Comparing the asymptotics (4.6) and (4.7) we deduce that in order to prove Theorem 4.5 it is enough to show that the asymptotics (4.6) hold pointwise at any fixed-point of ϕ.

Let $x_0 \in M_a^\phi$, $a = 0, 2, \ldots, n$. By Remark 3.9, given any Volterra ΨDO parametrix Q defined near x_0, we have

$$I_{(\not{D}^2_{\nabla^E}+\partial_t)^{-1}}(x_0,t) = I_Q(x_0,t) + \mathrm{O}(t^\infty). \tag{4.8}$$

As a result we may replace the Dirac operator $\not{D}_{\nabla^E}$ by any differential operator that agrees with $\not{D}_{\nabla^E}$ in a given local chart near x_0. In other words, this enables us to localize the problem and replace $\not{D}_{\nabla^E}$ by a Dirac operator on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and acting on the trivial bundle with fiber $\not{S}_n \otimes \mathbb{C}^p$, where $\not{S}_n$ is the spinor space of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and p is the rank of E.

To wit let $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ be an oriented orthonormal basis of $T_{x_0}M$ such that $e_1, \ldots, e_a$ span $T_{x_0}M^\phi$ and $e_{a+1}, \ldots, e_n$ span $\mathcal{N}^\phi_{x_0}$. This provides us with normal coordinates $(x^1, \ldots, x^n) \to \exp_{x_0}\left(x^1e_1 + \cdots + x^ne_n\right)$. Moreover, using parallel transport enables us to construct a synchronous local oriented tangent frame $e_1(x), \ldots, e_n(x)$ such that $e_1(x), \ldots, e_a(x)$ form an oriented frame of TM_a^ϕ and $e_{a+1}(x), \ldots, e_n(x)$ form an (oriented) frame $\mathcal{N}^\phi$ (when both frames are restricted to M^ϕ). This gives rise to trivializations of the tangent and spinor bundles. We also trivialize E near x_0. Using these coordinates and trivializations, we let $\not{D}$ be a Dirac operator on $\mathbb{R}^n$ acting on the trivial bundle with fiber $\not{S}_n \otimes \mathbb{C}^p$ associated to a metric on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and a connection on $\mathbb{C}^p$ that agree near $x = 0$ with the metric g and connection ∇^E, respectively. Incidentally, $\not{D}_{\nabla^E}$ agrees with $\not{D}$ near $x = 0$. Note that $e_j(x) = \partial_j$ at $x = 0$. Moreover, the coefficients $g_{ij}(x)$ of the metric and the coefficients $\omega_{ikl} := \langle \nabla^{TM}_i e_k, e_l\rangle$ of the Levi-Civita connection satisfy

$$g_{ij}(x) = \delta_{ij} + \mathrm{O}(|x|^2), \qquad \omega_{ikl}(x) = -\frac{1}{2}R_{ijkl}x^j + \mathrm{O}(|x|^2), \tag{4.9}$$

where $R_{ijkl} := \langle R^{TM}(0)(\partial_i, \partial_j)\partial_k, \partial_l\rangle$ are the coefficients of the curvature tensor at $x = 0$ (see, e.g, [BGV, Chap. 1]). Moreover, in order to simplify notations we shall denote by ϕ' the endomorphism $\phi'(0)$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$. We shall use a similar notation for $\phi^{\mathcal{N}}(0)$, $\phi^{\not{S}}(0)$, and $\phi^E(0)$. In particular,

$\phi^{\mathcal{N}}$ is the element of $\mathrm{SO}(n-a)$ such that

$$\phi' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \phi^{\mathcal{N}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $Q \in \Psi_{\mathrm{v}}^{-2}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}, \not{S}_n \otimes \mathbb{C}^p)$ be a parametrix for $\not{D}^2 + \partial_t$. Using (4.8) we obtain

$$\mathrm{str}_{\not{S}\otimes E}\left[\phi^{\not{S}\otimes E}(x_0) I_{(\not{D}^2_{\nabla^E}+\partial_t)^{-1}}(x_0,t)\right] = (\mathrm{str}_{\not{S}_n\otimes\mathbb{C}^p})\left[(\phi^{\not{S}}\otimes\phi^E) I_Q(0,t)\right] + \mathrm{O}(t^\infty). \tag{4.10}$$

The supertrace of an endomorphism on spinors is computed as follows. Let $\Lambda(n) = \Lambda^\bullet_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{R}^n$ be the complexified exterior algebra of $\mathbb{R}^n$. We shall use the following gradings on $\Lambda(n)$,

$$\Lambda(n) = \bigoplus_{1\leq j\leq n} \Lambda^j(n) = \bigoplus_{\substack{1\leq k\leq a \\ 1\leq l\leq n-a}} \Lambda^{k,l}(n),$$

where $\Lambda^j(n)$ is the space of forms of degree j and $\Lambda^{k,l}(n)$ is the span of forms $dx^{i_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge dx^{i_{k+l}}$ with $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq a$ and $a+1 \leq i_{k+1} < \cdots < i_{k+l} \leq n$. Given a form $\omega \in \Lambda(n)$ we shall denote by $\omega^{(j)}$ (resp., $\omega^{(k,l)}$) its component in $\Lambda^j(n)$ (resp., $\Lambda^{k,l}(n)$). Let $\mathrm{Cl}(n)$ be the complexified Clifford algebra of $\mathbb{R}^n$ (seen as a subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}\,\Lambda(n)$) and let $c : \Lambda(n) \to \mathrm{Cl}(n)$ be the linear isomorphism given by the Clifford action of $\Lambda(n)$ on itself. Composing c with the spinor representation $\mathrm{Cl}(n) \to \mathrm{End}\,\not{S}_n$ (which is an algebra isomorphism since n is even), we get a linear isomorphism $\Lambda(n) \to \mathrm{End}\,\not{S}_n$, which we shall also denote by c. We denote by $\sigma : \mathrm{End}\,\not{S}_n \to \Lambda(n)$ its inverse. We note that, although c and σ are not isomorphisms of algebras, for $\omega_j \in \Lambda^{k_j,l_j}(n)$, $j = 1, 2$, we have

$$\sigma\left[c(\omega_1)c(\omega_2)\right] = \omega_1\wedge\omega_2 \mod \bigoplus_{(k,l)\in\mathcal{K}} \Lambda^{k,l}(n), \tag{4.11}$$

where $\mathcal{K}$ consists of all pairs (k,l) such that, either $k \leq k_1+k_2-2$ and $l \leq l_1+l_2$, or $k \leq k_1+k_2$ and $l \leq l_1+l_2-2$.

Lemma 4.6 ([Ge1, Thm. 1.8]; see also [BGV, Prop. 3.21])**.** *Let $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathrm{End}\,\not{S}_n$. Then*

$$\mathrm{str}_{\not{S}_n}[\mathfrak{a}] = (-2i)^{\frac{n}{2}} \left|\sigma(\mathfrak{a})\right|^{(n)}.$$

In the case of $\phi^{\not{S}}$ we have the following result.

Lemma 4.7 ([BGV, LYZ])**.** *The form $\sigma\left[\phi^{\not{S}}\right]$ belongs to $\Lambda^{(0,2\bullet)}(n)$ and we have*

$$\sigma\left[\phi^{\not{S}}\right]^{(0,n-a)} = 2^{-\frac{n-a}{2}} \det{}^{\frac{1}{2}}(1-\phi^{\mathcal{N}}) dx^{a+1}\wedge\cdots\wedge dx^n. \tag{4.12}$$

Proof. As $\phi^{\mathcal{N}}$ is an element of $\mathrm{SO}(n-a)$, there is an oriented orthonormal basis $\{v_{a+1},\ldots,v_n\}$ of $\{0\}^a \times \mathbb{R}^{n-a}$ such for $j = \frac{a}{2}+1,\ldots,\frac{n}{2}$ the subspace $\mathrm{Span}\{v_{2j-1}, v_{2j}\}$ is invariant under $\phi^{\mathcal{N}}$ and the matrix of $\phi^{\mathcal{N}}$ with respect to the basis $\{v_{2j-1}, v_{2j}\}$ is a rotation matrix of the form,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_j & -\sin\theta_j \\ \sin\theta_j & \cos\theta_j \end{pmatrix} = \exp\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\theta_j \\ \theta_j & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad 0 < \theta_j \leq \pi.$$

Using [BGV, Eqs. (3.4)–(3.5)] we then see that

$$\phi^{\not{S}} = \prod_{\frac{a}{2}<j\leq\frac{n}{2}} \left(\cos\left(\frac{\theta_j}{2}\right) + \sin\left(\frac{\theta_j}{2}\right) c(v^{2j-1})c(v^{2j})\right), \tag{4.13}$$

where $\{v^{a+1},\ldots,v^n\}$ is the basis of $\Lambda^{0,1}(n)$ that is dual to $\{v_{a+1},\ldots,v_n\}$. It then follows that $\sigma(\phi^{\not{S}})$ is an element of $\Lambda^{0,2\bullet}(n)$ and we have

$$\sigma[\phi^{\not{S}}]^{(0,n-a)} = \prod_{\frac{a}{2}<j\leq\frac{n}{2}} \sin\left(\frac{\theta_j}{2}\right) v^{a+1}\wedge\cdots\wedge v^n = 2^{-\frac{n-a}{2}} \det{}^{\frac{1}{2}}(1-\phi^{\mathcal{N}}) dx^{a+1}\wedge\cdots\wedge dx^n,$$

where we have used the equality $4\sin^2\frac{\theta}{2} = \begin{vmatrix} 1-\cos\theta & \sin\theta \\ -\sin\theta & 1-\cos\theta \end{vmatrix}$. The proof is complete. □

We shall determine the short-time behavior of $\sigma\left[\phi^{\mathcal{S}}\operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^p}\left[\phi^E I_Q(0,t)\right]\right]$ in (4.10) by means of elementary considerations on Getzler orders of Volterra ΨDOs as in [Po1]. This notion of order is intimately related to the rescaling of Getzler [Ge2], which is motivated by the following assignment of degrees:

$$\deg\partial_j = \deg c(dx^j) = 1, \qquad \deg\partial_t = 2, \qquad \deg x^j = -1. \tag{4.14}$$

As observed in [Po1] this defines another filtration on Volterra ΨDOs as follows.

Let $Q \in \Psi_{\mathrm{v}}^{m'}(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{S}_n\otimes\mathbb{C}^p)$ have symbol $q(x,\xi,\tau)\sim\sum_{r\geq m'} q_{m'-r}(x,\xi,\tau)$. Taking components in each subspace $\Lambda^j T^*_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{R}^n$ and using Taylor expansions at $x=0$ we get asymptotic expansions of symbols,

$$\sigma[q(x,\xi,\tau)] \sim \sum_{j,r}\sigma[q_{m'-r}(x,\xi,\tau)]^{(j)} \sim \sum_{j,r,\alpha}\frac{x^\alpha}{\alpha!}\sigma[\partial_x^\alpha\partial_v^\beta q_{m'-r}(0,\xi,\tau)]^{(j)}, \tag{4.15}$$

The last asymptotic is meant in the following sense: for $j=0,\ldots,n$ and all $N\in\mathbb{N}$, as $x\to 0$ and $|\xi|+|\tau|^{\frac{1}{2}}\to\infty$, we have

$$\sigma[q(x,\xi,\tau)]^{(j)} - \sum_{r+|\alpha|=N+j}\frac{x^\alpha}{\alpha!}\sigma[\partial_x^\alpha\partial_v^\beta q_{m'-r}(0,\xi,\tau)]^{(j)} = \mathrm{O}\left(\|\xi,\tau\|^{m'}\left(|x|+\|\xi,\tau\|^{-1}\right)^N\right), \tag{4.16}$$

where we have set $\|\xi,\tau\| := |\xi|+|\tau|^{\frac{1}{2}}$, and there are similar asymptotics for all $\partial_\xi^\beta\partial_\tau^k$-derivatives (upon replacing the exponent m' by $m'-|\beta|-k$). Moreover, the degree assignment (4.14) leads us to define (Getzler-)rescaling operators δ_λ^*, $\lambda\in\mathbb{R}$, on Volterra symbols with coefficients in $\Lambda(n)\otimes M_p(\mathbb{C})$ by letting

$$\delta_\lambda^* q(x,\xi,\tau) := \lambda^j q(\lambda^{-1}x,\lambda\xi,\lambda^2\tau) \qquad \forall q\in S_{\mathrm{v}}^*(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R})\otimes\Lambda^j(n)\otimes M_p(\mathbb{C}).$$

Note that in (4.15) each symbol $x^\alpha\partial_x^\alpha\sigma[q_{m'-r}(0,\xi,\tau)]^{(j)}$ is homogeneous of degree $\mu := m'-r+j-|\alpha|$ with respect to this rescaling. We shall say that such a symbol is *Getzler homogeneous* of degree μ. The asymptotic expansion (4.15) then implies that, in the sense of (4.16), we have

$$\sigma[q(x,\xi,\tau)] \sim \sum_{\mu\leq m} q_{(\mu)}(x,\xi,\tau),$$

where $q_{(\mu)}(x,\xi,\tau)$ is the Getzler-homogeneous symbol of degree μ given by

$$q_{(\mu)}(x,\xi,\tau) := \sum_{m'-r+j-|\alpha|=\mu}\frac{x^\alpha}{\alpha!}\sigma[\partial_x^\alpha\partial_v^\beta q_{m'-r}(0,\xi,\tau)]^{(j)}, \tag{4.17}$$

and m is the greatest integer μ such that $q_{(\mu)}\neq 0$.

Alternatively, in terms of the rescaling operators δ_λ^*, for all $(x,\xi,\tau)\in\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}$, $(\xi,\tau)\neq 0$, we have

$$\delta_\lambda^*\sigma[q(x,\xi,\tau)] \sim \sum_{\mu\leq m}\lambda^\mu q_{(\mu)}(x,\xi,\tau) \qquad \text{as } \lambda\to 0.$$

We observe that the homogeneous symbols $q_{(\mu)}(x,\xi,\tau)$ are uniquely determined by the above asymptotic. In particular, the leading Getzler-homogeneous symbol $q_{(m)}(x,\xi,\tau)$ is uniquely determined by

$$\delta_\lambda^*\sigma[q(x,\xi,\tau)] = \lambda^m q_{(m)}(x,\xi,\tau) + \mathrm{O}(\lambda^{m-1}). \tag{4.18}$$

Remark 4.8. Let $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R})$ be the Fréchet space of Schwartz-class functions on $\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}$. As each symbol $q_{(\mu)}(x,\xi,\tau)$ is a polynomial with respect to the variable x, it defines an element $q_{(\mu)}(x,D_x,D_t)$ of $\mathcal{L}\left(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}^p)\right)\otimes\Lambda(n)$ by

$$q_{(\mu)}(x,D_x,D_t)u(x,s) = \langle\check{q}(x,x-y,s-t),u(y,t)\rangle \qquad \forall u\in\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}^p). \tag{4.19}$$

Note that by using the action of $\Lambda(n)$ on itself by left-multiplication we may regard $q_{(\mu)}(x,D_x,D_t)$ as an actual operator of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R},\Lambda(n)\otimes\mathbb{C}^p)$ to itself.

Definition 4.9 ([Po1])**.** *Bearing in mind the notation (4.17) and (4.19), we make the following definitions:*

(1) *The integer m is called the Getzler order of Q,*
(2) *The symbol $q_{(m)}$ is called the principal Getzler-homogeneous symbol of Q,*
(3) *The operator $Q_{(m)} = q_{(m)}(x, D_x, D_t)$ is called the model operator of Q.*

Remark 4.10. As the symbol $\sigma[\partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta q_{m'-r}(0,\xi,\tau)]^{(j)}$ is Getzler-homogeneous of degree $m' - r + j - |\alpha| \leq m' + n$, we see that the Getzler order of Q is always $\leq m + n$.

Example 4.11. Let $A = A_i dx^i$ be the connection 1-form on $\mathbb{C}^p$. Then it follows from (4.9) that the covariant derivative $\nabla_i = \partial_i + \frac{1}{4}\omega_{ikl}(x)c(e^k)c(e^l) + A_i$ on $\not{S}_n \otimes \mathbb{C}^p$ has Getzler order 1 and its model operator is

$$\nabla_{i(1)} = \partial_i - \frac{1}{4} R_{ij} x^j, \qquad \text{where } R_{ij} = \sum_{k<l} R^{TM}_{ijkl}(0) dx^k \wedge dx^l. \tag{4.20}$$

Remark 4.12. In what follows, we shall often look at symbols or operators up to terms that have lower Getzler orders. For this reason, it is convenient to use the notation $\mathrm{O}_G(m)$ to denote any remainder term (symbol or operator) of Getzler order $\leq m$. Note that in view of (4.18) a Volterra symbol $q \in S^*_{\mathrm{v}}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}, M_p(\mathbb{C})) \otimes \Lambda(n)$ is $\mathrm{O}_G(m)$ if and only if, for all $(x, \xi, \tau) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, $(\xi, \tau) \neq 0$,

$$\delta^*_\lambda q(x, \xi, \tau) = \mathrm{O}(\lambda^m) \qquad \text{as } \lambda \to 0. \tag{4.21}$$

Moreover, two Volterra symbols have Getzler order m and same leading Getzler-homogeneous symbol if and only if their difference is $\mathrm{O}_G(m-1)$.

Lemma 4.13 ([Po1])**.** *For $j = 1, 2$ let $Q_j \in \Psi^*_{\mathrm{v}}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}, \not{S}_n \otimes \mathbb{C}^p)$ have Getzler order m_j and model operator $Q_{(m_j)}$ and assume that either Q_1 or Q_2 is properly supported. Then*

$$\sigma\left[Q_1 Q_2\right] = Q_{(m_1)} Q_{(m_2)} + \mathrm{O}_G(m_1 + m_2 - 1). \tag{4.22}$$

Example 4.14. By Lichnerowicz's formula, near $x = 0$, we have

$$\not{D}^2 = \not{D}^2_{\nabla^E} = -g^{ij}(\nabla_i^{\not{S}\otimes E} \nabla_j^{\not{S}\otimes E} - \Gamma_{ij}^k \nabla_k^{\not{S}\otimes E}) + \frac{\kappa}{4} + \frac{1}{2} c(e^i)c(e^j) F^E(e^i, e^j), \tag{4.23}$$

where the Γ_{ij}^k are the Christoffel symbols of the metric, κ is the scalar curvature, and $\{e^j\}$ is the coframe dual to the synchronous frame $\{e_i\}$. Therefore, combining Lemma 4.13 with (4.9) and (4.20) shows that $\not{D}^2_{\nabla^E}$ has Getzler order 2 and its model operator is

$$\not{D}^2_{(2)} = H_R + F^E(0), \tag{4.24}$$

where we have set

$$H_R = -\sum_{i=1}^n (\partial_i - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{4} R_{ij} x^j)^2 \qquad \text{and} \qquad F^E(0) = \sum_{i<j} F^E(0)(\partial_i, \partial_j) dx^i \wedge dx^j.$$

In what follows, it would be convenient to introduce the variables $x' = (x^1, \ldots, x^a)$ and $x'' = (x^{a+1}, \ldots, x^n)$, so that $x = (x', x'')$. When using these variables we shall denote by $q(x', x''; \xi', \xi''; \tau)$ and $K_Q(x', x''; y', y''; t)$ the respective symbol and kernel of any given "operator" $Q \in \Psi^*_{\mathrm{v}}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}^p) \otimes \Lambda(n)$. We then define

$$I_Q(x', t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-a}} K_Q\left(x', x''; 0, (1 - \phi^{\mathcal{N}})x''; t\right) dx'', \qquad x' \in \mathbb{R}^a. \tag{4.25}$$

Lemma 4.15. *Let $Q \in \Psi^*_{\mathrm{v}}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}, \not{S}_n \otimes \mathbb{C}^p)$ have Getzler order m and model operator $Q_{(m)}$. In addition, let j be an integer $\leq n$.*

(1) *If $m - j$ is an odd integer, then*

$$\sigma[I_Q(0,t)]^{(j)} = \mathrm{O}(t^{\frac{j-m-a-1}{2}}) \qquad \text{as } t \to 0^+.$$

(2) *If $m - j$ is an even integer, then*

$$\sigma[I_Q(0,t)]^{(j)} = t^{\frac{j-m-a}{2}-1} I_{Q_{(m)}}(0,1)^{(j)} + \mathrm{O}(t^{\frac{j-m-a}{2}}) \qquad \text{as } t \to 0^+. \tag{4.26}$$

Proof. Let $q(x,\xi,\tau) \sim \sum_{k\leq m'} q_k(x,\xi,\tau)$ be the symbol of Q and denote by $q_{(m)}(x,\xi,\tau)$ its principal Getzler homogeneous symbol. Recall that Proposition 3.4 provides us with an asymptotic for $I_Q(x,t)$ in terms of the symbol of Q in tubular coordinates. We shall use the tubular coordinates $(x',v) \in \mathbb{R}^a \times \mathbb{R}^{n-a}$ given by the change of variable,

$$x = \psi(x',v) := \exp_{x'}\left(v_1 e_{a+1}(x') + \cdots + v_b e_n(x')\right), \qquad (x',v) \in \mathbb{R}^a \times \mathbb{R}^{n-a},$$

where on the far right-hand side we have identified x' with $(x',0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Note that, as the original coordinates are normal coordinates, for all $v \in \mathbb{R}^{n-a}$, we have

$$\psi(0,v) = \exp_x\left(v_1\partial_{a+1} + \cdots + v_{n-a}\partial_n\right) = (0,v). \tag{4.27}$$

Furthermore, in what follows, upon identifying $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathbb{R}^a \times \mathbb{R}^{n-a}$, it will be convenient to regard functions on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ as functions on $\mathbb{R}^a \times \mathbb{R}^{n-a} \times \mathbb{R}^a \times \mathbb{R}^{n-a} \times \mathbb{R}$.

Let $\tilde{q}(x',v;\xi',\nu;\tau) \sim \sum_{k\leq m'} \tilde{q}_k(x',v;\xi',\nu;\tau)$ be the symbol of Q in the tubular coordinates, i.e., $\tilde{q}(x',v;\xi',\nu;\tau)$ is the symbol of ψ^*Q. As in the tubular coordinates, the derivative ϕ' is constant along the fibers of $\mathcal{N}^\phi$, we see that $\phi^{\mathcal{G}}$ too is fiberwise constant. Incidentally, in the notation of (3.6) the symbols $\tilde{q}_k^{\mathcal{G}}$ and $\tilde{q}_k$ agree for all $k \leq m'$. Bearing this mind, Proposition 3.4 shows that, as $t \to 0^+$,

$$\sigma[I_Q(0,t)]^{(j)} \sim \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|-k \text{ even} \\ k\leq m'}} t^{\frac{|\alpha|-(k+a+2)}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-a}} \frac{v^\alpha}{\alpha!} \left(\partial_v^\alpha \sigma[\tilde{q}_k]^{(j)}\right)^\vee (0,0;0,(1-\phi^{\mathcal{N}}(0))v;1)dv. \tag{4.28}$$

Using (4.27), the change of variable formula for symbols ([Hö, Thm. 18.1.17]) gives

$$\tilde{q}_k(0,v;\xi',\nu;\tau) = \sum_{\substack{l-|\beta|+|\gamma|=k \\ 2|\gamma|\leq|\beta|}} a_{\alpha\beta}(0,v)\xi^\gamma D_\xi^\beta q_k\,(0,v;\xi',\nu;\tau)$$

where the $a_{\beta\gamma}(x',v)$ are some smooth functions such that $a_{\beta\gamma}(x) = 1$ when $\beta = \gamma = 0$. Thus,

$$\sigma[I_Q(0,t)]^{(j)} \sim \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|-l+|\beta|-|\gamma| \text{ even} \\ l\leq m',\ 2|\gamma|\leq|\beta|}} t^{\frac{|\alpha|-l+|\beta|-|\gamma|-(a+2)}{2}} I^{(j)}_{l\alpha\beta\gamma}, \tag{4.29}$$

where we have set

$$I^{(j)}_{l\alpha\beta\gamma} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-a}} a_{\alpha\beta}(0,v)\frac{v^\alpha}{\alpha!}\left(\partial_v^\alpha \sigma[\xi^\gamma D_\xi^\beta q_l]^{(j)}\right)^\vee \left(0,0;0,(1-\phi^{\mathcal{N}}(0))v;1\right) dv.$$

Note that the symbol $v^\alpha\partial_v^\alpha\sigma[q_l]^{(j)}(0,0;\xi',\nu;\tau)$ is Getzler homogeneous of degree $l+j-|\alpha|$. Therefore, it must be zero if $l+j-|\alpha| > m$, since otherwise Q would have Getzler order $> m$. This implies that in (4.29) all the coefficients $I^{(j)}_{l\alpha\beta\gamma}$ with $l+j-|\alpha| > m$ must be zero. Furthermore, the condition $2|\gamma| \leq |\beta|$ and implies that $|\gamma|-|\beta| \leq -\frac{1}{2}|\beta|$, and hence $|\gamma|-|\beta| \leq -1$ unless $\beta = \gamma = 0$. Therefore, if $l+j-|\alpha| \leq m$ and $2|\gamma| \leq |\beta|$, then $t^{\frac{1}{2}(|\alpha|-l+|\beta|-|\gamma|-(a+2))}$ is $\mathrm{O}(t^{\frac{1}{2}(j-m-(a+2))})$ and even is $\mathrm{o}(t^{\frac{1}{2}(j-m-(a+2))})$ if we further have $l+j-|\alpha| < m$ or $(\beta,\gamma) \neq (0,0)$. Observe that the asymptotic (4.29) contains only integer powers of t (non-negative or negative). Therefore, from the above observations we deduce that if $m-j$ is odd, then all the (non-zero) terms in (4.29) are $\mathrm{O}(t^{\frac{1}{2}(j-m-(a+1))})$, and hence

$$\sigma[I_Q(0,t)]^{(j)} = \mathrm{O}\left(t^{\frac{1}{2}(j-m-(a+1))}\right).$$

Likewise, if $m-j$ is even, then all the terms in (4.29) with $l+j-|\alpha| \neq m$ or with $l-|\alpha| = m-j$ and $(\beta,\gamma) \neq (0,0)$ are $\mathrm{O}(t^{\frac{1}{2}(j-m-a)})$. Thus,

$$\sigma[I_Q(0,t)]^{(j)} = t^{\frac{j-(m+a+2)}{2}} \sum_{l-|\alpha|=m-j} I^{(j)}_{l\alpha 00} + \mathrm{O}(t^{\frac{j-(m+a)}{2}}). \tag{4.30}$$

To complete the proof it remains to identify the coefficient of $t^{\frac{j-(m+a+2)}{2}}$ in (4.30) with $I_{Q_{(m)}}(0,1)^{(j)}$. To this end observe that the formula (4.17) for $q_{(m)}$ at $x'=0$ gives

$$q_{(m)}(0,v;\xi,\nu;\tau)^{(j)} = \sum_{k+j-|\alpha|=m} \frac{v^\alpha}{\alpha!}\partial_v^\alpha \left(\sigma[q_k]^{(j)}\right)(0,0;\xi,\nu;\tau).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{Q_{(m)}}(0,1)^{(j)} &= \sum_{k-|\alpha|=m-j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-a}} \frac{v^\alpha}{\alpha!}\left(\partial_v^\alpha \sigma[q_{m-j+|\alpha|}]^{(j)}\right)^\vee \left(0,0;0,(1-\phi^{\mathcal{N}}(0))v;1\right) dv \\ &= \sum_{l-|\alpha|=m-j} I^{(j)}_{l\alpha 00}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

We are now in a position to prove the key lemma of the proof of Theorem 4.5.

Lemma 4.16. *Let $Q \in \Psi^*_{\mathrm{v}}(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}, \not{S}_n\otimes\mathbb{C}^p)$ have Getzler order m and model operator $Q_{(m)}$.*

(1) *If m is an odd integer, then*

$$\operatorname{str}_{\not{S}_n\otimes\mathbb{C}^p}\left[\phi^{\not{S}\otimes E} I_Q(0,t)\right] = \mathrm{O}(t^{-\frac{m+1}{2}}) \qquad \text{as } t\to 0^+. \tag{4.31}$$

(2) *If m is an even integer, then, as $t\to 0^+$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &(\operatorname{str}_{\not{S}_n\otimes\mathbb{C}^p})\left[\phi^{\not{S}\otimes E} I_Q(0,t)\right] = \\ &\qquad (-i)^{\frac{n}{2}} t^{-(\frac{m}{2}+1)} 2^{\frac{a}{2}} \det{}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(1-\phi^{\mathcal{N}}\right) \left|\operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^p}[\phi^E I_{Q_{(m)}}(0,1)]\right|^{(a,0)} + \mathrm{O}(t^{-\frac{m}{2}}). \end{aligned} \tag{4.32}$$

Proof. For $t>0$ set $\mathfrak{a}(t) = (1_{\not{S}_n}\otimes \operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^p})[\phi^E I_Q(0,t)]$. Then by Lemma 4.6 we have

$$(\operatorname{str}_{\not{S}_n\otimes\mathbb{C}^p})\left[\phi^{\not{S}\otimes E} I_Q(0,t)\right] = (-2i)^{\frac{n}{2}}\left|\sigma\left[\phi^{\not{S}}\mathfrak{a}(t)\right]\right|^{(n)} \qquad \text{for all } t>0. \tag{4.33}$$

As Lemma 4.7 ensures us that $\sigma\left[\phi^{\not{S}}\right]$ is an element of $\Lambda^{(0,2\bullet)}(n)$, using (4.11) we deduce that

$$\sigma\left[\phi^{\not{S}}\mathfrak{a}(t)\right]^{(n)} = \sigma\left[\phi^{\not{S}}\right]^{(0,n-a)} \wedge \sigma\left[\mathfrak{a}(t)\right]^{(a,0)} + \sum_{1\le\ell\le\frac{1}{2}(n-a)} \varphi_\ell\left(\sigma\left[\mathfrak{a}(t)\right]^{(a,2\ell)}\right),$$

where $\varphi_\ell : \Lambda^{(0,2\ell)}(n) \to \Lambda^n(n)$ is a linear map which does not depend on $\mathfrak{a}(t)$. Moreover, for $\ell = 0,1,\ldots,\frac{1}{2}(n-a)$, Lemma 4.15 ensures that, as $t\to 0^+$, we have

- $\sigma\left[\mathfrak{a}(t)\right]^{(a,2\ell)} = \mathrm{O}\left(t^{\ell-\frac{m+1}{2}}\right)$ when m is odd.
- $\sigma\left[\mathfrak{a}(t)\right]^{(a,2\ell)} = t^{\ell-\frac{m}{2}-1}\operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^p}\left[\phi^E I_{Q_{(m)}}(0,1)^{(a,2\ell)}\right] + \mathrm{O}\left(t^{\ell-\frac{m}{2}}\right)$ when m is even.

Therefore, when m is odd, we obtain

$$\sigma\left[\phi^{\not{S}}\mathfrak{a}(t)\right]^{(n)} = \mathrm{O}\left(t^{-\frac{m+1}{2}}\right) \qquad \text{as } t\to 0^+.$$

Combining this with (4.33) we get the asymptotic (4.31) when m is odd. When m is even, we see that, as $t\to 0^+$, we have

$$\sigma\left[\phi^{\not{S}}\mathfrak{a}(t)\right]^{(n)} = t^{-(\frac{m}{2}+1)}\sigma\left[\phi^{\not{S}}\right]^{(0,n-a)} \wedge \operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^p}[\phi^E I_{Q_{(m)}}(0,1)]^{(a,0)} + \mathrm{O}(t^{-\frac{m}{2}}).$$

Combining this with (4.33) and the formula (4.12) for $\sigma\left[\phi^{\not{S}}\right]^{(0,n-a)}$ yields the asymptotic (4.32) when m is even. The proof is complete. □

As in [LYZ] it is convenient to introduce the following curvature matrices:

$$R' := (R_{ij})_{1\le i,j\le a} \qquad \text{and} \qquad R'' := (R_{a+i,a+j})_{1\le i,j\le n-a}.$$

Note that (4.1) implies that the component in $\Lambda^{\bullet,0}(n)$ of R' (resp., R'') is $R^{TM^\phi}(0)$ (resp., $R^{\mathcal{N}^\phi}(0)$).

Lemma 4.17. *Let $Q\in\Psi^{-2}(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}, \not{S}_n\otimes\mathbb{C}^p)$ be a parametrix for $\not{D}^2+\partial_t$. Then*

(1) *Q has Getzler order -2 and its model operator is*

$$Q_{(-2)} = (H_R + \partial_t)^{-1} \wedge \exp\left(-tF^E(0)\right).$$

(2) *For all $t > 0$, we have*

$$I_{Q_{(-2)}}(0,t) = I_{(H_R+\partial_t)^{-1}}(0,t) \wedge \exp\left(-tF^E(0)\right), \tag{4.34}$$

$$I_{(H_R+\partial_t)^{-1}}(0,t) = \frac{(4\pi t)^{-\frac{a}{2}}}{\det^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(1-\phi^{\mathcal{N}}\right)} \det{}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{tR'/2}{\sinh(tR'/2)}\right) \det{}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(1-\phi^{\mathcal{N}}e^{-tR''}\right). \tag{4.35}$$

Proof. The first part is contained in [Po1, Lemma 5]. This immediately gives the formula (4.34). The formula for $I_{(H_R+\partial_t)^{-1}}(0,t)$ is obtained exactly like in [LM, p. 459]. For reader's convenience we mention the main details of this computation.

The kernel of $(H_R + \partial_t)^{-1}$ can be determined from the arguments of [Ge2]. More precisely, let $A \in \mathfrak{so}_n(\mathbb{R})$ and set $B = A^t A$. Consider the harmonic oscillators,

$$H_A := -\sum_{1\leq i\leq n} (\partial_i + \sqrt{-1}A_{ij}x^j)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad H_B := -\sum_{1\leq i\leq n} \partial_i^2 + \frac{1}{4}\langle Bx, x\rangle.$$

In particular substituting $A = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-1}R$ in the formula for H_A gives H_R. In addition, define

$$X := \sqrt{-1}\sum_{i,j} A_{ij}x^i\partial_j = \sqrt{-1}\sum_{i<j} A_{ij}(x^i\partial_j - x^j\partial_i).$$

Note that $H_A = H_B + X$. Observe also that, as X is a linear combination of the infinitesimal rotations $x^i\partial_j - x^j\partial_i$, the $O(n)$-invariance of H_B implies that $[H_B, X] = 0$. Thus,

$$e^{-tH_A} = e^{-tX}e^{-tH_B} \qquad \forall t \geq 0. \tag{4.36}$$

The heat kernel of H_B is determined by using Melher's formula in its version of [Ge2]. We get

$$K_{(H_B+\partial_t)^{-1}}(x,y,t) = (4\pi t)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \det{}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{t\sqrt{B}}{\sinh(t\sqrt{B})}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4t}\Theta_B(x,y,t)\right), \quad t > 0, \tag{4.37}$$

where we have set

$$\Theta_B(x,y,t) := \left\langle \frac{t\sqrt{B}}{\tanh(t\sqrt{B})}x, x\right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{t\sqrt{B}}{\tanh(t\sqrt{B})}y, y\right\rangle - 2\left\langle \frac{t\sqrt{B}}{\sinh(t\sqrt{B})}x, y\right\rangle,$$

Here $\sqrt{B}$ is any square root of B (e.g., $\sqrt{B} = \sqrt{-1}A$). Note that the right-hand side of (4.37) is actually an analytic function of $(\sqrt{B})^2$.

We also observe that for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ the matrix $e^{-t\sqrt{-1}A}$ is an element of $\mathrm{O}(n)$, since in a suitable orthonormal basis it can be written as a block diagonal of 2×2 rotation matrices with purely imaginary angles. Moreover, the family of operators $u \to u(e^{-t\sqrt{-1}A})$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, is a one-parameter group of operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with infinitesimal generator X, so it agrees with e^{-tX} for $t > 0$. Combining this with (4.36) and (4.37) then gives

$$K_{(H_A+\partial_t)^{-1}}(x,y,t) = (4\pi t)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \det{}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{t\sqrt{B}}{\sinh(t\sqrt{B})}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4t}\Theta_A(x,y,t)\right),$$

$$\Theta_A(x,y,t) := \left\langle \frac{t\sqrt{B}}{\tanh(t\sqrt{B})}x, x\right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{t\sqrt{B}}{\tanh(t\sqrt{B})}y, y\right\rangle - 2\left\langle \frac{t\sqrt{B}}{\sinh(t\sqrt{B})}e^{-t\sqrt{-1}A}x, y\right\rangle,$$

where we have used the fact that $e^{-t\sqrt{-1}A}$ is an orthogonal matrix. Substituting $A = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-1}R$ and $\sqrt{B} = \frac{1}{2}R$ then gives the kernel of $(H_R + \partial_t)^{-1}$. We obtain

$$K_{(H_R+\partial_t)^{-1}}(x,y,t) = (4\pi t)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \det{}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{tR/2}{\sinh(tR/2)}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4t}\Theta_R(x,y,t)\right), \quad t > 0, \tag{4.38}$$

where we have set

$$\Theta_R(x,y,t) := \left\langle \frac{tR/2}{\tanh(tR/2)}x, x\right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{tR/2}{\tanh(tR/2)}y, y\right\rangle - 2\left\langle \frac{tR/2}{\sinh(tR/2)}e^{tR/2}x, y\right\rangle.$$

We are ready to compute $I_{(H_R+\partial_t)^{-1}}(0,t)$. From (4.25) and (4.38) we get

$$I_{(H_R+\partial_t)^{-1}}(0,t) = (4\pi t)^{-\frac{n}{2}}\det{}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{tR/2}{\sinh(tR/2)}\right)\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-a}}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{4t}\Theta(v,t)\right)dv, \tag{4.39}$$

where $\Theta(v,t) := \Theta_R(v,\phi^{\mathcal{N}}v,t)$. Set $\mathscr{A} = \frac{1}{2}tR''$. As $[\phi^{\mathcal{N}},\mathscr{A}] = 0$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta(v,t) &= \left\langle \frac{\mathscr{A}}{\tanh\mathscr{A}}v,v\right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{\mathscr{A}}{\tanh\mathscr{A}}\phi^{\mathcal{N}}v,\phi^{\mathcal{N}}v\right\rangle - 2\left\langle \frac{\mathscr{A}}{\sinh\mathscr{A}}e^{\mathscr{A}}v,\phi^{\mathcal{N}}v\right\rangle\\ &= 2\left\langle \frac{\mathscr{A}}{\sinh\mathscr{A}}\left(\cosh\mathscr{A} - \left(\phi^{\mathcal{N}}\right)^{-1}e^{\mathscr{A}}\right)v,v\right\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\cosh\mathscr{A} - \left(\phi^{\mathcal{N}}\right)^{-1}e^{\mathscr{A}}\right) + \left(\cosh\mathscr{A} - \left(\phi^{\mathcal{N}}\right)^{-1}e^{\mathscr{A}}\right)^{T} &= e^{\mathscr{A}} + e^{-\mathscr{A}} - \left(\phi^{\mathcal{N}}\right)^{-1}e^{\mathscr{A}} - \phi^{\mathcal{N}}e^{-\mathscr{A}}\\ &= e^{\mathscr{A}}\left(1-\left(\phi^{\mathcal{N}}\right)^{-1}\right)(1-\phi^{\mathcal{N}}e^{-2\mathscr{A}}).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using the formula for the integral of a Gaussian function and its extension to Gaussian functions associated to form-valued symmetric matrices, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-a}}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{4t}\Theta(v,t)\right)dv &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-a}}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{4t}\left\langle \frac{\mathscr{A}}{\sinh\mathscr{A}}e^{\mathscr{A}}\left(1-\left(\phi^{\mathcal{N}}\right)^{-1}\right)(1-\phi^{\mathcal{N}}e^{-2\mathscr{A}})v,v\right\rangle\right)dv\\ &= (4\pi)^{\frac{n-a}{2}}\det{}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{\mathscr{A}}{\sinh\mathscr{A}}\right)\det{}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left[e^{\mathscr{A}}\left(1-\left(\phi^{\mathcal{N}}\right)^{-1}\right)\right]\det{}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1-\phi^{\mathcal{N}}e^{-2\mathscr{A}}).\end{aligned}$$

We observe that $\det{}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left[e^{\mathscr{A}}\left(1-\left(\phi^{\mathcal{N}}\right)^{-1}\right)\right] = \det{}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1-\phi^{\mathcal{N}})$, and so using (4.39) we get

$$I_{(H_R+\partial_t)^{-1}}(0,t) = (4\pi)^{-\frac{a}{2}}\det{}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(1-\phi^{\mathcal{N}}\right)\det{}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{tR'/2}{\sinh(tR'/2)}\right)\det{}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(1-\phi^{\mathcal{N}}e^{-tR''}\right).$$

This proves (4.35) and completes the proof. □

Let us go back to the proof of Theorem 4.5. Let $Q \in \Psi^{-2}(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R},\not{S}_n\otimes\mathbb{C}^p)$ be a parametrix for $\not{D}^2+\partial_t$. Thanks to Lemma 4.17 we know that Q has Getzler order -2. Therefore, using (4.10) and (4.32) we see that, as $t\to 0^+$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{str}_{\not{S}\otimes E}\left[\phi^{\not{S}\otimes E}(x_0)I_{(\not{D}^2_{\nabla^E}+\partial_t)^{-1}}(x_0,t)\right] &= \left(\mathrm{str}_{\not{S}_n\otimes\mathbb{C}^p}\right)\left[(\phi^{\not{S}}\otimes\phi^E)I_Q(0,t)\right] + \mathrm{O}(t^\infty)\\ &= (-i)^{\frac{n}{2}}2^{\frac{a}{2}}\det{}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(1-\phi^{\mathcal{N}}\right)\left|\mathrm{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^p}[\phi^E I_{Q_{(-2)}}(0,1)]\right|^{(a,0)} + \mathrm{O}(t).\end{aligned} \tag{4.40}$$

As noted above, the components in $\Lambda^{\bullet,0}(n)$ of the curvatures R' and R'' are $R^{TM^\phi}(0)$ and $R^{\mathcal{N}^\phi}(0)$, respectively. Likewise, the component in $\Lambda^{\bullet,0}(n)$ of the curvature $F^E(0)$ is $F^E_0(0)$. Therefore, using (4.34)–(4.35) we see that the component in $\Lambda^{\bullet,0}(n)$ of $I_{Q_{(-2)}}(0,1)$ is

$$I_{Q_{(-2)}}(0,1)^{(\bullet,0)} = \frac{(4\pi)^{-\frac{a}{2}}}{\det{}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(1-\phi^{\mathcal{N}}\right)}\hat{A}(R^{TM^\phi}(0))\wedge\nu_\phi\left(R^{\mathcal{N}^\phi}(0)\right)\wedge\mathrm{Ch}_\phi\left(F^E(0)\right). \tag{4.41}$$

Combining this with (4.40) we deduce that, as $t\to 0^+$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{str}_{\not{S}\otimes E}\left[\phi^{\not{S}}(x_0)I_{(\not{D}^2_{\nabla^E}+\partial_t)^{-1}}(x_0,t)\right]\\ &\qquad = (-i)^{\frac{n}{2}}(2\pi)^{-\frac{a}{2}}\left|\hat{A}(R^{TM^\phi}(0))\wedge\nu_\phi\left(R^{\mathcal{N}^\phi}(0)\right)\wedge\mathrm{Ch}_\phi\left(F^E(0)\right)\right|^{(a,0)} + \mathrm{O}(t).\end{aligned}$$

This gives the asymptotic (4.6) at the point x_0. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.5 and the local equivariant index theorem.

Remark 4.18. As Theorem 4.5 is a purely local statement, it also allows us to obtain the local equivariant index theorem for Dirac operators acting on sections of any G-equivariant Clifford module E, where G is any compact group of orientation-preserving isometries. This only amounts to replace $\mathrm{Ch}_\phi(F^E)$ by $\mathrm{Ch}_\phi(F^{E/\not{S}})$, where $F^{E/\not{S}}$ is the twisted curvature in the sense of [BGV]. In particular, this enables us to recover the local equivariant index theorem for the de Rham and signature complexes with coefficients in any G-equivariant Hermitian vector bundle.

It should be stressed out that the above proof of the local equivariant index theorem actually gives a more general result. The key lemma in the proof is Lemma 4.16, which was specialized to $Q = (\not{D}^2 + \partial_t)^{-1}$. This lemma actually holds for general Volterra ΨDOs. As a result, this allows us to obtain a version of Theorem 4.5 for Volterra ΨDOs as follows.

Following [Po1] we shall call *synchronous normal coordinates* centered at a point $x_0 \in M$ the data of normal coordinates centered at x_0 and trivialization of the spinor bundle via synchronous tangent frame associated to an oriented orthonormal basis $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ of $T_{x_0}M$. If $x_0 \in M_a^\phi$ for a given $\phi \in G$, we shall call such a basis *admissible* if $e_1, \ldots, e_a$ is an oriented orthonormal basis of $T_{x_0}M_a^\phi$ (which implies that $e_{a+1}, \ldots, e_n$ is an oriented orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{N}_{x_0}^\phi$).

Definition 4.19. *Let $Q \in \Psi_{\mathrm{v}}^\bullet(M \times \mathbb{R}, \not{S} \otimes E)$.*

(1) *We shall say that Q has Getzler order m at a given point $x_0 \in M$, when, for any synchronous normal coordinates centered at x_0 over which E is trivialized, the operator Q agrees up near $x = 0$ with an operator $\tilde{Q} \in \Psi_{\mathrm{v}}^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}, \not{S}_n \otimes \mathbb{C}^p)$ that has Getzler order m.*

(2) *Given a subset $S \subset M$, we shall say that Q has Getzler order m along S when it has Getzler order $\leq m$ at every point of S.*

Example 4.20. The operator $(\not{D}^2_{\nabla^E} + \partial_t)^{-1}$ has Getzler order -2 at every point of M.

Remark 4.21. It can be shown that the condition in (1) holds for some synchronous normal coordinates centered at x_0 and some trivialization of E over these coordinest, then it holds for any such data. That is, the notion of Getzler of order at a given point of M is independent of the choice of the synchronous normal coordinates and trivialization of E near that point.

Theorem 4.22. *Given $\phi \in G$, let $Q \in \Psi_{\mathrm{v}}^\bullet(M \times \mathbb{R}, \not{S} \otimes E)$ have Getzler order m along the fixed-point set M^ϕ.*

(1) *If m is odd, then, uniformly on M^ϕ,*

$$\mathrm{str}_{\not{S} \otimes E}\left[\phi^{\not{S} \otimes E}(x) I_Q(x,t)\right] = \mathrm{O}\left(t^{-\frac{m+1}{2}}\right) \qquad \text{as } t \to 0^+. \tag{4.42}$$

(2) *If m is even, then, as $t \to 0^+$ and uniformly on each fixed-point submanifold M_a^ϕ, we have*

$$\mathrm{str}_{\not{S} \otimes E}\left[\phi^{\not{S} \otimes E}(x) I_Q(x,t)\right] = \gamma_\phi(Q)(x) t^{-\frac{m}{2}-1} + \mathrm{O}\left(t^{-\frac{m}{2}}\right), \tag{4.43}$$

where $\gamma_\phi(Q)(x)$ is a function on M_a^ϕ such that, for all $x_0 \in M_a^\phi$, in any synchronous normal coordinates centered at x_0 over which E is trivialized, we have

$$\gamma_\phi(Q)(0) = (-i)^{\frac{n}{2}} 2^{\frac{a}{2}} \det{}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(1 - \phi^{\mathcal{N}}(0)\right) \left|\mathrm{tr}_E\left[\phi^E(0) I_{Q_{(m)}}(0,1)\right]\right|^{(a,0)},$$

where $Q_{(m)}$ is the model operator of Q.

Proof. The proof follows the outline of the proof of Theorem 4.5. More precisely, as in the proof of Theorem 4.5 it is enough to prove the asymptotics (4.42)–(4.43) pointwise, since we are already provided by a *uniform* short-time asymptotic for $I_Q(x,t)$ thanks to Proposition 3.4. However, these asymptotics are nothing but the contents of Lemma 4.16. The proof is complete. □

Remark 4.23. With some additional work it can be shown that, if $Q \in \Psi_{\mathrm{v}}^\bullet(M \times \mathbb{R}, \not{S} \otimes E)$ have Getzler order m along M^ϕ, then, for all $a = 0, 2, \ldots, n$, there is a unique section $\Upsilon_\phi(Q)(x)$ of $\left(\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}^\bullet T^a M_a^\phi\right) \otimes \mathrm{End}(E)$ over M_a^ϕ such that, for every point $x_0 \in M_a^\phi$, in any admissible synchronous normal coordinates centered at x_0 over which E is trivialized, we have

$$\Upsilon_\phi(Q)(0) = (-i)^{\frac{n}{2}} 2^{\frac{a}{2}} \det{}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(1 - \phi^{\mathcal{N}}(0)\right) I_{Q_{(m)}}(0,1)^{(\bullet,0)}.$$

We then have

$$\gamma_\phi(Q)(x) = \left|\mathrm{tr}_E\left[\phi^E(x)\Upsilon_\phi(Q)(x)\right]\right|^{(a,0)} \qquad \text{for all } x \in M^\phi.$$

An interesting consequence of Theorem 4.22 is that it provides us with the following *differentiable* version of the local equivariant index theorem.

Proposition 4.24. *Given $\phi \in G$, let $P : C^\infty(M, \not{S} \otimes E) \to C^\infty(M, \not{S} \otimes E)$ be a differentiable operator of Getzler order m along the fixed-point set M^ϕ.*

(1) *If m is odd, then*

$$\mathrm{Str}\left[Pe^{-t\not{D}^2_{\nabla^E}}U_\phi\right] = \mathrm{O}\left(t^{-\frac{m-1}{2}}\right) \qquad \text{as } t \to 0^+. \tag{4.44}$$

(2) *If m is even, then, as $t \to 0^+$, we have*

$$\mathrm{Str}\left[Pe^{-t\not{D}^2_{\nabla^E}}U_\phi\right] = t^{-\frac{m}{2}}\int_{M^\phi}\gamma_\phi(P;\not{D}_{\nabla^E})(x)|dx| + \mathrm{O}\left(t^{-\frac{m}{2}+1}\right), \tag{4.45}$$

where $\gamma_\phi(P;\not{D}_{\nabla^E})(x)$ is a function on M^ϕ such that, for all $x_0 \in M^\phi_a$, $a = 0, 2, \ldots, n$, in any synchronous normal coordinates centered at x_0 over which E is trivialized, we have

$$\gamma_\phi(P;\not{D}_{\nabla^E})(x)(0) = (-i)^{\frac{n}{2}}2^{\frac{a}{2}}\det{}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(1-\phi^{\mathcal{N}}(0)\right)\left|\mathrm{tr}_E\left[\phi^E(0)I_{P_{(m)}(H_R+\partial_t)^{-1}}(0,1)\wedge\exp(-F^E_0(0))\right]\right|^{(a,0)},$$

where $P_{(m)}$ is the model operator of P.

Proof. By Proposition 3.7, as $t \to 0^+$, we have

$$\mathrm{Str}\left[Pe^{-t\not{D}^2_{\nabla^E}}U_\phi\right] = \int_{M^\phi}\mathrm{str}_{\not{S}\otimes E}\left[\phi^{\not{S}\otimes E}(x)I_{P(\not{D}^2_{\nabla^E}+\partial_t)^{-1}}(x,t)\right]|dx| + \mathrm{O}(t^\infty).$$

As the operator $Q = P(\not{D}^2_{\nabla^E}+\partial_t)^{-1}$ has Getzler order $m-2$, using Theorem 4.22 we see that, when m is odd the asymptotics (4.44) holds. Moreover, when m is even, as $t \to 0^+$, we obtain

$$\mathrm{Str}\left[Pe^{-t\not{D}^2_{\nabla^E}}U_\phi\right] = t^{-\frac{m}{2}}\int_{M^\phi}\gamma_\phi(P;\not{D}_{\nabla^E})(x)dx| + \mathrm{O}\left(t^{-\frac{m}{2}+1}\right),$$

where we have set $\gamma_\phi(P;\not{D}_{\nabla^E})(x) = \gamma(Q)(x)$.

Let $x_0 \in M^\phi_a$, $a = 0, 2, \ldots, n$, and let us consider admissible normal coordinates centered at x_0. Then the operator $Q = P(\not{D}^2_{\nabla^E}+\partial_t)^{-1}$ has model operator

$$Q_{(m-2)} = P_{(m)}(H_R+\partial_t)^{-1}\wedge\exp(-tF^E(0)).$$

Therefore, setting $\mu = (-i)^{\frac{n}{2}}2^{\frac{a}{2}}\det{}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(1-\phi^{\mathcal{N}}(0)\right)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_\phi(Q)(0) &= \mu\left|\mathrm{tr}_E\left[\phi^E(0)I_{Q_{(m-2)}}(0,1)\right]\right|^{(a,0)}\\ &= \mu\left|\mathrm{tr}_E\left[\phi^E(0)I_{P_{(m)}(H_R+\partial_t)^{-1}}(0,1)\wedge\exp\left(-tF_0(0)\right)\right]\right|^{(a,0)}.\end{aligned}$$

This proves (4.45) and completes the proof. □

Remark 4.25. The considerations of this section on Getzler order and model operators of operators in $\Psi^\bullet_{\mathrm{v}}(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}, \not{S}_n\otimes\mathbb{C}^p)$ are restricted to even dimension n in order to use the isomorphism $\mathrm{End}\,\not{S}_n \simeq \mathrm{Cl}_{\mathbb{C}}(n)$. As pointed out in [Po1] the notions of Getzler order and model operators make sense for elements of $\Psi^\bullet_{\mathrm{v}}(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}^p)\otimes\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathbb{C}}(n)$ independently of the parity of n. In particular, Lemma 4.15 holds *verbatim* in this context. When n is odd, $\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathbb{C}}(n)$ is a 2-cover to $\mathrm{End}\,\not{S}_n$, but, as observed in [BF], the trace on $\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathbb{C}}(n)^- = c\left(\Lambda^{2\bullet+1}(n)\right)$ behaves essentially like the supertrace on $\mathrm{End}\,\not{S}_n$ in even dimension. We refer to [Po4] for odd-dimensional analogues of Lemma 4.16 and Theorem 4.22 and some of their applications.

Remark 4.26. It is not difficult to various family settings the considerations of this sections on Getzler orders and model operators on Volterra ΨDOs (see, e.g., [Wa2, Wa3]). In particular, this provides us with proofs of the local equivariant family index theorem of Liu-Ma [LM] and the infinitesimal equivariant index theorem (a.k.a. Kirillov Formula) of Berline-Vergne [BV2] (see also [Bi2]). We refer to [Wa2] for a proof of the former result and to [Wa3] of the latter. We

note that the proofs of [Wa2, Wa3] rely on Lemma 9.5 of [PW0] which are not correct. This can fixed by using the approriate extensions of Lemma 4.16 and Theorem 4.22 to the respective family settings at stake in [Wa2] and [Wa3].

5. Connes-Chern Character and CM Cocycle

In this section, we briefly recall the framework for the local index formula and explain how to extend it to the setup of spectral triples over locally convex algebras. In what follows we assume the notation, definitions and results of the prequel [PW1] (which we shall refer as Part I).

5.1. **The Connes-Chern character.** The role of manifolds in noncommutative geometry is played by spectral triples. More specifically, a *spectral triple* is a triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$, where

- $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^+ \oplus \mathcal{H}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded Hilbert space.
- $\mathcal{A}$ is a $*$-algebra represented by bounded operators on $\mathcal{H}$ preserving its $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading.
- D is a selfadjoint unbounded operator on $\mathcal{H}$ such that
  - D maps $\mathrm{dom}(D) \cap \mathcal{H}^{\pm}$ to $\mathcal{H}^{\mp}$.
  - The resolvent $(D+i)^{-1}$ is a compact operator.
  - $a\,\mathrm{dom}(D) \subset \mathrm{dom}(D)$ and $[D, a]$ is bounded for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

In particular, with respect to the splitting $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^+ \oplus \mathcal{H}^-$ the operator D takes the form,

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & D^- \\ D^+ & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad D^{\pm} : \mathrm{dom}(D) \cap \mathcal{H}^{\pm} \to \mathcal{H}^{\mp}.$$

The paradigm of a spectral triple is given by a Dirac spectral triple,

$$(C^{\infty}(M), L^2_g(M, \not{S}), \not{D}_g),$$

where (M^n, g) is a compact spin Riemannian manifold (n even) and $\not{D}_g$ is its Dirac operator acting on the spinor bundle $\not{S} = \not{S}^+ \oplus \not{S}^-$. Given any Hermitian vector bundle E over M, the datum of any Hermitian connection on E enables us to form the coupled operator $\not{D}_{\nabla^E}$ acting on the sections of $\not{S} \otimes E$. Its Fredholm index depends only on the K-theory class of E and is given by the local index formula for Atiyah-Singer [ASi1, ASi2], i.e., the equivariant index formula (4.1) in the case $\phi = \mathrm{id}_M$.

Likewise, given any spectral triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ and a Hermitian finitely generated projective module $\mathcal{E}$ over $\mathcal{A}$, the datum of any Hermitian connection $\nabla^{\mathcal{E}}$ on $\mathcal{E}$ gives rise to an unbounded operator $D_{\nabla\varepsilon}$ on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{E}$. Furthermore, with respect to the splitting $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{E}^+) \oplus \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{E}^-)$, where $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{E}^{\pm}) := \mathcal{H}^{\pm} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{E}$, the coupled operator takes the form,

$$D_{\nabla\varepsilon} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & D^-_{\nabla\varepsilon} \\ D^+_{\nabla\varepsilon} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad D^{\pm}_{\nabla\varepsilon} : \mathrm{dom}(D^{\pm}) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{H}^{\mp}(\mathcal{E}).$$

The operator $D_{\nabla\varepsilon}$ is selfadjoint and Fredholm, and its Fredholm index is then defined by

$$\mathrm{ind}\, D_{\nabla\varepsilon} = \mathrm{ind}\, D^+_{\nabla\varepsilon} = \dim\ker D^+_{\nabla\varepsilon} - \dim\ker D^-_{\nabla\varepsilon}.$$

This index depends only on the class of $\mathcal{E}$ in the K-theory $K_0(\mathcal{A})$, and hence gives rise to an additive index map $\mathrm{ind}_D : K_0(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathbb{Z}$.

Let us further assume that the spectral triple is *p-summable* for some $p \geq 1$, i..e, the operator $|D|^{-p}$ is trace-class. In this case, Connes [Co2] constructed an even periodic cyclic cohomology class $\mathrm{Ch}(D) \in \mathrm{HP}^0(\mathcal{A})$, called *Connes-Chern character*, whose pairing with K-theory computes the index map. This construction is described in Part I in the more general setting of twisted spectral triples. For reader's convenience, we recall this construction in the special case of ordinary spectral triples.

Proposition 5.1 (Connes [Co2])**.** *Assume that $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ is p-summable and D is invertible.*

(1) *For any $q \geq \frac{1}{2}(p-1)$ the following formula defines a $2q$-cyclic cocycle on the algebra $\mathcal{A}$:*

$$\tau^D_{2q}(a^0, \ldots, a^{2q}) := \frac{1}{2}\frac{q!}{(2q)!}\,\mathrm{Str}\left\{D^{-1}[D, a^0]\cdots D^{-1}[D, a^{2q}]\right\}, \quad a^j \in \mathcal{A},$$

where $\mathrm{Str} = \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}^+} - \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}^-}$ is the supertrace on $\mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{H})$.

(2) *The class of the cocycle* τ_{2q}^D *in the periodic cyclic cohomology* $\mathrm{HP}^0(\mathcal{A})$ *is independent of the value of* q.

The case where D is non-invertible is dealt with by passing to the invertible double $(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}, \tilde{\mathcal{H}}, \tilde{D})$. Here $\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ is equipped with the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading $\tilde{\gamma} = \gamma \oplus \gamma$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ is the unitalization of $\mathcal{A}$ represented in $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ by $(a, \lambda) \to \begin{pmatrix} a + \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$. The operator $\tilde{D}$ is given by

$$\tilde{D} = \begin{pmatrix} D & \Pi_0 \\ \Pi_0 & -D \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5.1}$$

where Π_0 is the orthogonal projection onto $\ker D$. This provides us with a p-summable spectral triple $(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}, \tilde{\mathcal{H}}, \tilde{D})$ and it can be checked that the operator $\tilde{D}$ is invertible. We thus have well defined cyclic cocycles $\tau_{2q}^{\tilde{D}}$, $q \geq \frac{1}{2}(p-1)$, on $\tilde{A}$.

Proposition 5.2 (Connes [Co2])**.** *Assume that* $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ *is* p*-summable. For* $q \geq \frac{1}{2}(p-1)$*, denote by* $\overline{\tau}_{2q}^D$ *the restriction to* $\mathcal{A}$ *of the cyclic cocycle* $\tau_{2q}^{\tilde{D}}$.

(1) *The cochain* $\overline{\tau}_{2q}^D$ *is a cyclic cocycle on* $\mathcal{A}$ *whose class in* $\mathrm{HP}^0(\mathcal{A})$ *is independent of the value of* q.

(2) *When* D *is invertible, the cyclic cocycles* τ_{2q}^D *and* $\overline{\tau}_{2q}^D$ *are cohomologous in* $\mathrm{HC}^{2q}(\mathcal{A})$*, and hence define the same class in* $\mathrm{HP}^0(\mathcal{A})$.

Remark 5.3. The homotopy invariance of the cohomology class of $\overline{\tau}_{2q}^D$ shows that, for $q \geq \frac{1}{2}(p+1)$, the class of $\overline{\tau}_{2q}^D$ in $\mathrm{HC}^{2k}(\mathcal{A})$ remains unchanged if in the formula (5.1) for $\tilde{D}$ we replace the projection Π_0 by any operators of the form $t_1 + t_2\Pi_0$, with $t_j \geq 0$, $t_1 + t_2 > 0$ (*cf.* [Co2]).

Definition 5.4 (Connes [Co2])**.** *Assume that* $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ *is* p*-summable. The Connes-Chern character of* $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$*, denoted by* $\mathrm{Ch}(D)$*, is defined as follows:*

- *If* D *is invertible, then* $\mathrm{Ch}(D)$ *is the common class in* $\mathrm{HP}^0(\mathcal{A})$ *of the cyclic cocycles* τ_{2q}^D *and* $\overline{\tau}_{2q}^D$*, with* $q \geq \frac{1}{2}(p-1)$.
- *If* D *is not invertible, then* $\mathrm{Ch}(D)$ *is the common class in* $\mathrm{HP}^0(\mathcal{A})$ *of the cyclic cocycles* $\overline{\tau}_{2q}^D$*,* $q \geq \frac{1}{2}(p-1)$.

The above definition is motivated by the following index formula.

Proposition 5.5 (Connes [Co2])**.** *For any Hermitian finitely generated projective module* $\mathcal{E}$ *over* $\mathcal{A}$ *and any Hermitian connection* $\nabla^{\mathcal{E}}$ *on* $\mathcal{E}$*, we have*

$$\operatorname{ind} D_{\nabla^{\mathcal{E}}} = \langle \mathrm{Ch}(D), \mathrm{Ch}(\mathcal{E}) \rangle, \tag{5.2}$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ *is the duality pairing between cyclic cohomology and cyclic homology and* $\mathrm{Ch}(\mathcal{E})$ *is the Connes-Chern character of* $\mathcal{E}$ *in the periodic cyclic homology* $\mathrm{HP}^0(\mathcal{A})$ *(*cf. *Part I).*

Remark 5.6. All the above results continue to hold if in the definition of the cocycles τ_{2q}^D and $\overline{\tau}_{2q}^D$ we replace the operator D by any operator $D|D|^{-t}$ with $t \in [0, 1]$. In particular, for $t = 1$ we obtain the sign operator $F = D|D|^{-1}$, which is often convenient to use for defining the Connes-Chern character.

5.2. **The CM cocycle.** The cocycles τ_{2q}^D and $\overline{\tau}_{2q}^D$ used in the definition of the Connes-Chern character are difficult to compute in practice, even in the case of a Dirac spectral triple (see [Co3, BFo]). Therefore, it was sought for an alternative representative of the Connes-Chern character which would be easier to compute. Such a representative is provided by the CM cocycle [CM3], which is constructed as follows.

In what follows we shall assume that $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ is p^+-summable for some $p \geq 1$, that is,

$$\mu_j(D^{-1}) = \mathrm{O}(j^{-\frac{1}{p}}) \qquad \text{as } j \to \infty,$$

where $\mu_j(D^{-1})$ is the $(j+1)$-th eigenvalue of $|D^{-1}| = |D|^{-1}$ counted with multiplicity. This implies that $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ is p-summable for all $q > p$. In addition, we set

$$\mathcal{D}_D^0(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A} + [D, \mathcal{A}], \tag{5.3}$$

where $\gamma = 1_{\mathcal{H}^+} - 1_{\mathcal{H}^-}$ is the grading operator. Note that the very definition of a spectral triple implies that the operators in $\mathcal{D}^0_D(\mathcal{A})$ are bounded.

Definition 5.7. *We shall say that $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ is hypo-regular when, for any $X \in \mathcal{D}^0_D(\mathcal{A})$, all the operators $D^m X D^{-m}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, are bounded.*

From now on we further assume that $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ is hypo-regular. Set $\mathcal{H}^\infty = \bigcap_{m\geq 0} \operatorname{dom}(D^{-m})$ and equip $\mathcal{H}^\infty$ with the Fréchet space topology defined by the seminorms $\xi \to \langle (1 + D^{2m})\xi, \xi\rangle$, $m \geq 0$. Then the hyporegularity condition implies that any $X \in \mathcal{D}^0_D(\mathcal{A})$ induces a continuous linear operator from $\mathcal{H}^\infty$ to itself. Note also that $\mathcal{H}^\infty$ is a dense subspace of $\mathcal{H}$. In addition, for $m \geq 0$, we denote by $\mathcal{D}^m_D(\mathcal{A})$ the class of unbounded operators on $\mathcal{H}$, where $\mathcal{D}^0_D(\mathcal{A})$ is defined as in (5.3), and

$$\mathcal{D}^1(\mathcal{A}) = D\mathcal{D}^0_D(\mathcal{A}) + \mathcal{D}^0_D(\mathcal{A})D,$$
$$\mathcal{D}^m_D(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{D}^1(\mathcal{A})\mathcal{D}^{m-1}_D(\mathcal{A}) + \mathcal{D}^2(\mathcal{A})\mathcal{D}^{m-2}_D(\mathcal{A}) + \cdots + \mathcal{D}^{m-1}_D(\mathcal{A})\mathcal{D}^1(\mathcal{A}), \quad m \geq 2.$$

Alternatively, we may regard each class $\mathcal{D}^m_D(\mathcal{A})$ as a subspace of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}^\infty)$. A spanning set of $\mathcal{D}^m_D(\mathcal{A})$ is then obtained as follows. For $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, define

$$\mathcal{P}(m) = \left\{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{N}_0^{m+2} \times \{0, 1\}^{m+1}; |\alpha| = \alpha_0 + \cdots + \alpha_{m+1} = m\right\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{D}^m_D(\mathcal{A})$ is spanned by operators of the form,

$$P_{\alpha,\beta}(a^0, \ldots, a^l) = D^{\alpha_0}(a^0)^{\beta_0}[D, a^0]^{1-\beta_0} \cdots D^{\alpha_{m'}}(a^l)^{\beta_l}[D, a^0]^{1-\beta_l} D^{\alpha_{l+1}}, \tag{5.4}$$

where the pair (α, β) ranges over $\mathcal{P}(m)$ and $a^0, \ldots, a^l$ range over $\mathcal{A}$. Setting $X^j = (a^j)^{\beta_j}[D, a^j]^{1-\beta_j}$ such an operator can be rewritten as

$$(D^{\alpha_0} X^0 D^{-\alpha_0})(D^{\alpha_0+\alpha_1} X^1 D^{-(\alpha_0+\alpha_1)}) \cdots (D^{\alpha_0+\cdots+\alpha_m} X^m D^{-(\alpha_0+\cdots+\alpha_m)}) D^m,$$

This and the hypo-regularity condition imply that the operator $P_{\alpha,\beta}(a^0, \ldots, a^m)D^{-m}$ is bounded. Combining this with the p^+-summability of D we then deduce that, for all $X \in \mathcal{D}^m_D(\mathcal{A})$, the operator $X|D|^{-z-m}$ is trace-class for $\Re z > p$, and so by taking its super-trace we then obtain a function $z \to \operatorname{Str}[X|D|^{-z-m}]$ which is holomorphic on the half-plane $\Re z > p$.

Definition 5.8. *We say that $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ has discrete and simple dimension spectrum when $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ is hypo-regular and there is a discrete subset $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that, for all $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $X \in \mathcal{D}^m_D(\mathcal{A})$, the function $\operatorname{Str}[X|D|^{-z-m}]$ has an analytic continuation to $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma$ with at worst simple pole singularities on Σ. The dimension spectrum of $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ is then defined as the smallest such set.*

Remark 5.9. The p^+-summability of D implies that the dimension spectrum of $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ is contained in the half-space $\Re z \leq p$.

In what follows, given $X \in \mathcal{D}^0_D(\mathcal{A})$, for $j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ we denote by $X^{[j]}$ the j-th iterated commutator of D^2 with X, i.e.,

$$X^{[0]} = X, \qquad X^{[j]} = \overbrace{[D^2, [D^2, \ldots [D^2}^{j \text{ times}}, X] \cdots]], \quad j \geq 1.$$

Note that $X^{[j]}$ is an element of $\mathcal{D}^{2j}_D(\mathcal{A})$.

Definition 5.10. *We say that $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ is regular when, for any $X \in \mathcal{D}^0_D(\mathcal{A})$, all the operators $X^{[m]}D^{-m}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, are bounded.*

As shown by Connes-Moscovici [CM3], assuming that $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ is regular and has discrete and simple dimension spectrum enables us to construct a class of ΨDOs and an analogue of Guillemin-Wodzicki's noncommutative residue trace as follows.

In the following we denote by $\mathcal{B}$ the class of unbounded operators on $\mathcal{H}$ that are linearly combination of operators of the form $X^{[m]}|D|^{-m}$ with $X \in \mathcal{D}^0_D(\mathcal{A})$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. The regularity assumption implies that all the operators in $\mathcal{B}$ are bounded. Moreover, for $r \in \mathbb{R}$ we denote by OP^r the class of unbounded operators T on $\mathcal{H}$ such that $\operatorname{dom}(T) \supset \mathcal{H}_{(\infty)}$ and $T|D|^{-r}$ is bounded.

Definition 5.11. *$\Psi_D^q(\mathcal{A})$, $q \in \mathbb{C}$, consists of unbounded operators P on $\mathcal{H}$ such that the domain of P contains $\mathcal{H}^\infty$ and there is an asymptotic expansion of the form,*

$$P \sim \sum_{j\geq 0} b_j |D|^{q-j}, \qquad b_j \in \mathcal{B},$$

in the sense that

$$\left(P - \sum_{j<N} b_j |D|^{q-j}\right) \in OP^{\Re q - N} \qquad \forall N \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In particular, the above definition implies that any operator in $\Psi_D^q(\mathcal{A})$ induces a continuous linear operator from $\mathcal{H}^\infty$ to itself. Moreover, the operators in $\Psi_D^q(\mathcal{A})$ with $\Re q \leq 0$ extend to bounded operators of $\mathcal{H}$ to itself. Those operators are compact (resp., trace-class) when $\Re q < 0$ (resp., $\Re q < -p$). Furthermore, it can be shown (see [CM3, Hi]) that if $X \in \mathcal{D}_D^0(\mathcal{A})$, then, for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$|D|^{2z} X^{[j]} \sim \sum_{k\geq 0} \binom{z}{j} X^{[j+k]} |D|^{2z-2k},$$

and hence $|D|^{2z} X^{[j]}$ is contained in $\Psi_D^{2z+j}(\mathcal{A})$. This implies that

$$\Psi_D^{q_1}(\mathcal{A})\Psi_D^{q_2}(\mathcal{A}) \subset \Psi_D^{q_1+q_2}(\mathcal{A}) \qquad \forall q_j \in \mathbb{C}.$$

In addition, the existence of a discrete and simple dimension spectrum implies that, for any $P \in \Psi_D^q(\mathcal{A})$, the function $z \to \operatorname{Str}\left[P|D|^{-2z}\right]$ has a meromorphic extension to the entire complex plane with at worst simple pole singularities. Note that the poles are contained in the half-plane $\Re z \leq \frac{1}{2}(p + \Re q)$. We then set

$$\fint P := \operatorname{Res}_{z=0} \operatorname{Str}\left[P|D|^{-2z}\right] \qquad \forall P \in \Psi_D^*(\mathcal{A}). \tag{5.5}$$

As it turns out (*cf.* [CM3]), this defines a trace on $\Psi_D^\bullet(\mathcal{A})$, i.e.,

$$\fint P_1 P_2 = \fint P_2 P_1 \qquad \text{for all } P_j \in \Psi_D^{q_j}(\mathcal{A}).$$

This residual functional is the analogue of the noncommutative residue trace of Guillemin [Gu] and Wodzicki [Wo1]. Note also that it vanishes on all operators $P \in \Psi_D^q(\mathcal{A})$ with $\Re q < -p$, and so this is a *local* functional.

In what follows for $q \geq 1$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{2q}$ we set

$$c_{q,\alpha} = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \frac{(q-1)!(\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{2q})!}{\alpha!(\alpha_1+1)\cdots(\alpha_{2q}+2q)}. \tag{5.6}$$

Theorem 5.12 (Connes-Moscovici [CM3])**.** *Assume that $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ is p^+-summable, regular and has a discrete and simple dimension spectrum. Then*

(1) *The following formulas define an even periodic cyclic cocycle $\varphi^{\mathrm{CM}} = (\varphi_{2q}^{\mathrm{CM}})_{q\geq 0}$ on $\mathcal{A}$:*

$$\varphi_0^{\mathrm{CM}}(a^0) = \operatorname*{Res}_{z=0} \left\{\Gamma(z) \operatorname{Str}\left[a^0|D|^{-2z}\right]\right\} + \operatorname{Str}\left[a^0 \Pi_0\right], \quad a^0 \in \mathcal{A}, \tag{5.7}$$

$$\varphi_{2q}^{\mathrm{CM}}(a^0, \ldots, a^{2q}) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^q} c_{q,\alpha} \fint \gamma a^0 [D, a^1]^{[\alpha_1]} \cdots [D, a^{2q}]^{[\alpha_{2q}]} |D|^{-2(|\alpha|+q)}, \quad a^j \in \mathcal{A},\ q \geq 1. \tag{5.8}$$

(2) *The cocycle φ^{CM} represents the Connes-Chern character $\operatorname{Ch}(D)$ in $\mathrm{HP}^0(\mathcal{A})$.*

(3) *For any Hermitian finitely projective module $\mathcal{E}$ over $\mathcal{A}$ and any Hermitian connection $\nabla^{\mathcal{E}}$ over $\mathcal{E}$, we have*

$$\operatorname{ind} D_{\nabla^\varepsilon} = \langle \varphi^{\mathrm{CM}}, \operatorname{Ch}(\mathcal{E}) \rangle.$$

Remark 5.13. The formulas (5.7)–(5.8) provide us with the local index formula in noncommutative geometry [CM3]. The cocycle φ^{CM} is called the CM cocycle of the spectral triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$.

Remark 5.14. Connes-Moscovici [CM3] proved Theorem 5.12 under the additional assumption that the functions $\Gamma(z) \operatorname{Str}[X|D|^{-z}]$, $X \in \mathcal{D}_D^\bullet(\mathcal{A})$, have rapid decay along vertical lines in the complex plane. This technical assumption is removed in [Hi].

Example 5.15. A Dirac spectral triple $(C^\infty(M), L^2_g(M,\not{S}), \not{D}_g)$ is n^+-summable with $n = \dim M$. It is also regular and has a discrete dimension spectrum contained in $\{k \in \mathbb{N};\ k \leq n\}$. Each space $\Psi^q_D(\mathcal{A})$, $q \in \mathbb{C}$, is contained in the space of classical ΨDOs of order q. In addition, the residual trace $\fint$ agrees with the noncommutative residue trace of Guillemin and Wodzicki. Finally (see [CM3, Remark II.1], [Po1]) the CM cocycle $\varphi^{\mathrm{CM}} = (\varphi^{\mathrm{CM}}_{2q})_{q\geq 0}$ is given by

$$\varphi^{\mathrm{CM}}_{2q}(f^0,\dots,f^{2q}) = \frac{(2i\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}}}{(2q)!}\int_M f^0 df^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge df^{2q} \wedge \hat{A}\left(R^{TM}\right), \quad f^j \in C^\infty(M).$$

Combining this with (5.2) enables us to recover the local index formula of Atiyah-Singer [ASi1, ASi2].

5.3. **The CM cocycle of a smooth spectral triple.** We shall now explain how to specialize the framework of the local index formula in noncommutative geometry to spectral triples over locally convex algebras, more precisely, for the *smooth spectral triples* considered in Part I.

In what follows by a locally convex $*$-algebra we shall mean a $*$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ equipped with a locally convex topology with respect to which its product and involution are continuous maps. A spectral triple $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{H},D)$ over such an algebra is called *smooth* when the representation of $\mathcal{A}$ and the map $a \to [D,a]$ are continuous linear maps from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$. If we further assume p-summability, then the Connes-Chern character of $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{H},D)$ descends to a cohomology class

$$\mathbf{Ch}(D) \in \mathbf{HP}^0(\mathcal{A}),$$

where $\mathbf{HP}^\bullet(\mathcal{A})$ is the periodic cyclic cohomology of *continuous* cochains on $\mathcal{A}$ (*cf.* Part I). More precisely, the cyclic cocycles $\overline{\tau}^D_{2q}$, $q \geq \frac{1}{2}(p-1)$, are continuous and define the same class in $\mathbf{HP}^0(\mathcal{A})$. It then is natural to ask under which conditions the CM cocycle may represent the Connes-Chern character in $\mathbf{HP}^0(\mathcal{A})$.

In what follows we let $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{H},D)$ be a smooth spectral triple which is p^+-summable and hypo-regular.

Definition 5.16. *We say that $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{H},D)$ is uniformly hypo-regular when, for all $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the linear maps $a \to D^m a D^{-m}$ and $a \to D^m[D,a]D^{-m}$ are continuous from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$.*

We observe that the hypo-regularity assumption enables us to endow each space $\mathcal{D}^m_D(\mathcal{A})$, $m \geq 0$, with the norm,

$$\|X\|_{(m)} = \|X(1+D^2)^{-m/2}\|, \qquad X \in \mathcal{D}^m_D(\mathcal{A}).$$

This gives rise to a natural normed topology on each space $\mathcal{D}^m_D(\mathcal{A})$, $m \geq 0$.

In what follows, given any open $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$, we shall denote by $\mathrm{Hol}(\Omega)$ the Fréchet space of holomorphic functions on Ω.

Remark 5.17. If $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{H},D)$ is uniformly hypo-regular, then, for any pair $(\alpha,\beta) \in \mathcal{P}(m)$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the map $(a^0,\dots,a^m) \to P_{\alpha,\beta}(a^0,\dots,a^m)$ is a continuous $(m+1)$-linear map from $\mathcal{A}^{m+1}$ to $\mathcal{D}^m_D(\mathcal{A})$, where the operator $P_{\alpha,\beta}(a^0,\dots,a^m)$ is given by (5.4). It then follows that we obtain a continuous $(m+1)$-linear map,

$$\mathcal{A}^{m+1} \ni (a^0,\dots,a^m) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Str}\left[P_{\alpha,\beta}(a^0,\dots,a^m)|D|^{-z-m}\right] \in \mathrm{Hol}(\Re z > p).$$

The above remark leads us to the following notion of uniform dimension spectrum.

Definition 5.18. *We say that $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{H},D)$ has a simple and discrete uniform dimension spectrum when it is uniformly hypo-regular and has a simple and discrete dimension spectrum in such way that, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and pair $(\alpha,\beta) \in \mathcal{P}(m)$, the following conditions are satisfied:*

(i) *The $(m+1)$-linear map $(a^0,\dots,a^m) \to \mathrm{Str}\left[P_{\alpha,\beta}(a^0,\dots,a^m)|D|^{-z-m}\right]$ is continuous from $\mathcal{A}^{m+1}$ to $\mathrm{Hol}(\mathbb{C}\setminus\Sigma)$.*

(ii) *Any $\sigma \in \Sigma$ has an open neighbourhood $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that $\Sigma \cap \Omega = \{\sigma\}$ and the $(m+1)$-linear map $(a^0,\dots,a^m) \to (z-\sigma)\,\mathrm{Str}\left[P_{\alpha,\beta}(a^0,\dots,a^m)|D|^{-z-m}\right]$ is continuous from $\mathcal{A}^{m+1}$ to $\mathrm{Hol}(\Omega)$.*

Definition 5.19. *We say that $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{H},D)$ is uniformly regular when it is regular and, for all $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the linear maps $a \to a^{[m]}D^{-m}$ and $a \to [D,a]^{[m]}D^{-m}$ are continuous from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$.*

We are now in a position to answer the question about the representation of the Connes-Chern character $\mathbf{Ch}(D) \in \mathbf{HP}^0(\mathcal{A})$ by means of the CM cocycle.

Proposition 5.20 ([Po3]). *Suppose that $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ is smooth, p^+-summable, uniformly regular and has a discrete and simple uniform dimension spectrum. Then*

(1) *The components (5.7)–(5.8) of the CM cocycle φ^{CM} are continuous cochains on $\mathcal{A}$.*
(2) *The class of φ^{CM} in $\mathbf{HP}^0(\mathcal{A})$ agrees with the Connes-Chern character $\mathbf{Ch}(D)$.*

6. CM Cocycle and Heat-Trace Asymptotics

In this section, we shall now re-interpret the CM cocycle and its representation of the Connes-Chern character in terms of heat-trace asymptotics. As we shall see, this is especially convenient for smooth spectral triples over barelled locally convex algebras.

In what follows we let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ be a hypo-regular p^+-summable spectral triple. We denote by $\mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{H})$ the Banach ideal of trace-class operators on $\mathcal{H}$ equipped with the norm $\|T\|_1 := \operatorname{Tr}|T|$, $T \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{H})$. In addition, we denote by Π_0 the orthogonal projection onto $\ker D$. Note that Π_0 is a finite-rank operator whose range is contained in $\mathcal{H}^\infty$.

Lemma 6.1. *Assume that $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ is hypo-regular, and let $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$.*

(1) *For all $X \in \mathcal{D}_D^m(\mathcal{A})$, the operators Xe^{-tD^2}, $t > 0$, form a continuous family of trace-class operators.*
(2) *For all $q > p$, there is a constant $C_{mq} > 0$ such that*

$$\|Xe^{-tD^2}\|_1 \leq C_{mq}\|X\|_{(m)}t^{-\frac{m+q}{2}} \qquad \text{for all } t > 0 \text{ and } X \in \mathcal{D}_D^m(\mathcal{A}). \tag{6.1}$$

(3) *Let λ_0 be the smallest eigenvalue of D^2. For all $q > p$, there are a time $t_q > 0$ and a constant $C_{mq} > 0$, such that*

$$\|X(1-\Pi_0)e^{-tD^2}\|_1 \leq C_{mq}\|X\|_{(m)}e^{-t\lambda_0} \quad \text{for all } t \geq t_q \text{ and } X \in \mathcal{D}_D^m(\mathcal{A}). \tag{6.2}$$

Proof. The proof relies on the fact that $\mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{H})$ is a two-sided ideal and its norm is symmetric, i.e.,

$$\|A_1TA_2\|_1 \leq \|A_1\|\|T\|_1\|A_2\| \qquad \forall T \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{H})\ \forall A_j \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}). \tag{6.3}$$

Let $q > p$ and $X \in \mathcal{D}_D^m(\mathcal{A})$. For all $t > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Xe^{-tD^2} &= X(1-\Pi_0)e^{-tD^2} + X\Pi_0 \\ &= t^{-\frac{m+q}{2}}(XD^{-m})D^{-q}(tD^2)^{\frac{m+q}{2}}(1-\Pi_0)e^{-tD^2} + X\Pi_0. \end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

We note that $X\Pi_0$ has finite-rank, and hence is trace-class. In addition, the operators XD^{-m}, $(tD^2)^{\frac{m+q}{2}}e^{-tD^2}$ and D^{-q} are trace-class. Therefore, we see that Xe^{-tD^2} is trace-class for all $t > 0$.

Using (6.3) and the fact that $\Pi_0 = (1+D^2)^{-\frac{m}{2}}\Pi_0$ we get

$$\|X\Pi_0\|_1 = \|X(1+D^2)^{-\frac{m}{2}}\Pi_0\|_1 \leq \|X\|_{(m)}\|\Pi_0\|_1. \tag{6.5}$$

Using (6.3) and (6.4) we also obtain

$$\|X(1-\Pi_0)e^{-tD^2}\|_1 \leq t^{-\frac{m+q}{2}}\|XD^{-m}\|\|D^{-q}\|_1\|(tD^2)^{\frac{m+q}{2}}(1-\Pi_0)e^{-tD^2}\|. \tag{6.6}$$

We observe that

$$\|XD^{-m}\| = \|X(1+D^2)^{-\frac{m}{2}} \cdot (1+D^2)^{\frac{m}{2}}D^{-m}\| \leq \|X\|_{(m)}\|(1+D^2)^{\frac{m}{2}}D^{-m}\|, \tag{6.7}$$

$$\|(tD^2)^{\frac{m+q}{2}}(1-\Pi_0)e^{-tD^2}\| \leq \sup\{\mu^{\frac{m+q}{2}}e^{-\mu}; \mu \geq t\lambda_0\} \leq \sup\{\mu^{\frac{m+q}{2}}e^{-\mu}; \mu \geq 0\}. \tag{6.8}$$

Combining this with (6.5)–(6.6) and the fact that $Xe^{-tD^2} = X(1-\Pi_0)e^{-tD^2} + X\Pi_0$ we deduce there is a constant $C_{mq} > 0$ such that, for all $t > 0$ and $X \in \mathcal{D}_D^m(\mathcal{A})$, we have

$$\|Xe^{-tD^2}\|_1 \leq \|X(1-\Pi_0)e^{-tD^2}\|_1 + \|X\Pi_0\|_1 \leq C_{mq}(t^{-\frac{m+q}{2}} + 1)\|X\|_{(m)}.$$

We further observe that the function $\mu \to \mu^{\frac{m+q}{2}}e^{-\mu}$ has a single critical point μ_q on $(0, \infty)$ and is decreasing on $[\mu_d, \infty)$. Therefore, if we set $t_q = \mu_q\lambda_0^{-1}$, then, for all $t \geq t_q$, the function

$\mu \to \mu^{\frac{m+q}{2}} e^{-\mu}$ is decreasing on $[t\lambda_0, \infty)$, and hence $\mu^{\frac{m+q}{2}} e^{-\mu} \leq (t\lambda_0)^{\frac{m+q}{2}} e^{-t\lambda_0}$ for all $\mu \geq t\lambda_0$. Combining this with (6.6)–(6.8) we deduce there is a constant $C'_{mq} > 0$ such that

$$\|X(1-\Pi_0)e^{-tD^2}\|_1 \leq C'_{mq}\|X\|_{(m)}e^{-t\lambda_0} \quad \text{for all } t \geq t_q \text{ and } X \in \mathcal{D}^m_D(\mathcal{A}).$$

To complete the proof it remains to show that, for any $X \in \mathcal{D}^m_D(\mathcal{A})$, the family $\left(Xe^{-tD^2}\right)_{t>0}$ is a continuous family in $\mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{H})$. To this end let $c > 0$ and $t_j \in (c, \infty)$, $j = 1, 2$. Set $t = \min\{t_1, t_2\}$ and $h = |t_1 - t_2|$. In addition, let $f(x)$ be the function on $[0, \infty)$ defined by $f(x) = x^{-1}(1 - e^{-x})$ for $x > 0$ and $f(0) = 1$. Note that $f(x)$ is a continuous function on $[0, \infty)$ with values in $(0, 1]$. We then have

$$Xe^{-t_1D^2} - Xe^{-t_2D^2} = \pm Xe^{-tD^2}(1 - e^{-hD^2}) = hXD^2e^{-cD^2}e^{-(t-c)D^2}f(hD^2). \tag{6.9}$$

As XD^2 is contained in $\mathcal{D}^{m+2}_D(\mathcal{A})$, the first part of the proof shows that $XD^2e^{-cD^2}$ is a trace-class operator. We also note that $\|e^{-(t-c)D^2}f(hD^2)\| \leq \|e^{-(t-c)D^2}\|\|f(hD^2)\| \leq \max f \leq 1$. Therefore, combining (6.3) and (6.9) we obtain

$$\|Xe^{-t_1D^2} - Xe^{-t_2D^2}\|_1 \leq h\|XD^2e^{-cD^2}\|_1 \qquad \forall t_j \in (c, \infty).$$

This proves that $\left(Xe^{-tD^2}\right)_{t>0}$ is a continuous family in $\mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{H})$. The proof is complete. □

Remark 6.2. It follows from Lemma 6.1 that, for any $X \in \mathcal{D}^\bullet_D(\mathcal{A})$, the supertrace $\operatorname{Str}\left[Xe^{-tD^2}\right]$ is well defined for all $t > 0$. Moreover, the inequalities (6.1)–(6.2) imply that, for all $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we actually have continuous linear maps,

$$\mathcal{D}^m_D(\mathcal{A}) \ni X \longrightarrow t^{\frac{m+q}{2}} \operatorname{Str}\left[Xe^{-tD^2}\right] \in C^0_b(0, 1], \qquad q > p,$$
$$\mathcal{D}^m_D(\mathcal{A}) \ni X \longrightarrow e^{t\lambda} \operatorname{Str}\left[X(1-\Pi_0)e^{-tD^2}\right] \in C^0_b[1, \infty),$$

where $C^0_b(0, 1]$ (resp., $C^0_b[1, \infty)$) is the Banach space of bounded continuous functions on $(0, 1]$ (resp., $[1, \infty)$).

Definition 6.3. *Given a discrete subset Σ of $(-\infty, p]$, we shall say that $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ has the asymptotic expansion property relatively to Σ when it is hypo-regular and, for any $X \in \mathcal{D}^m_D(\mathcal{A})$, $m \geq 0$, there is an asymptotic expansion of the form,*

$$\operatorname{Str}\left[Xe^{-tD^2}\right] \sim \sum_{\sigma\in\Sigma} a^{(m)}_\sigma(X)t^{-\frac{1}{2}(\sigma+m)} \qquad \text{as } t \to 0^+. \tag{6.10}$$

Remark 6.4. Let $\theta(t)$ be a function on $(0, \infty)$ which as $t \to 0^+$ admits an asymptotic expansion of the form (6.10). We shall call by *partie finie* (i.e., finite part), and denote by $\operatorname*{Pf}_{t=0^+} \theta_X(t)$, the constant coefficient in this asymptotic expansion. For instance, in the notation of (6.10), for any $X \in \mathcal{D}^m_D(\mathcal{A})$, $m \geq 0$, and $\sigma \in \Sigma$, we have

$$a^{(m)}_\sigma(X) = \operatorname*{Pf}_{t=0^+} \left\{t^{\frac{1}{2}(\sigma+m)} \operatorname{Str}\left[Xe^{-tD^2}\right]\right\} \tag{6.11}$$
$$= \lim_{t\to 0^+} t^{\frac{1}{2}(\sigma+m)}\left\{\operatorname{Str}\left[Xe^{-tD^2}\right] - \sum_{\substack{\sigma'\in\Sigma\\ \sigma'>\sigma}} a^{(m)}_{\sigma'}(X)t^{-\frac{1}{2}(\sigma'+m)}\right\}. \tag{6.12}$$

Note also that both right-hand sides make sense when $\sigma \notin \Sigma$.

Remark 6.5. The asymptotic expansion property implies that, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we define a linear map $R_N : \mathcal{D}^m_D(\mathcal{A}, D) \to C^0_b(0, 1]$ by

$$R_N(X)(t) = t^{-N}\left\{\operatorname{Str}\left[Xe^{-tD^2}\right] - \sum_{\sigma\in\Sigma^{(m)}_N} a^{(m)}_\sigma(X)t^{-\frac{1}{2}(\sigma+m)}\right\}, \quad X \in \mathcal{D}^m_D(\mathcal{A}),\ t \in (0, 1], \tag{6.13}$$

where we have set $\Sigma^{(m)}_N := \{\sigma \in \Sigma;\ \sigma + m > 2N\}$.

Lemma 6.6. *Assume that $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{H},D)$ has the asymptotic expansion property relatively to Σ. Then*

(1) *$(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{H},D)$ has a discrete and simple dimension spectrum contained in Σ.*

(2) *For any $X\in\mathcal{D}_D^\bullet(\mathcal{A})$ and $q\geq 0$, we have*

$$\operatorname*{Res}_{z=0}\left\{\Gamma(z)\operatorname{Str}\left[X|D|^{-2(z+q)}\right]\right\}=\begin{cases}\operatorname*{Pf}_{t=0^+} t^q\operatorname{Str}\left[Xe^{-tD^2}\right] & \text{if } q>0,\\ \operatorname*{Pf}_{t=0^+}\operatorname{Str}\left[Xe^{-tD^2}\right]-\operatorname{Str}\left[X\Pi_0\right] & \text{if } q=0.\end{cases}\tag{6.14}$$

Proof. Let $X\in\mathcal{D}_D^m(\mathcal{A})$, $m\geq 0$. In the following, given any $s\in\mathbb{C}$ we set $\hat{s}=\frac{1}{2}(s+m)$. Using the Mellin formula $\Gamma(\hat{z})|D|^{-(z+m)}=\int_0^\infty t^{\hat{z}-1}(1-\Pi_0)e^{-tD^2}dt$, $\Re\hat{z}>0$, and the boundedness of $X|D|^{-m}$, it can be shown that, for $\Re z>0$, we have

$$\Gamma(\hat{z})X|D|^{-(z+m)}=\int_0^\infty t^{\hat{z}-1}X(1-\Pi_0)e^{-tD^2}dt,$$

where the integral converges in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$. In fact, Lemma 6.1 further ensures us that, for $\Re z>p$ the operators $t^{\hat{z}}X(1-\Pi_0)e^{-tD^2}$, $t>0$, form a continuous family in $\mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{H})$ which remains bounded as $t\to 0^+$ and decay exponentially fast as $t\to\infty$. Therefore, for $\Re z>p$, we have

$$\Gamma(\hat{z})\operatorname{Str}\left[X|D|^{-(z+m)}\right]=\int_0^\infty t^{\hat{z}-1}\operatorname{Str}\left[X(1-\Pi_0)e^{-tD^2}\right]dt.\tag{6.15}$$

That is, when regarded as a function of the variable $\hat{z}$, the function $\Gamma(\hat{z})\operatorname{Str}\left[X|D|^{-(z+m)}\right]$ is the Mellin transform of the function,

$$\theta_X(t)=\operatorname{Str}\left[X(1-\Pi_0)e^{-tD^2}\right]=\operatorname{Str}\left[Xe^{-tD^2}\right]-\operatorname{Str}\left[X\Pi_0\right],\qquad t>0.$$

It is well known how to relate the short-time behavior of $\theta_X(t)$ to the meromorphic singularities of its Mellin transform (see, e.g., [BGV, GS]). First, the fact that $t^{\hat{z}}X(1-\Pi_0)e^{-tD^2}$, $t\geq 1$, is a continuous family with rapid decay in $\mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{H})$ enables us to define an entire function $F(X)(z):=\int_1^\infty t^{\hat{z}-1}\theta_X(t)dt$, $z\in\mathbb{C}$. We then may rewrite (6.15) as

$$\Gamma(\hat{z})\operatorname{Str}\left[X|D|^{-(z+m)}\right]=\int_1^\infty t^{\hat{z}-1}\theta_X(t)dt,\qquad \Re z>p.\tag{6.16}$$

Second, the asymptotic expansion (6.10) implies that, for all $N\in\mathbb{N}$, we can write

$$\theta_X(t)=\sum_{\sigma\in\Sigma_N^{(m)}} t^{-\hat{\sigma}}a_\sigma^{(m)}(X)-\operatorname{Str}\left[X\Pi_0\right]+t^N R_N(X)(t),\qquad 0<t\leq 1,\tag{6.17}$$

where $R_N(X)(t)$ is the bounded continuous function on $(0,1]$ defined by (6.13). The boundedness of $R_N(X)(t)$ enables us to define a holomorphic function $H_N(X)(z):=\int_0^1 t^{\hat{z}+N-1}R_N(X)(t)dt$ on the half-plane $\Re\hat{z}>-N$ (i.e., the half-plane $\Re z>-(2N+m)$). Combining this with (6.16) and (6.17) we see that on the half-plane $\Re z>p$ the function $\Gamma(\hat{z})\operatorname{Str}\left[X|D|^{-(z+m)}\right]$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\sigma\in\Sigma_N^{(m)}}\int_1^\infty t^{\frac{1}{2}(z-\sigma)-1}a_\sigma^{(m)}(X)dt-\int_1^\infty t^{\frac{1}{2}(z+m)}\operatorname{Str}\left[X\Pi_0\right]dt+H_N(X)(z)+F(X)(z)\\ &\qquad=\sum_{\sigma\in\Sigma_N^{(m)}}\frac{2}{z-\sigma}a_\sigma(X)-\frac{2}{z-m}\operatorname{Str}\left[X\Pi_0\right]+H_N(X)(z)+F(X)(z).\end{aligned}$$

This shows that, for all $N\in\mathbb{N}$, the function $\Gamma(\hat{z})\operatorname{Str}\left[X|D|^{-(z+m)}\right]$ has a meromorphic continuation to the half-plane $\Re z>-(2N+m)$ with at worst simple pole singularities on $\Sigma_N^{(m)}\cup\{-m\}$. Furthermore, for all $\sigma\in\Sigma_N^{(m)}$ with $\sigma\neq -m$, we have

$$\operatorname*{Res}_{z=\sigma}\left\{\Gamma(\hat{z})\operatorname{Str}\left[X|D|^{-(z+m)}\right]\right\}=2a_\sigma^{(m)}(X)=2\operatorname*{Pf}_{t=0^+}\left\{t^{\hat{\sigma}}\operatorname{Str}\left[X(1-\Pi_0)e^{-tD^2}\right]\right\},\tag{6.18}$$

while for $\sigma=-m$ we obtain

$$\operatorname*{Res}_{z=-m}\left\{\Gamma(\hat{z})\operatorname{Str}\left[X|D|^{-(z+m)}\right]\right\}=2\operatorname*{Pf}_{t=0^+}\operatorname{Str}\left[X(1-\Pi_0)e^{-tD^2}\right]-2\operatorname{Str}\left[X\Pi_0\right].\tag{6.19}$$

As $\Gamma(\hat{z})^{-1} = \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(z+m)\right)^{-1}$ is an entire function that vanishes on $-m-2\mathbb{N}_0$, we then deduce that the function $\mathrm{Str}\left[X|D|^{-(z+m)}\right]$ has a meromorphic extension to $\mathbb{C}$ with at worst simple pole singularities on $\bigcup_{N\geq 1}\Sigma_N^{(m)} = \Sigma$. This proves that $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{H},D)$ has a simple and discrete dimension spectrum contained in Σ. Finally, the formula (6.14) follows from (6.18)–(6.19) and the fact that, for $q>0$ both sides of (6.14) vanish when $q \notin \frac{1}{2}(\Sigma+m)$. The proof is complete. □

Combining Lemma 6.6 with Theorem 5.12 we then arrive at the following result.

Proposition 6.7 ([Po3]). *Assume that $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{H},D)$ is p^+-summable, regular and has the asymptotic property. Then*

(1) *$(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{H},D)$ has a discrete and simple dimension spectrum, and hence the Connes-Chern character $\mathrm{Ch}(D)$ is represented by the CM cocycle (5.7)–(5.8).*
(2) *We have the following formulas for the components $\varphi_{2q}^{\mathrm{CM}}$, $q\geq 0$, of the CM cocycle:*

$$\varphi_0^{\mathrm{CM}}(a^0) = \operatorname*{Pf}_{t=0^+}\mathrm{Str}\left[a^0 e^{-tD^2}\right], \qquad a^0\in\mathcal{A}, \tag{6.20}$$

$$\varphi_{2q}^{\mathrm{CM}}(a^0,\ldots,a^{2q}) = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}_0^{2q}} c_{q,\alpha}\Gamma(|\alpha|+q)^{-1}\operatorname*{Pf}_{t=0^+}\left\{t^{|\alpha|+q}\,\mathrm{Str}\left[T_{q,\alpha}e^{-tD^2}\right]\right\}, \quad a^j\in\mathcal{A},\ q\geq 1, \tag{6.21}$$

where $c_{q,\alpha}$ is given by (5.6) and we have set $T_{q,\alpha} = a^0[D,a^1]^{[\alpha_1]}\cdots[D,a^{2q}]^{[\alpha_{2q}]}$.

In the rest of this section we further assume that $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{H},D)$ is smooth (in addition to be p^+-summable and hypo-regular).

Definition 6.8. *Given a discrete subset $\Sigma\subset\mathbb{R}$, we say that $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{H},D)$ has the uniform asymptotic expansion property relatively to Σ when $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{H},D)$ is uniformly hypo-regular and it has the asymptotic expansion property relatively to Σ in such way that, for any $m\in\mathbb{N}_0$ and pair $(\alpha,\beta)\in\mathcal{P}(m)$, the following properties are satisfied:*

(i) *For all $\sigma\in\Sigma$, the $(m+1)$-linear form $(a^0,\ldots,a^m)\to a_\sigma^{(m)}\left(P_{\alpha,\beta}(a^0,\ldots,a^m)\right)$ is continuous on $\mathcal{A}^{m+1}$.*
(ii) *For all $N\in\mathbb{N}_0$, the $(m+1)$-linear map $(a^0,...,a^m)\longrightarrow R_N\left(P_{\alpha\beta}(a^0,\cdots,a^m)\right)(t)$ is continuous from $\mathcal{A}^{m+1}$ to $C_b^0(0,1]$ (where R_N is defined as in (6.13)).*

Remark 6.9. The condition (i) means there is a continuous seminorm $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma\alpha\beta}^{(m)}$ on $\mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\left|a_\sigma^{(m)}\left(P_{\alpha,\beta}(a^0,\ldots,a^m)\right)\right| \leq \mathcal{N}_{\sigma\alpha\beta}^{(m)}(a^0)\cdots\mathcal{N}_{\sigma\alpha\beta}^{(m)}(a^m) \qquad \text{for all } a^j\in\mathcal{A}. \tag{6.22}$$

Likewise, the condition (ii) means there is a continuous semi-norm $\mathcal{N}_{N\alpha\beta}^{(m)}$ on $\mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\left|R_N\left(P_{\alpha\beta}(a^0,\cdots,a^m)\right)(t)\right| \leq \mathcal{N}_{N\alpha\beta}^{(m)}(a^0)\cdots\mathcal{N}_{N\alpha\beta}^{(m)}(a^m) \qquad \text{for all } a^j\in\mathcal{A} \text{ and } t\in(0,1]. \tag{6.23}$$

As the following lemma shows, requiring uniformness in the heat trace asymptotics (6.10) turns out to be irrelevant when the $\mathcal{A}$ has a barelled locally convex topology. We refer to [SW] for the precise definition of a barelled locally convex topology. For our purpose it is enough to know that Banach-Steinhaus theorem continues to hold for barelled topological vector spaces and main examples of barelled topological spaces include Baire topological vector spaces, as well as inductive limits of such spaces (*cf.* [SW]). In particular, Fréchet spaces and inductive limits of Fréchet spaces are barelled locally convex spaces.

Lemma 6.10. *Assume that the topology of $\mathcal{A}$ is barelled, and let Σ be a discrete subset of $(-\infty,p]$. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) *$(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{H},D)$ has the uniform asymptotic expansion property relatively to Σ.*
(2) *$(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{H},D)$ is uniformly hypo-regular and has the asymptotic expansion property relatively to Σ.*

Proof. It is immediate that (1) implies (2), so we only need to prove the converse. Assume that $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{H},D)$ is uniformly hypo-regular and has the asymptotic expansion property relatively to Σ. Let $m\in\mathbb{N}_0$ and $(\alpha,\beta)\in\mathcal{P}(m)$. By Remark 5.17, the $(m+1)$-linear map $(a^0,\ldots,a^m)\to$

$P_{\alpha,\beta}(a^0,\dots,a^m)$ is continuous from $\mathcal{A}^{m+1}$ to $\mathcal{D}_D^m(\mathcal{A})$. Let $t>0$. As the estimate (6.1) implies that the linear form $X\to \operatorname{Str}\left[Xe^{-tD^2}\right]$ is continuous on $\mathcal{D}_D^m(\mathcal{A})$, we deduce that the $(m+1)$-linear form $(a^0,\dots,a^m)\to \operatorname{Str}\left[P_{\alpha,\beta}(a^0,\dots,a^m)e^{-tD^2}\right]$ is continuous on $\mathcal{A}^{m+1}$.

Bearing this in mind, let us enumerate Σ as a decreasing sequence $\sigma_0>\sigma_1>\cdots$. Then by (6.11) we have

$$a_{\sigma_0}^{(m)}\left(P_{\alpha,\beta}(a^0,\dots,a^m)\right)=\lim_{t\to 0^+}t^{\frac{1}{2}(\sigma_0+m)}\operatorname{Str}\left[P_{\alpha,\beta}(a^0,\dots,a^m)e^{-tD^2}\right]\qquad\forall a^j\in\mathcal{A}.$$

Therefore, we see that $a_{\sigma_0}^{(m)}\left(P_{\alpha,\beta}(a^0,\dots,a^m)\right)$ is the pointwise limit of continuous $(m+1)$-linear forms on $\mathcal{A}$. As the topology of $\mathcal{A}$ is barrelled, the Banach-Steinhaus theorem holds, and hence ensures us that $(m+1)$-linear form $(a^0,\dots,a^m)\to a_{\sigma_0}^{(m)}\left(P_{\alpha,\beta}(a^0,\dots,a^m)\right)$ is continuous on $\mathcal{A}^{m+1}$. More generally, using (6.12), an induction on j and repeated use of the Banach-Steinhaus theorem show that, for all $j=0,1,2,\dots$, the $(m+1)$-linear form $(a^0,\dots,a^m)\to a_{\sigma_j}^{(m)}\left(P_{\alpha,\beta}(a^0,\dots,a^m)\right)$ is continuous on $\mathcal{A}^{m+1}$. That is, condition (i) of Definition 6.8 is satisfied.

Bearing this in mind, let $N\in\mathbb{N}_0$. An examination of (6.13) shows that, for all $t\in(0,1]$, the $(m+1)$-linear form $(a^0,\dots,a^m)\to R_N\left(P_{\alpha,\beta}(a^0,\dots,a^m)\right)(t)$ is continuous on $\mathcal{A}^{m+1}$. Moreover, the asymptotic expansion property implies that $\lim_{t\to 0^+}R_N\left(P_{\alpha,\beta}(a^0,\dots,a^m)\right)(t)$ exists for all $a^j\in\mathcal{A}$. The Banach-Steinhaus theorem then implies that we obtain an equicontinuous family of $(m+1)$-linear forms on $\mathcal{A}$ parametrized by $t\in(0,1]$. That is, the estimate (6.23) holds. Therefore, using Remark 6.9 we see that condition (ii) of Definition 6.8 holds as well. This shows that $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{H},D)$ has the uniform asymptotic expansion property. The proof is complete. □

The following lemma provides us with a relationship between uniform asymptotic property and uniform dimension spectrum.

Lemma 6.11. *If $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{H},D)$ has the uniform asymptotic expansion property, then it has a simple and discrete uniform dimension spectrum.*

Proof. We know by Lemma 6.6 that $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{H},D)$ has a discrete and simple dimension spectrum contained in Σ. Therefore, we only need to show that the dimension spectrum is uniform. Given $m\in\mathbb{N}_0$, the proof of Lemma 6.6 shows that, given any $X\in\mathcal{D}_D^m(\mathcal{A})$ and $N\in\mathbb{N}$, for $\Re z>p$ we have

$$\Gamma(\hat{z})\operatorname{Str}\left[X|D|^{-(z+m)}\right]=\sum_{\sigma\in\Sigma_N^{(m)}}\frac{2}{z-\sigma}a_\sigma(X)-\frac{2}{z-m}\operatorname{Str}\left[X\Pi_0\right]+H_N(X)(z)+F(X)(z),\tag{6.24}$$

where $F_X(z)$ and $H_N(X)(z)$ are given by

$$F(X)(z)=\int_1^\infty t^{\hat{z}-1}\operatorname{Str}\left[X(1-\Pi_0)e^{-tD^2}\right]dt\quad\text{and}\quad H_N(X)(z)=\int_0^1 t^{\hat{z}+N}R_N(X)(z)dt.$$

Recall also that $F(X)(z)$ is en entire function and the function $H_N(X)(z)$ is holomorphic on the half-plane $\Re z>-(2N+m)$.

Let $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}_0^{m+2}$, $|\alpha|=m$, and $\beta\in\{0,1\}^m$. By assumption, for all $\sigma\in\Sigma$, the $(m+1)$-linear map $(a^0,\dots,a^m)\to a_\sigma^{(m)}\left(P_{\alpha,\beta}(a^0,\dots,a^m)\right)$ is continuous on $\mathcal{A}^{m+1}$. Moreover, it follows from the seminorm estimate (6.23) that $H_N\left(P_{\alpha,\beta}(a^0,\dots,a^m)\right)(z)$ satisfies a uniform estimate of the form (6.23) on any closed halfspace $\Re z\geq-(2N+m)+\epsilon$, $\epsilon>0$. In addition, as pointed out in Remark 5.17, the $(m+1)$-linear map $(a^0,\dots,a^m)\to P_{\alpha,\beta}(a^0,\dots,a^m)$ is continuous from $\mathcal{A}^{m+1}$ to $\mathcal{D}_D^m(\mathcal{A})$. Combining this with the estimate (6.2) we deduce that $F\left(P_{\alpha,\beta}(a^0,\dots,a^m)\right)(z)$ satisfies a uniform estimate of the form (6.23) on any closed vertical stripe $c_1\leq\Re z\leq c_2$, $c_j\in\mathbb{R}$. Combining these observations with (6.24) we then deduce that the conditions (i)–(ii) of Definition 5.18 are satisfied on any halfspace $\Re z\geq-(2N+m)$, $N\in\mathbb{N}$. This shows that $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{H},D)$ has a simple and discrete uniform dimension spectrum. The proof is complete. □

Combining Lemmas 6.10 and 6.11 with Proposition 5.20 we then arrive at the final result of this section.

Proposition 6.12 ([Po3]). *Assume that $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{H},D)$ is smooth, p^+-summable, uniformly regular, and one the following conditions holds:*

(i) *$(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{H},D)$ has the uniform asymptotic expansion property.*

(ii) *The topology of $\mathcal{A}$ is barelled and $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{H},D)$ has the asymptotic expansion property.*

Then the CM cocycle represents the Connes-Chern character $\mathbf{Ch}(D)$ in $\mathbf{HP}^0(\mathcal{A})$ and its components are computed by the formulas (6.20)–(6.21).

7. The Connes-Chern Character of an Equivariant Dirac Spectral Triple

The aim of this section is to compute the Connes-Chern character of an equivariant Dirac spectral triple by means of its representation by the CM cocycle. By Connes-Chern character we shall mean its version as a class in the periodic cyclic cohomology of *continuous* cochains.

Throughout this section we let (M^n,g) be an even dimensional compact spin oriented Riemannian manifold. We denote by $\not{D}_g$ its Dirac operator acting on the spinor bundle $\not{S}=\not{S}^+\oplus\not{S}^-$. In addition, we let G be a subgroup of the connected component of the group of orientation-preserving smooth isometries preserving the spin structure. For $\phi\in G$ we denote by U_ϕ the unitary operator of $L^2_g(M,\not{S})$ defined by (3.1) using the unique lift of ϕ to a unitary vector bundle isomorphism $\phi^{\not{S}}:\not{S}\to\phi_*\not{S}$. The map $\phi\to U_\phi$ then provides us with a unitary representation of G in the Hilbert space $L^2_g(M,\not{S})$.

Equipping G with its discrete topology, the crossed-product algebra $C^\infty(M)\rtimes G$ is the space $C^\infty_c(M\times G)$ with the product and involution given by

$$F_1*F_2(x,\phi)=\sum_{\phi_1\circ\phi_2=\phi}F_1(x,\phi_1)F_2(\phi_1^{-1}(x),\phi_2),\qquad F^*(x,\phi)=\overline{F(x,\phi^{-1})}.$$

Alternatively, if we denote by u_ϕ the characteristic function of $M\times\{\phi\}$. Then $u_\phi\in C^\infty_c(M\times G)$ and any $F\in C^\infty_c(M\times G)$ is uniquely written as a finitely supported sum,

$$F=\sum_{\phi\in G}f_\phi u_\phi,$$

where $f_\phi(x):=F(x,\phi)\in C^\infty(M)$. Moreover, we have the relations,

$$u_{\phi_1}u_{\phi_2}=u_{\phi_1\circ\phi_2},\qquad u^*_{\phi_j}=u_{\phi_j^{-1}}=u^{-1}_{\phi_j},\qquad \phi_j\in G, \tag{7.1}$$

$$u_\phi f=(f\circ\phi^{-1})u_\phi,\qquad f\in C^\infty(M),\ \phi\in G. \tag{7.2}$$

In addition we shall endow $C^\infty(M)\rtimes G$ with its standard locally convex $*$-algebra topology. As mentioned in Part I, this topology is obtained as the inductive limit of the topologies of the Fréchet spaces $C^\infty(M)\rtimes F$, where F ranges over finite subsets of G. In particular, the topology of $C^\infty(M)\rtimes G$ is barelled. Moreover, given any topological vector space X, a linear map $\Phi:C^\infty(M)\rtimes G\to X$ is continuous if and only if, for all $\phi\in G$, the map $f\to\Phi(fu_\phi)$ is a continuous linear map from $C^\infty(M)$ to X.

We also observe that the relations (7.1)–(7.2) are satisfied by the operators U_ϕ, $\phi\in G$, and the functions $f\in C^\infty(M)$ are represented as multiplication operators on $L^2_g(M,\not{S})$. Therefore, we have a natural representation $fu_\phi\to fU_\phi$ of the crossed-product algebra $C^\infty(M)\rtimes G$ as bounded operators on $L^2_g(M,\not{S})$.

Proposition 7.1. *$(C^\infty(M)\rtimes G, L^2_g(M,\not{S}),\not{D}_g)$ is an n^+-summable smooth spectral triple.*

Proof. We know that $(C^\infty(M),L^2_g(M,\not{S}),\not{D}_g)$ is an n^+-summable spectral triple. As the Dirac operator $\not{D}_g$ commutes with the unitary operators U_ϕ, $\phi\in G$, we see that $(C^\infty(M)\rtimes G,L^2_g(M,\not{S}),\not{D}_g)$ is a spectral triple as well. Obviously, this spectral triple is n^+-summable.

In order to show that the spectral triple $(C^\infty(M)\rtimes G,L^2_g(M,\not{S}),\not{D}_g)$ is smooth we only need to show that, given any $\phi\in G$, the linear maps $f\to fU_\phi$ and $f\to[\not{D}_g,fU_\phi]$ are continuous from $C^\infty(M)$ to $\mathcal{L}\left(L^2_g(M,\not{S})\right)$. The continuity of the former map is immediate and that of the latter is a consequence of the identities $[\not{D}_g,fU_\phi]=[\not{D}_g,f]U_\phi=c(df)U_\phi$. The proof is complete. □

As $(C^\infty(M)\rtimes G, L^2_g(M,\not{S}),\not{D}_g)$ is an n^+-summable smooth spectral triple it has a well defined Chern-Connes character $\mathbf{Ch}(\not{D}_g)$ in $\mathbf{HP}^0(C^\infty(M)\rtimes G)$. The first step is showing that this Connes-Chern character is represented in $\mathbf{HP}^0(C^\infty(M)\rtimes G)$ by the CM cocycle.

In what follows, as in Section 5, for $m\in\mathbb{N}_0$, we let $\mathcal{D}^m(M,\not{S})$ be the Fréchet space of m-th order differential operators on M acting on the sections of $\not{S}$. We then have the following result.

Lemma 7.2. *Let $m\in\mathbb{N}_0$ and $\phi\in G$. Then the linear map $P\to P\not{D}_g^{-m}U_\phi$ from $\mathcal{D}^m(M,\not{S})$ to $\mathcal{L}(L^2_g(M,\not{S}))$ is continuous.*

Proof. Let $\Psi^0(M,\not{S})$ be the space of zero-th order ΨDOs on M acting on the sections of $\not{S}$. We equip it with its standard Fréchet space topology (see, e.g., [LMPo, Appendix A] for a description of this topology). We note that with respect to this topology the following properties are satisfied:

- The inclusion of $\Psi^0(M,\not{S})$ into $\mathcal{L}(L^2_g(M,\not{S}))$ is continuous.
- For all $m\in\mathbb{N}_0$, the linear map $P\to P\not{D}_g^{-m}$ from $\mathcal{D}^m(M,\not{S})$ to $\Psi^0(M,\not{S})$ is continuous.

Using these two properties we deduce that, for all $m\in\mathbb{N}_0$ and $\phi\in G$, the linear map $P\to P\not{D}_g^{-m}U_\phi$ is continuous from $\mathcal{D}^m(M,\not{S})$ to $\mathcal{L}(L^2(M,\not{S}))$, proving the lemma. □

Lemma 7.3. *The spectral triple $(C^\infty(M)\rtimes G, L^2_g(M,\not{S}),\not{D}_g)$ is uniformly hypo-regular and uniformly regular.*

Proof. Let $m\in\mathbb{N}_0$ and $\phi\in G$. As the operators U_ϕ and $\not{D}_g$ commute with each other we see that, for any $f\in C^\infty(M)$, we have

$$\not{D}_g^m f U_\phi \not{D}_g^{-m}=(\not{D}_g^m f)\not{D}_g^{-m}U_\phi \quad\text{and}\quad \not{D}_g^m[\not{D}_g,f]U_\phi\not{D}_g^{-m}=(\not{D}_g^m c(df))\not{D}_g^{-m}U_\phi. \tag{7.3}$$

As the linear maps $f\to\not{D}_g^m f$ and $f\to\not{D}_g^m[\not{D}_g,f]$ are continuous from $C^\infty(M)$ to $\mathcal{D}^m(M,\not{S})$, using Lemma 7.2 we then deduce that, for all $m\in\mathbb{N}_0$ and $\phi\in G$, the linear maps $f\to\not{D}_g^m f\not{D}_g^{-m}$ and $f\to\not{D}_g^m[\not{D}_g,f]\not{D}_g^{-m}$ are continuous from $C^\infty(M)$ to $\mathcal{L}(L^2_g(M,\not{S}))$. This proves that the spectral triple $(C^\infty(M)\rtimes G, L^2_g(M,\not{S}),\not{D}_g)$ is uniformly hypo-regular.

Similarly, given $m\in\mathbb{N}_0$ and $\phi\in G$, for all $f\in C^\infty(M)$, we have

$$(fU_\phi)^{[m]}\not{D}_g^{-m}=f^{[m]}\not{D}_g^{-m}U_\phi \quad\text{and}\quad \Big([\not{D}_g,f]U_\phi\Big)^{[m]}\not{D}_g^{-m}=(c(df))^{[m]}\not{D}_g^{-m}U_\phi.$$

As the principal symbol of $\not{D}_g^2$ is scalar, we see that $f^{[m]}$ and $(c(df))^{[m]}$ are m-th order differential operators. Incidentally, the linear maps $f\to f^{[m]}$ and $f\to(c(df))^{[m]}$ are continuous from $C^\infty(M)$ to $\mathcal{D}^m(M,\not{S})$. Combining this with the equalities (7.3) and using Lemma 7.2 we deduce that, for all $m\in\mathbb{N}_0$ and $\phi\in G$, the linear maps $f\to(fU_\phi)^{[m]}\not{D}_g^{-m}$ and $f\to\Big([\not{D}_g,f]U_\phi\Big)^{[m]}\not{D}_g^{-m}$ are continuous from $C^\infty(M)$ to $\mathcal{L}(L^2_g(M,\not{S}))$. It then follows that $(C^\infty(M)\rtimes G, L^2_g(M,\not{S}),\not{D}_g)$ is uniformly regular. The proof is complete. □

Lemma 7.4. *Set $\Sigma=\big\{\frac{1}{2}(n-\ell);\ \ell\in\mathbb{N}_0\big\}$. Then $(C^\infty(M)\rtimes G, L^2_g(M,\not{S}),\not{D}_g)$ has the asymptotic expansion property relatively to Σ.*

Proof. Set $\mathcal{A}=C^\infty(M)$ and $\mathcal{A}_G=C^\infty(M)\rtimes G$. In addition, for $m\in\mathbb{N}_0$, we shall denote by $\mathcal{D}^m(M,\not{S})\rtimes G$ unbounded operators on $\mathcal{H}$ that are linear combinations of operators of the form PU_ϕ, where P ranges over $\mathcal{D}^m(M,\not{S})$ and ϕ ranges over G. Note that $\mathcal{D}^0_{\not{D}_g}(\mathcal{A}_G)$ is spanned by operators of the form fU_ϕ and $[\not{D}_g,fU_\phi]=c(df)U_\phi$, with $f\in\mathcal{A}$ and $\phi\in G$. Therefore, the space $\not{D}^1_{\not{D}_g}(\mathcal{A}_G)$ is spanned by $\mathcal{D}^0_{\not{D}_g}(\mathcal{A}_G)$ and operators of the form

$$\not{D}_g fU_\phi,\qquad fU_\phi\not{D}_g=f\not{D}_gU_\phi,\qquad \not{D}_g c(df)U_\phi,\qquad c(df)U_\phi\not{D}_g=c(df)\not{D}_gU_\phi,$$

where f ranges over $\mathcal{A}$ and ϕ ranges over G. We thus see that $\mathcal{D}^0_{\not{D}_g}(\mathcal{A}_G)$ (resp., $\mathcal{D}^1_{\not{D}_g}(\mathcal{A}_G)$) is contained in $\mathcal{D}^0(M,\not{S})\rtimes G$ (resp., $\mathcal{D}^1(M,\not{S})\rtimes G$). An induction on m then shows that

$$\mathcal{D}^m_{\not{D}_g}(\mathcal{A}_G)\subset\mathcal{D}^m(M,\not{S})\rtimes G\qquad\text{for all } m\in\mathbb{N}_0.$$

Bearing this in mind, let $\phi \in G$ and $P \in \mathcal{D}^m(M, \not{S})$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. As $\not{D}_g$ commutes with the action of G, we see that the unitary operator U_ϕ commutes with the heat semigroup $e^{-t\not{D}_g^2}$. Thus,

$$\operatorname{Str}\left[PU_\phi e^{-t\not{D}_g^2}\right] = \operatorname{Str}\left[Pe^{-t\not{D}_g^2}U_\phi\right] \qquad \text{for all } t > 0. \tag{7.4}$$

Using Proposition 3.7 we then see that, as $t \to 0^+$, we have

$$\operatorname{Str}\left[PU_\phi e^{-t\not{D}_g^2}\right] \sim \sum_{\substack{0\leq a\leq n\\ a \text{ even}}} \sum_{j\geq 0} t^{-\left(\frac{a}{2}+\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]\right)+j} \int_{M_a^\phi} \operatorname{Str}\left[\phi^{\not{S}}(x) I^{(j)}_{P(\not{D}_g^2+\partial_t)^{-1}}(x)\right] |dx|.$$

Combining this with (6.10) shows that $(C^\infty(M) \rtimes G, L^2_g(M, \not{S}), \not{D}_g)$ has the asymptotic expansion property relatively to $\Sigma = \left\{\frac{1}{2}(n-\ell);\ \ell \in \mathbb{N}_0\right\}$. The lemma is proved. □

As the topology of $C^\infty(M) \rtimes G$ is barelled, combining Lemma 7.3 and Lemma 7.4 with Proposition 6.12 and Proposition 7.1 we then obtain the following statement.

Proposition 7.5. *The Connes-Chern character of $(C^\infty(M) \rtimes G, L^2_g(M, \not{S}), \not{D}_g)$ is represented in $\mathbf{HP}^0(C^\infty(M) \rtimes G)$ by the CM cocycle. Moreover, the formulas (6.20)–(6.21) compute this cocycle.*

It then remains to compute the CM cocycle by using the formulas (6.20)–(6.21). As we shall see the computation will follow from the differentiable version of the local equivariant index theorem provided by Theorem 4.24 .

In the following, given a differential form ω on M, for $a = 0, 2, \ldots, n$, we shall denote by $\int_{M_a^\phi} \omega$ the integral of top component of $(\iota_{M_a^\phi})^*\omega$ over M_a^ϕ, where $\iota_{M_a^\phi}$ is the inclusion of M_a^ϕ into M. We shall also denote by $|\omega|^{(a,0)}$ the Berezin integral $|(\iota_{M_a^\phi})^*\omega|^{(a,0)}$. We note that

$$\int_{M_a^\phi} \omega = \int_{M_a^\phi} (\iota_{M_a^\phi})^*\omega = \int_{M_a^\phi} |\omega|^{(a,0)} |dx|. \tag{7.5}$$

Proposition 7.6. *Let $\phi \in G$ and $f^0, \ldots, f^{2q}$ in $C^\infty(M)$. When $q \geq 1$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{2q}$ set*

$$P_{q,\alpha} = f^0[\not{D}_g, f^1]^{[\alpha_1]} \cdots [\not{D}_g, f^{2q}]^{[\alpha_{2q}]}.$$

In addition, set $P_{0,0} = f^0$ when $q = 0$.

(1) *If $q \geq 1$ and $\alpha \neq 0$, then*

$$\operatorname{Str}\left[P_{q,\alpha} e^{-t\not{D}_g^2} U_\phi\right] = \mathrm{O}\left(t^{-(|\alpha|+q)+1}\right) \qquad \text{as } t \to 0^+. \tag{7.6}$$

(2) *If $\alpha = 0$, then, as $t \to 0^+$, we have*

$$\operatorname{Str}\left[P_{q,0} e^{-t\not{D}_g^2} U_\phi\right] = t^{-q} \sum_{\substack{0\leq a\leq n\\ a \text{ even}}} (2\pi)^{-\frac{a}{2}} \int_{M_a^\phi} \Upsilon_q + \mathrm{O}\left(t^{-q+1}\right), \tag{7.7}$$

where we have set $\Upsilon_q = (-i)^{\frac{n}{2}} f^0 df^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge df^{2q} \wedge \hat{A}(R^{TM^\phi}) \wedge \nu_\phi\left(R^{\mathcal{N}^\phi}\right)$.

Remark 7.7. The above result is proved in [CH] (see Theorem 2 of [CH] for (7.6) and Corollary 3.16 of [CH] for (7.7); see also [Az1]). Our aim here is to show that these asymptotics are simple consequences of the differentiable version of the local equivariant index theorem provided by Theorem 4.24 .

Proof of Proposition 7.6. Let $x_0 \in M_a^\phi$, $a = 0, 2, \ldots, n$, and let us work in admissible normal coordinates centered at x_0. The results of Section 4 show that

- The multiplication operator f^0 has Getzler order 0 and model operator $f^0(0)$.
- Each Clifford multiplication operator $c(df^j)$ has Getzler order 1 and model operator $df^j(0)$.
- The operator $\not{D}_g^2$ has Getzler order 2 and model operator H_R.

Therefore, using Lemma 4.13 we deduce that, at $x = 0$, we have

$$\sigma\left[P_{q,\alpha}\right] = f^0(0)df^1(0)^{[\alpha_1]}\cdots df^{2q}(0)^{[\alpha_{2q}]} + \mathrm{O}_G\left(2(|\alpha|+q)-1\right), \tag{7.8}$$

where $df^j(0)^{[\alpha_j]}$ is the α_j-th iterated commutator with H_R. In fact, as H_R takes coefficients in forms of degree 0 and degree 2, it commutes with the forms $df^j(0)$, and hence $df^j(0)^{[\alpha_j]} = 0$ whenever $\alpha_j \neq 0$. Therefore, if $\alpha \neq 0$, then we see that $P_{q,\alpha}$ has Getzler order $2(|\alpha|+q)-1$. As $2(|\alpha|+q)-1$ is an odd integer, Theorem 4.24 shows that, when $\alpha \neq 0$, we have

$$\mathrm{Str}\left[P_{q,\alpha}e^{-t\not{D}_g^2}U_\phi\right] = \mathrm{O}\left(t^{-(|\alpha|+q)+1}\right) \qquad \text{as } t \to 0^+.$$

Suppose now that $\alpha = 0$ and set $\omega = f^0df^1\wedge\cdots\wedge df^{2q}$. Then (7.8) shows that $P_{q,0}$ has Getzler order $2q$ and model operator $\left(P_{q,0}\right)_{(2q)} = \omega(0)$. It then follows that

$$K_{\left(P_{q,0}\right)_{(2q)}(H_R+\partial_t)^{-1}}(x,y,t) = K_{\omega(0)\wedge(H_R+\partial_t)^{-1}}(x,y,t) = \omega(0)\wedge K_{(H_R+\partial_t)^{-1}}(x,y,t). \tag{7.9}$$

Thus,

$$I_{\left(P_{q,0}\right)_{(2q)}(H_R+\partial_t)^{-1}}(x,t) = \omega(0)\wedge I_{(H_R+\partial_t)^{-1}}(x,t). \tag{7.10}$$

Combining this with (4.41) we deduce that

$$I_{\left(P_{q,0}\right)_{(2q)}(H_R+\partial_t)^{-1}}(0,1)^{(\bullet,0)} = \frac{(4\pi)^{-\frac{a}{2}}}{\det{}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(1-\phi^{\mathcal{N}}\right)}\omega(0)^{(\bullet,0)}\wedge\hat{A}(R^{TM^\phi}(0))\wedge\nu_\phi\left(R^{\mathcal{N}^\phi}(0)\right).$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_\phi(P_{q,0};\not{D}_g)(0) &= (-i)^{\frac{n}{2}}2^{\frac{a}{2}}\det{}^{\frac{1}{2}}(1-\phi^{\mathcal{N}}(0))\left|I_{\left(P_{q,0}\right)_{(2q)}(H_R+\partial_t)^{-1}}(0,1)\right|^{(a,0)}\\ &= (-i)^{\frac{n}{2}}(2\pi)^{-\frac{a}{2}}\left|\omega(0)\wedge\hat{A}(R^{TM^\phi}(0))\wedge\nu_\phi\left(R^{\mathcal{N}^\phi}(0)\right)\right|^{(a,0)}.\end{aligned} \tag{7.11}$$

As $P_{q,0}$ has Getzler order $2q$, combining this with Theorem 4.24 and using (7.5) gives the asymptotic (7.7). The proof is complete. □

We are now in a position to prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 7.8. *The Connes-Chern character of $(C^\infty(M)\rtimes G, L^2_g(M,\not{S}),\not{D}_g)$ is represented in $\mathbf{HP}^0(C^\infty(M)\rtimes G)$ by the CM cocycle $\varphi^{\mathrm{CM}} = (\varphi^{\mathrm{CM}}_{2q})$. Moreover, for all $f^0,\ldots,f^{2q}$ in $C^\infty(M)$ and $\phi_0,\ldots,\phi_{2q}$ in G, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}&\varphi^{\mathrm{CM}}_{2q}(f^0U_{\phi_0},\ldots,f^{2q}U_{\phi_{2q}}) =\\ &\qquad\frac{(-i)^{\frac{n}{2}}}{(2q)!}\sum_{\substack{0\leq a\leq n\\ a\text{ even}}}(2\pi)^{-\frac{a}{2}}\int_{M_a^{\phi_{(2q)}}} f^0d\hat{f}^1\wedge\cdots\wedge d\hat{f}^{2q}\wedge\hat{A}(R^{TM^{\phi_{(q)}}})\wedge\nu_{\phi_{(2q)}}\left(R^{\mathcal{N}^{\phi_{(2q)}}}\right),\end{aligned} \tag{7.12}$$

where we have set $\phi_{(j)} := \phi_0\circ\cdots\circ\phi_j$ and $\hat{f}^j := f^j\circ\phi^{-1}_{(j-1)}$.

Proof. Thanks to Proposition 7.6 we know that the Connes-Chern character $\mathbf{Ch}(\not{D}_g)$ is represented in $\mathbf{HP}^0(C^\infty(M)\rtimes G)$ by the CM cocycle $\varphi^{\mathrm{CM}} = (\varphi^{\mathrm{CM}}_{2q})$. Moreover, this CM cocycle is given by the formulas (7.6)–(7.7). It then remains to compute the CM cocycle $\varphi^{\mathrm{CM}} = (\varphi^{\mathrm{CM}}_{2q})$ by using these formulas. Note that $\varphi^{\mathrm{CM}}_{2q} = 0$ for $q \geq \frac{1}{2}n+1$, since $(C^\infty(M)\rtimes G, L^2_g(M,\not{S}),\not{D}_g)$ is n^+-summable, so we only have to compute $\varphi^{\mathrm{CM}}_{2q}$ for $q = 0,\ldots,\frac{1}{2}n$.

Let $\phi_0 \in G$ and $f^0 \in C^\infty(M)$. Using (7.6) and (7.4) we get

$$\varphi^{\mathrm{CM}}_0(fU_{\phi_0}) = \operatorname*{Pf}_{t=0^+}\mathrm{Str}\left[f^0U_{\phi_0}e^{-t\not{D}_g^2}\right] = \operatorname*{Pf}_{t=0^+}\mathrm{Str}\left[f^0e^{-t\not{D}_g^2}U_{\phi_0}\right].$$

Combining this with (4.4) then gives

$$\varphi^{\mathrm{CM}}_0(f^0U_{\phi_0}) = (-i)^{\frac{n}{2}}\sum_{\substack{0\leq a\leq n\\ a\text{ even}}}(2\pi)^{-\frac{a}{2}}\int_{M_a^{\phi_0}} f^0\hat{A}(R^{TM^{\phi_0}})\wedge\nu_{\phi_0}\left(R^{\mathcal{N}^{\phi_0}}\right),$$

which is the formula (7.12) for $q = 0$.

Given $q \in \left\{1, \ldots, \frac{1}{2}n\right\}$, let $\phi_j \in G$ and $f^j \in C^\infty(M)$, $j = 0, \ldots, q$. In addition, for $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{2q}$, set $T_{q,\alpha} = f^0 U_{\phi_0} \left[\not{D}_g, f^1 U_{\phi_1}\right]^{[\alpha_1]} \cdots \left[\not{D}_g, f^{2q} U_{\phi_{2q}}\right]^{[\alpha_{2q}]}$. We observe that, as $\not{D}_g$ commutes with the action of G, given any ψ_1 and ψ_2 in G and $f \in C^\infty(M)$, for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$U_{\psi_1}[\not{D}_g, f U_{\psi_2}]^{[j]} = [\not{D}_g, U_{\psi_1} f U_{\psi_1}^{-1}]^{[j]} U_{\psi_1} U_{\psi_2} = [\not{D}_g, f \circ \psi_1^{-1}]^{[j]} U_{\psi_1 \psi_2}. \tag{7.13}$$

Repeated use of these equalities enables us to rewrite $T_{q,\alpha}$ as

$$T_{q,\alpha} = P_{q,\alpha} U_{\phi_{(2q)}}, \qquad P_{q,\alpha} := f^0 \left[\not{D}_g, \hat{f}^1\right]^{[\alpha_1]} \cdots \left[\not{D}_g, \hat{f}^{2q}\right]^{[\alpha_{2q}]},$$

where we have set $\phi_{(j)} := \phi_0 \circ \cdots \circ \phi_j$ and $\hat{f}^j := f^j \circ \phi_{(j-1)}^{-1}$. Therefore, using (7.7) and (7.4) we obtain

$$\varphi_{2q}^{\mathrm{CM}}(f^0 U_{\phi_0}, \ldots, f^{2q} U_{\phi_{2q}}) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{2q}} c_{q,\alpha} \Gamma(|\alpha| + q)^{-1} \operatorname*{Pf}_{t=0^+} \left\{ t^{|\alpha|+q} \operatorname{Str}\left[P_{q,\alpha} e^{-t\not{D}_g^2} U_{\phi_{(2q)}} \right] \right\}.$$

Combining this with Proposition 7.6 then gives

$$\varphi_{2q}^{\mathrm{CM}}(f^0 U_{\phi_0}, \ldots, f^{2q} U_{\phi_{2q}}) = c_{q,0} \Gamma(q)^{-1} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq a \leq n \\ a \text{ even}}} (2\pi)^{-\frac{a}{2}} \int_{M_a^{\phi_{(2q)}}} \Upsilon_q,$$

where we have set $\Upsilon_q = (-i)^{\frac{n}{2}} f^0 d\hat{f}^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\hat{f}^{2q} \wedge \hat{A}(R^{TM^{\phi_{(2q)}}}) \wedge \nu_{\phi_{(2q)}}\left(R^{\mathcal{N}^{\phi_{(2q)}}}\right)$. As $c_{q,0}\Gamma(q)^{-1}$ is equal to $((2q)!)^{-1}$ this gives the formula (7.12) for $q = 1, \ldots, \frac{1}{2}n$. The proof is complete. □

Remark 7.9. To understand the formula (7.12) it is worth looking at the top-degree component φ_n^{CM}. For $q = \frac{1}{2}n$ the r.h.s. of (7.12) reduces to an integral over $M_n^{\phi_{(n)}}$ and this submanifold is empty unless $\phi_{(n)} = \mathrm{id}$. Thus,

$$\varphi_n^{\mathrm{CM}}(f^0 U_{\phi_0}, \ldots, f^n U_{\phi_n}) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{(2i\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}}}{n!} \displaystyle\int_M f^0 d\hat{f}^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\hat{f}^n & \text{if } \phi_0 \circ \cdots \circ \phi_n = \mathrm{id}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

That is, φ_n^{CM} agrees with the transverse fundamental class cocycle of Connes [Co1]. This implies that the Hochschild class of the Connes-Chern character agrees with Connes' transverse fundamental class (see also [Mo, Proposition 3.7]).

Remark 7.10. We refer to [Po4] for the computation of the Connes-Chern character of a crossed-product Dirac spectral triple $(C^\infty(M) \rtimes G, L_g^2(M, \not{S}), \not{D}_g)$ in odd dimensions. In this case the spectral triple is an *odd* spectral triple. The Connes-Chern character then is defined as a class $\mathbf{Ch}(D) \in \mathbf{HP}^1\left(C^\infty(M) \rtimes G\right)$ and is represented by a CM cocycle. The formula for the CM cocycle is similar to that in the even dimensional case given by (7.12).

8. The JLO Cocycle of a Dirac Spectral Triple

In this section, as a further application of Theorem 4.22, we shall compute the short-time limit of the JLO cocycle of an equivariant Dirac spectral triple. As we shall see, with our approach, the computation of JLO-type cochains associated to a Dirac spectral triple is not more difficult than the computation of the CM cocycle.

8.1. **The JLO cocycle of a spectral triple.** Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ be a p^+-summable spectral triple. In what follows, given operators $X^0, \ldots, X^m$ in $\mathcal{D}_D^\bullet(\mathcal{A})$, for all $t > 0$, we define

$$H_t(X^0, \ldots, X^m) = \int_{\Delta_m} X^0 e^{-s_0 t D^2} X^1 e^{-s_1 t D^2} \cdots X^m e^{-s_m t D^2} d\mathbf{s}.$$

where $\Delta_m = \{\mathbf{s} = (s_0, \ldots, s_m) \in [0,1]^{m+1}; s_0 + \cdots + s_m = 1\}$. The JLO cochain [JLO] is actually a family of infinite-supported even cochains $\varphi_t^{\mathrm{JLO}} = (\varphi_{2q,t}^{\mathrm{JLO}})_{q \geq 0}$, where $\varphi_{2q,t}^{\mathrm{JLO}}$ is the $2q$-cochain on $\mathcal{A}$ given by

$$\varphi_t^{\mathrm{JLO}}(a^0, \ldots, a^{2q}) = t^q \operatorname{Str}\left[H_t\left(a^0, [D, a^1], \ldots, [D, a^{2q}]\right)\right], \qquad a^j \in \mathcal{A},\ t > 0.$$

Let A be the Banach $*$-algebra obtained as the completion of $\mathcal{A}$ with respect to the norm $a \to \|a\| + \|[D,a]\|$. Then it can be shown that φ_t^{JLO} gives rise to a cocycle in the entire cyclic cohomology of A whose class is independent of t (see [JLO, GS]). Moreover, this class agrees with the Connes-Chern character in entire cyclic cohomology [Co4, Co5]. In fact, as pointed out by Quillen [Qu], the JLO cocycle can be naturally interpreted as the Chern character of a suitable superconnection with values in cochains. It should also be mentioned that the definition of the JLO cocycle and its aforementioned properties only require the spectral triple $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{H},D)$ to be θ-summable, which is a weaker condition than p^+-summability or p-summability.

When $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{H},D)$ is p-summable, Connes-Moscovici [CM2] showed that the JLO cocycle retracts to a periodic cyclic cocycle representing the Connes-Chern character in $\mathrm{HP}^0(\mathcal{A})$. They also proved that, under suitable short-time asymptotic properties for the supertraces $\mathrm{Str}\left[H_t\left(X^0,X^1,\dots,X^m\right)\right]$, $X^j \in \mathcal{D}_D^1(\mathcal{A})$, we can define *parties finies* $\mathrm{Pf}_{t=0^+}\,\varphi_{2q,t}^{\mathrm{JLO}}(a^0,\dots,a^{2q})$, $a^j \in \mathcal{A}$, in such way to obtain a periodic cyclic cocycle $\mathrm{Pf}_{t=0^+}\,\varphi_t^{\mathrm{JLO}}$ representing the Connes-Chern character in $\mathrm{HP}^0(\mathcal{A})$. In fact, this cocycle is naturally identified with the CM cocycle (see [CM3]).

We stress out that the results of [CM2] do not require the spectral triple $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{H},D)$ to be regular. Therefore, computing $\mathrm{Pf}_{t=0^+}\,\varphi_t^{\mathrm{JLO}}$ is an alternative to the computation of the CM cocycle for spectral triples that are not regular. Note that there are natural geometric examples of spectral triples associated to hypoelliptic operators on contact manifolds or even Carnot manifolds, which are not regular and satisfy the asymptotic expansion assumptions of [CM2]. In fact, the regularity property is an operator-thereotic reformulation of the scalarness of the principal symbol of the square of the Dirac operator. Therefore, it should not be surprising that this property may fail in some "highly" noncommutative examples.

Block-Fox [BFo] computed $\mathrm{Pf}_{t=0^+}\,\varphi_t^{\mathrm{JLO}}$ for a Dirac spectral triple by using the asymptotic pseudodifferential calculus of Getzler [Ge1]. As we shall explain below, for Dirac spectral triples the computation of $\mathrm{Pf}_{t=0^+}\,\varphi_t^{\mathrm{JLO}}$ is not much more difficult than the computation of the CM cocycle given in Section 7 (or in [Po1] in the non-equivariant setting).

8.2. **Equivariant Dirac spectral triple.** As a further example of application of the local equivariant index theorem for Volterra ΨDOs provided by Theorem 4.22, we shall now show how this result enables us to establish the short-time limit of the JLO cocycle φ_t^{JLO} of an equivariant Dirac spectral triple $(C^\infty(M)\rtimes G, L_g^2(M,\$), \not{D}_g)$. As in previous sections, (M^n,g) is an even dimensional compact spin oriented Riemannian manifold, $\not{D}_g$ is its Dirac operators acting on spinors, and G is a subgroup of the connected component of the identity component of the group of orientation-preserving isometries preserving the spin structure. More precisely, our goal is to prove the following result.

Theorem 8.1. *For all $f^0,\dots,f^{2q}$ in $C^\infty(M)$ and $\phi_0,\dots,\phi_{2q}$ in G, as $t\to 0^+$, we have*

$$\lim_{t\to 0^+} \varphi_{2q,t}^{\mathrm{JLO}}(f^0U_{\phi_0},\dots,f^{2q}U_{\phi_{2q}}) = \frac{(-i)^{\frac{n}{2}}}{(2q)!}\sum_{\substack{0\le a\le n\\ a\ \text{even}}}(2\pi)^{-\frac{a}{2}}\int_{M_a^\phi} f^0 d\hat{f}^1\wedge\cdots\wedge d\hat{f}^{2q}\wedge\hat{A}(R^{TM^\phi})\wedge\nu_\phi\left(R^{\mathcal{N}^\phi}\right), \tag{8.1}$$

where we have set $\phi=\phi_0\circ\cdots\circ\phi_{2q}$ and $\hat{f}^j := f^j\circ(\phi_0\circ\cdots\circ\phi_{j-1})^{-1}$, $j=1,\dots,2q$.

Remark 8.2. When $G=\{\mathrm{id}\}$ we recover the result of Block-Fox [BFo] on the short-time limit of the JLO cocycle of a non-equivariant Dirac spectral triple $(C^\infty(M), L_g^2(M,\$), \not{D}_g)$.

In what follows, given differential operators $X^0,\dots,X^m$ on M acting on spinors we set

$$Q(X^0,\dots,X^m) = X^0(\not{D}_g^2+\partial_t)^{-1}\cdots X^m(\not{D}_g^2+\partial_t)^{-1}.$$

Note that $Q(X^0,\dots,X^m)$ is a Volterra ΨDO of order $\mathrm{ord}X^0+\cdots+\mathrm{ord}X^m-2m-2$. We will deduce Theorem 8.1 from the following result.

Proposition 8.3. *Given $\phi \in G$, let $f \in C^\infty(M)$ and $\omega^j \in C^\infty(M, T^*_{\mathbb{C}}M)$, $j = 1, \ldots, 2q$. In addition, set $Q = Q\left(f, c(\omega^1), \ldots, c(\omega^{2q})\right)$. Then, as $t \to 0^+$ and uniformly on each fixed-point submanifold M_a^ϕ, we have*

$$\operatorname{str}_{\not S}\left[\phi^{\not S}(x) I_Q(x,t)\right] = \frac{1}{(2q)!} t^q \left| f \wedge \omega^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega^{2q} \wedge \hat{A}(R^{TM^\phi}) \wedge \nu_\phi(R^{\mathcal{N}^\phi}) \right|^{(a,0)} + \mathrm{O}(t^{q+1}). \tag{8.2}$$

The proof of Proposition 8.3 is a direct application of Theorem 8.1. Before getting to this let us explain how Proposition 8.3 enables us to prove Theorem 8.1. The key observation is the following elementary lemma.

Lemma 8.4. *Let $X^0, \ldots, X^m$ be differential operators on M acting on spinors. For $t > 0$ denote by $h_t(X^0, \ldots, X^m)(x,y)$ the kernel of $H_t(X^0, \ldots, X^m)$ in the sense of (2.4). Then*

$$h_t(X^0, \ldots, X^m)(x,y) = t^{-m} K_{Q(X^0, \ldots, X^m)}(x,y,t) \qquad \text{for all } t > 0.$$

Proof. Let $u \in C^\infty_+(\mathbb{R}, L^2(M, \not S))$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} Q(X^0, \ldots, X^m)u(t) &= \int_0^\infty X^0 e^{-t_0\Delta} Q(X^1, \ldots, X^m) u(t - t_0) dt_0 \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty X^0 e^{-t_0\Delta} X^1 e^{-t_1\Delta} Q(X^2, \ldots, X^m) u(t - t_0 - t_1) dt_0 dt_1. \end{aligned}$$

An induction then shows that

$$Q(X^0, \ldots, X^m)u(t) = \int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty X^0 e^{-t_0\Delta} \cdots X^m e^{-t_m\Delta} u(t - t_0 - \cdots - t_m) dt_0 \cdots dt_m.$$

The change of variables $\sigma = t_0 + \cdots + t_m$ and $s_j = \sigma^{-1} t_j$, $j = 0, \ldots, m$, gives

$$\begin{aligned} Q(X^0, \ldots, X^m)u(t) &= \int_0^\infty \int_{\Delta_m} X^0 e^{-s_0\sigma\Delta} \cdots X^m e^{-s_m\sigma\Delta} u(t - \sigma) \sigma^m d\mathbf{s} d\sigma \\ &= \int_0^\infty \sigma^m H_\sigma(X^0, \ldots, X^m) u(t - \sigma) d\sigma. \end{aligned} \tag{8.3}$$

In the same way as we obtained (2.5) this shows that

$$K_{Q(X^0, \ldots, X^m)}(x,y,t) = t^m h_t(X^0, \ldots, X^m)(x,y) \qquad \text{for all } t > 0.$$

The lemma is proved. □

Remark 8.5. The formula (8.3) is remeniscent of the resolvent formula for the JLO cocycle given by Connes [Co5, Eq. (17)]. In fact, at least at a formal level, we go from one formula to the other by a conjugation by the Laplace transform with respect to the variable t, since this transforms the inverse heat operator $(\not D_g^2 + \partial_t)^{-1}$ into the resolvent $(\not D_g^2 - \lambda)^{-1}$, $\lambda > 0$.

Proof of Theorem 8.1. Let $f^j \in C^\infty(M)$ and $\phi_j \in G$, $j = 0, 1, \ldots, 2q$. By definition, for all $t > 0$, we have

$$\varphi^{\mathrm{JLO}}_{2q,t}(f^0 U_{\phi_0}, \ldots, f^{2q} U_{\phi_{2q}}) = t^q \operatorname{Str}\left[H_t\left(f^0 U_{\phi_0}, [\not D_g, f^1 U_{\phi_1}], \ldots, [\not D_g, f^{2q} U_{\phi_{2q}}]\right)\right].$$

As the unitary operators U_{ϕ_j} commute with the heat semigroup $e^{-t\not D_g^2}$, $t > 0$, by arguing as in (7.13) it can be shown that, for all $t > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_t\left(f^0 U_{\phi_0}, [\not D_g, f^1 U_{\phi_1}], \ldots, [\not D_g, f^{2q} U_{\phi_{2q}}]\right) &= H_t\left(f^0, [\not D_g, \hat{f}^1], \ldots, [\not D_g, \hat{f}^{2q}]\right) U_\phi \\ &= H_t\left(f^0, c(d\hat{f}^1), \ldots, c(d\hat{f}^{2q})\right) U_\phi, \end{aligned}$$

where we have set $\phi = \phi_0 \circ \cdots \circ \phi_{2q}$ and $\hat{f}^j := f^j \circ (\phi_0 \circ \cdots \circ \phi_{j-1})^{-1}$, $j = 1, \ldots, 2q$. Therefore, using Lemma 8.4 and its notation, we see that, for all $t > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_{2q,t}^{\mathrm{JLO}}(f^0 U_{\phi_0}, \ldots, f^{2q} U_{\phi_{2q}}) &= t^q \operatorname{Str}\left[H_t\left(f^0, c(d\hat{f}^1), \ldots, c(d\hat{f}^{2q})\right) U_\phi\right] \\ &= t^q \int_M \operatorname{str}_{\not s}\left[\phi^{\not s}(x) h_t\left(f^0, c(d\hat{f}^1), \ldots, c(d\hat{f}^{2q})\right)(x, \phi(x))\right] |dx| \\ &= t^{-q} \int_M \operatorname{str}_{\not s}\left[\phi^{\not s}(x) K_Q(x, \phi(x), t)\right] |dx|,\end{aligned} \tag{8.4}$$

where we have set $Q = Q\left(f^0, c(d\hat{f}^1), \ldots, c(d\hat{f}^{2q})\right)$. Moreover, Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 8.3 shows that, as $t \to 0^+$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M \operatorname{str}_{\not s}\left[\phi^{\not s}(x) K_Q(x, \phi(x), t)\right] |dx| &= \int_M \operatorname{str}_{\not s}\left[\phi^{\not s}(x) I_Q(x, t)\right] |dx| + \mathrm{O}(t^\infty) \\ &= \frac{1}{(2q)!} t^q \sum_{\substack{0 \le a \le n \\ a \text{ even}}} (2\pi)^{-\frac{a}{2}} \int_{M_a^\phi} \Upsilon_q + \mathrm{O}(t^{q+1}),\end{aligned}$$

where we have set $\Upsilon_q = (-i)^{\frac{n}{2}} f^0 d\hat{f}^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\hat{f}^{2q} \wedge \hat{A}(R^{TM^\phi}) \wedge \nu_\phi\left(R^{\mathcal{N}^\phi}\right)$. Combining this with (8.4) proves (8.1). The proof is complete. □

It remains to prove Proposition 8.3. The rest of the subsection is devoted to proving this result.

Proof of Proposition 8.3. Given $\phi \in G$, let $f \in C^\infty(M)$ and $\omega^j \in C^\infty(M, T_{\mathbb{C}}^* M)$, $j = 1, \ldots, 2q$. In addition, set

$$Q = Q\left(f, c(\omega^1), \ldots, c(\omega^{2q})\right) = f(\not{D}_g^2 + \partial_t)^{-1} c(\omega^{2q})(\not{D}_g^2 + \partial_t)^{-1} \cdots c(\omega^{2q})(\not{D}_g^2 + \partial_t)^{-1}.$$

Here f has Getzler order 0, each Clifford multiplication operator $c(\omega^j)$ has Getzler order 1, and the inverse heat operator $(\not{D}_g^2 + \partial_t)^{-1}$ has Getzler order -2, and so using Lemma 4.13 we see that Q has Getzler order $2q - 2(2q+1) = -2(q+1)$. Therefore, Theorem 4.22 ensures us that, as $t \to 0^+$ and uniformly on each fixed-point submanifold M_a^ϕ, we have

$$\operatorname{str}_{\not s}\left[\phi^{\not s}(x) I_Q(x, t)\right] = t^q \gamma_\phi(Q)(x) + \mathrm{O}\left(t^{q+1}\right). \tag{8.5}$$

To complete the proof it then remains to identify $\gamma_\phi(Q)(x)$.

Let x_0 be a point in some fixed-point submanifold M_a^ϕ, $a = 0, 2, \ldots, n$, and let us work in admissible normal coordinates centered at x_0. At $x = 0$ the respective model operators of f, $c(\omega^j)$ and $(\not{D}_g^2 + \partial_t)^{-1}$ are $f(0)$, $\omega^j(0)$ and $(H_R + \partial_t)^{-1}$. Therefore, using Lemma 4.13 we see that Q has model operator

$$Q_{(-2q-2)} = f(0)(H_R + \partial_t)^{-1} \omega^1(0)(H_R + \partial_t)^{-1} \cdots \omega^{2q}(0)(H_R + \partial_t)^{-1}.$$

As pointed out in the proof of Theorem 4.5 in Section 4, the operator $(H_R + \partial_t)^{-1}$ commutes with with the forms $\omega^j(0)$. Thus, setting $\omega = f\omega^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega^{2q}$, we can rewrite $Q_{(-2q-2)}$ as

$$Q_{(-2q-2)} = f(0)\omega^1(0) \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega^{2q}(0) \wedge (H_R + \partial_t)^{-(2q+1)} = \omega(0) \wedge (H_R + \partial_t)^{-(2q+1)}.$$

Therefore, arguing as in (7.9)–(7.10) shows that

$$I_{Q_{(-2q-2)}}(x, t) = \omega(0) \wedge I_{(H_R + \partial_t)^{-(2q+1)}}(x, t). \tag{8.6}$$

Claim 1. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then

$$I_{(H_R + \partial_t)^{-(m+1)}}(x, t) = \frac{1}{m!} t^m I_{(H_R + \partial_t)^{-1}}(x, t). \tag{8.7}$$

Proof. The proof is based on the following observation: for any $Q \in \Psi_{\mathrm{v}}^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}) \otimes \Lambda(n)$, the commutator $[t, Q]$ has kernel $(t - s)K_Q(x, y, t - s)$, and hence

$$K_{[t,Q]}(x, y, t) = t K_Q(x, y, t) \qquad \text{and} \qquad I_{[t,Q]}(x, t) = t I_Q(x, t). \tag{8.8}$$

Bearing this in mind, we shall prove (8.7) by induction on m. It is immediate that (8.7) is true for $m = 0$. Assume it is true for $m \geq 0$. As $[H_R + \partial_t, t] = [\partial_t, t] = 1$, we have

$$[t, (H_R + \partial_t)^{-1}] = (H_R + \partial_t)^{-1}[H_R + \partial_t, t](H_R + \partial_t)^{-1} = (H_R + \partial_t)^{-2}.$$

This implies that $[t, (H_R + \partial_t)^{-m}]$ is equal to

$$\sum_{0 \leq j \leq m-1} (H_R + \partial_t)^{-j}[t, (H_R + \partial_t)^{-1}](H_R + \partial_t)^{-m+j+1} = m(H_R + \partial_t)^{-(m+1)}.$$

Combining this with (8.8) we then get

$$I_{(H_R+\partial_t)^{-(m+1)}}(x, t) = \frac{1}{m} I_{[t,(H_R+\partial_t)^{-m}]}(x, t) = \frac{1}{m} t I_{(H_R+\partial_t)^{-m}}(x, t).$$

As formula (8.7) is true for m, we deduce that

$$I_{(H_R+\partial_t)^{-(m+1)}}(x, t) = \frac{1}{(m+1)!} t^{m+1} I_{(H_R+\partial_t)^{-1}}(x, t).$$

This shows that formula (8.7) is true for $m + 1$. The proof of the claim is thus complete. □

Let us go back to the proof of Proposition 8.3. Combining (8.6) with (8.7) shows that

$$I_{Q_{(-2q-2)}}(x, t) = \frac{1}{(2q)!} \omega(0) \wedge I_{(H_R+\partial_t)^{-1}}(x, t).$$

Therefore, by arguing as in (7.11) we obtain

$$\gamma_\phi(Q)(0) = \frac{1}{(2q)!}(-i)^{\frac{n}{2}}(2\pi)^{-\frac{a}{2}} \left| \omega^{(\bullet,0)} \wedge \hat{A}(R^{TM^\phi}(0)) \wedge \nu_\phi \left(R^{\mathcal{N}^\phi}(0) \right) \right|^{(a,0)}.$$

Combining this with (8.5) gives the asymptotic (8.2). The proof of Proposition 8.3 is complete. □

Remark 8.6. There is no major difficulty to extend this approach to the computation of the JLO cocycle of a Dirac spectral triple to various equivariant and non-equivariant family settings, as those discussed in Remark 4.26. In particular, this approach can be used to compute the bivariant JLO cocycle of an equivariant Dirac spectral triple with coefficients in suitable algebras (compare [Az2]). As pointed out by Wu [Wu2] (who introduced the bivariant JLO cocycle), this enables us to recover the higher-index theorem of Connes-Moscovici [CM1] (in the formulation of Lott [Lo]). This approach can also be used to simplify the computations of the infinitesimal equivariant JLO cocycle and proof of the integrability of the transgressed infinitesimal equivariant JLO cocycle in [Wa3].

Remark 8.7. The eta cochain of Wu [Wu1] implements the explicit homotopy between the large-time limit of the JLO cocycle and its short-time finite part. It also naturally appears in the description of the Connes-Chern character of a spin manifold with boundary equipped with a b-metric (see [Ge3, Wu1, LMP]). In particular, in the odd dimensional case, its first degree component agrees with the eta invariant of Atiyah-Patodi-Singer [APS]. The eta cochain is formally defined as the integral over $[0, \infty)$ of a transgressed version of the JLO cocycle. The main issue at stake in this defintion is the integrability near $t = 0$ of the transgressed JLO cocycle [Wu1]. We refer to [Po4] for further applications of Lemma 8.4 and Theorem 4.22 to a new proof of the integrability at $t = 0$ of the transgressed JLO cocycle of an equivariant Dirac spectral triple. In particular, this bypasses the crossing with S^1 and the use of a Grassmannian variable from the previous approaches of Wu [Wu1] in the non-equivariant case and Yong Wang [Wa1] in the equivariant case.

Remark 8.8. By combining the heat b-calculus of Melrose [Me] with a version of Theorem 4.22 for the b-differential operators we also can compute the short-time limit of the relative JLO cocycle of Lesch-Moscovici-Pflaum [LMP] associated to a Dirac operator on a spin manifold with boundary equipped with a b-metric. It would be interesting to extend the results [LMP] to the equivariant setting.

References

[AB1] Atiyah, M.F.; Bott, R.: *A Lefschetz fixed point formula for elliptic complexes. I.* Ann. Math. (2) **86** (1967), 374–407.

[AB2] Atiyah, M.F.; Bott, R.: *A Lefschetz fixed point formula for elliptic complexes. II: Applications.* Ann. Math. (2) **88** (1968), 451–491.

[APS] Atiyah, M.F.; Patodi, V.K.; Singer, I.M.: *Spectral asymmetry and Riemannian Geometry. I.* Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **77** (1975), 43–69.

[AS] Atiyah, M.; Segal, G.: *The index of elliptic operators. II.* Ann. Math. (2) **87** (1968), 531–545.

[ASi1] Atiyah, M.; Singer, I.: *The index of elliptic operators. I.* Ann. Math. (2) **87** (1968), 484–530.

[ASi2] Atiyah, M.; Singer, I.: *The index of elliptic operators. III.* Ann. Math. (2) **87** (1968), 546–604 .

[Az1] Azmi, F.: *The equivariant Dirac cyclic cocycle.* Rocky Mountain J. Math. **30** (2000), 1171–1206.

[Az2] Azmi, F.: *Equivariant bivariant cyclic theory and equivariant Chern-Connes character.* Rocky Mountain J. Math. **34** (2004), no. 2, 391–412.

[BGS] Beals, R.; Greiner, P.; Stanton, N.: *The heat equation on a CR manifold.* J. Differential Geom. **20** (1984), 343–387.

[BV1] Berline, N.; Vergne, M.: *A computation of the equivariant index of the Dirac operator.* Bull. Soc. Math. France **113** (1985), 305–345.

[BV2] Berline, N.; Vergne, M.: *The equivariant index and Kirillov character formula.* Amer. J. Math. **107** (1985), 1159–1190.

[BGV] Berline, N.; Getzler, E.; Vergne, M.: *Heat kernels and Dirac operators.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.

[Bi1] Bismut, J.-M.: *The Atiyah-Singer theorems: a probabilistic approach. II. The Lefschetz fixed-point formulas.* J. Funct. Anal. **57** (1984), 329–348.

[Bi2] Bismut, J.-M.: *The infinitesimal Lefschetz formulas: a heat equation proof.* J. Funct. Anal. **62** (1985), 435–457.

[BF] Bismut, J.-M.; Freed, D.S.: *The analysis of elliptic families II. Dirac operators, êta invariants, and the holonomy theorem*, Comm. Math. Phys. **107** (1986), 103–163.

[BFo] Block, J.; Fox, J.: *Asymptotic pseudodifferential operators and index theory. Geometric and topological invariants of elliptic operators* (Brunswick, ME, 1988), **132**, Contemp. Math., **105**, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 1990.

[BG] Bourguignon, J.-P.; Gauduchon, P. *Spineurs, opérateurs de Dirac et variations de métriques.* Comm. Math. Phys. **144** no. 3, (1992), 581–599.

[CPRS] Carey, A.; Phillips, J.; Rennie, A.; Sukochev, F.A.: *The chern character of semifinite spectral triples.* J. Noncommut. Geom. **2** (2008), 253–283.

[CH] Chern, S.; Hu, X.: *Equivariant Chern character for the invariant Dirac operator.* Michigan Math J. **44** (1997), 451–473.

[Co1] Connes, A.: *Cyclic cohomology and the transverse fundamental class of a foliation. Geometric methods in operator algebras* (Kyoto, 1983), pp. 52–144, Pitman Res. Notes in Math. 123, Longman, Harlow (1986).

[Co2] Connes, A.: *Noncommutative differential geometry.* Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **62** (1985), 257–360.

[Co3] Connes, A.: *Letter to Quillen.*

[Co4] Connes, A.: *Entire cyclic cohomology of Banach algebras and characters of θ-summable Fredholm modules.* K-Theory **1** (1988), no. 6, 519–548.

[Co5] Connes, A.: *On the Chern character of a θ-summable modules.* Comm. Math. Phys. **139** (1991), 171–181.

[Co6] Connes, A.: *Noncommutative geometry.* Academic Press, San Diego, 1994.

[CM1] Connes, A.; Moscovici, H.: *Cyclic cohomology, the Novikov conjecture and hyperbolic groups.* Topology **29** (1990), 345–388.

[CM2] Connes, A.; Moscovici, H.: *Transgression and the Chern character of finite-dimensional K-cycles.* Comm. Math. Phys. **155** (1993), 103–122.

[CM3] Connes, A.; Moscovici, H.: *The local index formula in noncommutative geometry.* Geom. Funct. Anal. **5** (1995), 174–243.

[CM4] Connes, A.; Moscovici, H.: *Type III and spectral triples.* Traces in Geometry, Number Theory and Quantum Fields, Aspects of Mathematics **E38**, Vieweg Verlag 2008, 57–71.

[DP] Donnelly, H.; Patodi, V.K.: *Spectrum and the fixed point set of isometries.* Topology **16** (1977), 1–11.

[Ge1] Getzler, E.: *Pseudodifferential operators on supermanifolds and the Atiyah-Singer index theorem.* Comm. Math. Phys. **92**, 163–178 (1983).

[Ge2] Getzler, E.: *A short proof of the local Atiyah-Singer index theorem.* Topology **25** (1986), 111–117.

[Ge3] Getzler, E: *Cyclic homology and the Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index theorem.* Contemp. Math. **148** (1993), 19–45.

[GS] Getzler, E.; Szenes, A: *On the Chern character of a theta-summable module.* J. Funct. Anal. **84** (1989), 343–357.

[Gi] Gilkey, P.B.: *Lefschetz fixed point formulas and the heat equation. Partial differential equations and geometry* (Park City, 1977), ed. C. Byrnes, Lecture notes in pure and applied math, vol. 48, Marcel Dekker, 1979, pp. 91–147.

[Gr] Greiner, P.: *An asymptotic expansion for the heat equation*. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **41** (1971), 163–218.

[Gu] Guillemin, V.: *A new proof of Weyl's formula on the asymptotic distribution of eigenvalues*. Adv. Math. **55** (1985), 131–160.

[Ha] Hadamard, J.: *Lectures on Cauchy's problem in linear partial differential equations*. Dover Publications, 1953.

[Hi] Higson, N.: *The residue index theorem of Connes and Moscovici. Surveys in Noncommutative Geometry*, pp. 71–126, Clay Mathematics Proceedings 6, AMS, Providence, 2006.

[Hö] Hörmander, L.: *The analysis of linear partial differential operators. III. Pseudo-differential operators*. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 274. Springer, Berlin, 1994.

[JLO] Jaffe, A.; Lesniewski, A; Osterwalder, K.: *Quantum K-theory, I. The Chern character*. Comm. Math. Phys. **118** (1988) 1–14.

[Ka] Kawasaki, T.: *An analytic Lefschetz fixed point formula and its application to V-manifolds: general defect formula and Hirzebruch signature theorem*. PhD dissertation, John Hopkins University, 1976.

[LYZ] Lafferty, J.; Yu, Y.; Zhang, W.: *A direct geometric proof of the Lefschetz fixed point formulas*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **329** (1992), 571–583.

[Lee] Lee, S.-C.: *A Lefschetz formula for higher dimensional fixed point sets*. PhD dissertation, Brandeis University, 1975.

[LMP] Lesch, M.; Moscovici, H.; Pflaum, M.: *Connes-Chern character for manifolds with boundary and eta cochains*. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **220** (2012) no. 1036, 92+vii pages.

[LM] Liu, K.; Ma, X.: *On family rigidity theorems. I*. Duke Math. J. **102**, no. 3 (2000), 451–474.

[Lo] Lott, J.: *Superconnections and higher index theory*. Geom. Func. Anal. **2** (1992), 421–454.

[LMPo] Loya, P.; Moroianu, S.; Ponge, R.: *On the singularities of the zeta and eta functions of an elliptic operator*. Int. J. Math. **23** (2012), no. 6, 1–26.

[Me] Melrose, R.B.: *The Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index theorem*. Research Notes in Mathematics, vol. 4, A K Peters Ltd., Wellesley, MA, 1993.

[Mo] Moscovici, H.: *Local index formula and twisted spectral triples. Quanta of maths*, pp. 465–500, Clay Math. Proc., 11, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 2010.

[Pi] Piriou, A.: *Une classe d'opérateurs pseudo-différentiels du type de Volterra*. Ann. Inst. Fourier **20** (1970), 77–94.

[Po1] Ponge, R.: *A new short proof of the local index formula and some of its applications*. Comm. Math. Phys. **241** (2003), 215–234.

[Po2] Ponge, R.: *On the asymptotic completeness of the Volterra calculus*. J. Anal. Math. **94** (2004), 249–263.

[Po3] Ponge, R.: *The CM cocycle of a smooth spectral triple*. In preparation.

[Po4] Ponge, R.: *Connes-Chern character and eta cochain of an equivariant Dirac spectral triple (odd-dimensional case)*. In preparation.

[PW0] Ponge, R.; Wang, H.: *Noncommutative geometry, conformal geometry, and the local equivariant index theorem*. E-print, arXiv, October 2012.

[PW1] Ponge, R.; Wang, H.: *Noncommutative geometry and conformal geometry. I. Local index formula and conformal invariants*. E-print, arXiv, November 2014.

[Qu] Quillen, D.: *Algebra cochains and cyclic cohomology*. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **68** (1988), 139–174.

[SW] Schaefer, H.H.; Wolff, M.P.: *Topological vector spaces*. Second edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 3. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999.

[Wa1] Wang, Y.: *The equivariant noncommutative Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index theorem*. K-Theory **37** (2006), 213–233.

[Wa2] Wang, Y.: *Volterra calculus, local equivariant family index theorem and equivariant eta forms*. E-print, arXiv, April 2013.

[Wa3] Wang, Y.: *The noncommutative infinitesimal equivariant index formula*. J. K-Theory **14** (2014), 73–102.

[Wo1] Wodzicki, M.: *Local invariants of spectral asymmetry*. Invent. Math. **75** (1984), 143–177.

[Wu1] Wu, F.: *The Chern-Connes character for the Dirac operators on manifolds with boundary*. K-Theory **7** (1993), 145–174.

[Wu2] Wu, F.: *A bivariant Chern-Connes character and the higher Γ-index theorem*. K-Theory **11** (1997), no. 1, 35–82.

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Seoul National University, Seoul, South Korea
E-mail address: ponge.snu@gmail.com

School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia
E-mail address: hang.wang01@adelaide.edu.au